IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

TRITA PARSI and NATIONAL IRANIAN AMERICAN

COUNCIL,

Plaintiffs,

vs. No. 08 CV 00705 (JDB)

DAIOLESLAM SEID HASSAN,

Defendant.

DEPOSITION OF PATRICK DISNEY

New York, New York

Friday, October 29th, 2010

Reported by: Jeremy Frank, MPM JOB NO. 4506

		Page 2
1		
2	October 29th, 2010	
3	2:14 p.m.	
4		
5	Deposition of PATRICK DISNEY, held at	
6	the offices of Sidley Austin, LLP, 787 7th	
7	Avenue, New York, New York, pursuant to Notice	
8	and Agreement, before Jeremy Frank, a Notary	
9	Public of the State of New York.	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	P	age 3
1		
2	APPEARANCES:	
3		
4	PISHEVAR & ASSOCIATES, P.C.	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
6	Jefferson Plaza, Suite 316	
7	600 East Jefferson Street	
8	Rockville, MD 20852	
9	BY: ADRIAN V. NELSON, II, ESQ.	
10	A.P. PISHEVAR, ESQ.	
11	PATRICK PARSA, ESQ.	
12		
13	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP	
14	Attorneys for Defendant	
15	1501 K Street, N.W.	
16	Washington DC 20005	
17	BY: PETER G. JENSEN, ESQ.	
18	JOSH FOUGERE, ESQ.	
19		
20	ALSO PRESENT:	
21	AYDALINE GARCIA, videographer	
22		
23		
24		
25		

		Page	4
1			
2	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by		
3	and between counsel for the respective		
4	parties hereto, that the filing, sealing and		
5	certification of the within deposition shall		
6	be and the same are hereby waived;		
7	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED		
8	that all objections, except as to the form		
9	of the question, shall be reserved to the		
10	time of the trial;		
11	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED		
12	that the within deposition may be signed		
13	before any Notary Public with the same force		
14	and effect as if signed and sworn to before		
15	the Court.		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape
3	number one in the videotaped deposition
4	of Patrick Disney in the matter of Trita
5	Parsi and National Iranian American
6	Council versus Daioleslam Seid Hassan in
7	the United States District Court for the
8	District of Columbia. This deposition is
9	being held at Sidley Austin, 787 7th
10	Avenue, New York, New York on October
11	29th, 2010 at approximately 2:14 p.m. My
12	name is Aydaline Garcia and I'm the video
13	specialist, and the court reporter is
14	Jeremy Frank.
15	Will counsel please introduce
16	themselves beginning with the party
17	noticing this proceeding.
18	MR. JENSEN: My name is Peter
19	Jensen, I'm counsel for the defendant
20	with the law firm of Sidley Austin.
21	MR. FOUGERE: Josh Fougere also for
22	the defendant with Sidley Austin.
23	MR. NELSON: Adrian Nelson with
24	Pishevar & Associates on behalf of NIAC
25	and Dr. Trita Parsi.

- 1 Disney
- 2 MR. PARSA: Patrick Parsa for the
- 3 plaintiffs with Pishevar & Associates.
- 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will the court
- 5 reporter please swear in the witness.
- 6 PATRICK DISNEY, called as a
- 7 witness, having been duly sworn by a Notary
- 8 Public, was examined and testified as follows:
- 9 EXAMINATION BY
- 10 MR. JENSEN:
- 11 Q. Before we get started, I just want
- 12 to go over a few ground rules. Before we do
- 13 that, one question.
- 14 Have you ever been deposed before?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Well, I think as the court
- 17 reporter noted, it is important for you to
- 18 speak clearly and enunciate. Try not to speak
- 19 over me when I'm asking a question and I'll
- 20 try not to speak over you when you're giving
- 21 an answer, that will make his job a lot
- 22 easier. And also it is important that you
- 23 verbalize your answers, shrugs and nods of the
- 24 head won't be captured by his transcript. If
- 25 you don't understand a question, please don't

- 1 Disney
- 2 hesitate to ask me and I can reclarify. If
- 3 I'm using a word you don't understand why or
- 4 how I'm using that particular word, ask me to
- 5 define it and we will try to work through
- 6 that.
- 7 Also from time to time Mr. Nelson
- 8 or Mr. Parsa might object to one of my
- 9 questions, if they do that you should then
- 10 proceed to answer my question unless they
- 11 otherwise instruct you not to answer.
- Does that make sense?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 Also from time to time you need to
- 16 take a break, let me know, we have got a
- 17 restroom down the hall, we have got
- 18 refreshments here, feel free to make use of
- 19 those.
- 20 What's your time like, are you --
- 21 A. I'm, within reason I'm at your
- 22 disposal.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 Under the Federal Rules of Civil
- 25 Procedure depositions can take up to seven

- 1 Disney
- 2 hours not including breaks, I'm hoping that we
- won't need that full seven hours, but are you
- 4 prepared to be here until I guess it might be
- 5 9:15 or 9:30 --
- 6 A. I am.
- 7 Q. -- or 10:00, great.
- 8 Have you had anything to drink in
- 9 the last eight hours?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Are you on any medications?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Any reason to believe that you
- 14 wouldn't be able to give clear and honest and
- 15 complete answers today?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. If at any time as we are
- 18 discussing if you think of an answer that you
- 19 previously gave that you would to like
- 20 clarify, just go ahead and stop us, we can go
- 21 back and address that.
- Does that make sense to you?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you have any questions about
- 25 anything --

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. -- before we get started?
- 4 Did you review any documents in
- 5 preparation for this deposition?
- 6 A. I did not.
- 7 Q. Did you look through any of your
- 8 office files before you came?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Did you meet with anyone to
- 11 prepare for this deposition?
- 12 A. Counsel right here.
- Q. By counsel right here you're
- 14 referring to Mr. Nelson?
- 15 A. Mr. Nelson and Mr. Parsa.
- 16 Q. Anyone other than Mr. Nelson and
- 17 Mr. Parsa?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. When did you meet with them?
- 20 A. Last evening.
- 21 Q. Had you met with them prior to
- 22 last evening in preparation for this
- 23 deposition?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. Did you have any conversations

- 1 Disney
- 2 with them prior to last evening in preparation
- 3 for this deposition?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Anyone other than Mr. Nelson or
- 6 Mr. Parsa?
- 7 A. Anyone --
- 8 Q. Did you meet to prepare for this
- 9 deposition other than, with anyone other than
- 10 Mr. Nelson or Mr. Parsa?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- What did you discuss?
- MR. NELSON: Objection, that's
- 15 privileged.
- 16 Q. The general nature, did you
- 17 discuss just generally preparing for this
- 18 deposition?
- MR. NELSON: You can only answer to
- 20 the extent that you are telling him
- 21 generally, nothing specifically that we
- discussed.
- 23 A. Given that this is my first
- 24 deposition, we went over what to expect, what
- 25 sort of ground rules there would be, what

- 1 Disney
- 2 types of matters would be discussed, that sort
- 3 of thing.
- 4 Q. Mr. Nelson is right, I don't want
- 5 to get into specifics, but just generally was
- 6 it just to discuss your preparations for our
- 7 meeting today, the deposition today?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 How long did you meet for?
- 11 A. A matter of a couple hours, two
- 12 hours.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- 14 Do Mr. Nelson and Mr. Parsa
- 15 represent you?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Does anyone else represent you?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. To clarify, is it the Pishevar law
- 20 firm or Mr. Nelson and Mr. --
- 21 A. As a former employee of NIAC it is
- 22 my understanding that NIAC has retained the
- 23 Pishevar law firm to represent the
- 24 organization, and by connection me.
- 25 Q. So the Pishevar law firm

- 1 Disney
- 2 represents you individually?
- 3 A. That's my understanding.
- 4 Q. Have you ever contacted any other
- 5 lawyers about representing you?
- 6 A. My father is an attorney, but no.
- 7 Q. How long has Pishevar & Associates
- 8 represented you?
- 9 A. I'm not certain how long the
- 10 relationship between NIAC and Pishevar &
- 11 Associates has been in place.
- 12 Q. I'm not asking, you personally,
- 13 how long have they represented you?
- 14 A. I'm sorry, I'm not quite certain
- 15 specifically what it would formally entail.
- 16 Q. Do you have a written retention
- 17 agreement?
- 18 A. Not me personally, no.
- 19 Q. So when did you understand that
- 20 Pishevar & Associates began representing you
- 21 personally?
- 22 A. Last evening.
- 23 Q. Have they represented you in any
- 24 other matters?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Disney
- 2 Q. Can you give me your full name and
- 3 date of birth.
- 4 A. Patrick Charles Disney,
- 5 October 1st, 1985.
- 6 Q. What is your educational
- 7 background?
- 8 A. I have a bachelor's degree from
- 9 Trinity University in San Antonio, Texas, I'm
- 10 currently enrolled in a masters of
- 11 international relations program at Yale
- 12 University.
- Q. What was your undergrad degree in?
- 14 A. Political science.
- 15 Q. Did you have any minors?
- 16 A. I had three majors, political
- 17 science, economics and international studies.
- 18 Q. Can you please describe your work
- 19 experience prior to beginning your time at
- 20 NIAC.
- 21 A. The only full-time employment I
- 22 had had prior to working at NIAC was as a high
- 23 school teacher in Texas, in Fort Worth, Texas.
- Q. And for how long did you do that?
- 25 A. One year.

- 1 Disney
- 2 Q. Immediately after getting your
- 3 bachelors degree?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Let's talk about your time with
- 6 NIAC.
- 7 When did you first begin
- 8 employment with that organization?
- 9 A. I joined NIAC in May 2008.
- 10 Q. When did you end your employment
- 11 with NIAC?
- 12 A. I left in July 2010.
- 13 Q. You left because your studies at
- 14 Yale commenced?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. What positions have you held at
- 17 NIAC?
- 18 A. Are you asking my formal job
- 19 titles?
- 20 Q. Yes.
- 21 A. Formally my job title began as
- 22 assistant legislative director.
- Q. How long did you have that title?
- 24 A. There was a period in which we
- 25 were discussing making a change to the name

- 1 Disney
- 2 only of my work and my colleagues, my team
- 3 changing from legislative director or
- 4 assistant legislative director what we called
- 5 the legislative team, we changed it to the
- 6 policy team. And so I then became the
- 7 assistant policy director, it was a very vague
- 8 process and never very formal. And to the
- 9 extent even my business cards never really
- 10 changed, so I don't know precisely when that
- 11 change happened. But that change took place
- 12 and then starting in May 2009 I served
- 13 formally as the acting policy director until
- 14 November 2009, at which point I reverted back
- 15 to assistant policy director.
- 16 Q. You said November 2009?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. You said you couldn't remember the
- 19 exact time that you switched from legislative,
- 20 assistant legislative director to assistant
- 21 policy director.
- Is that some time in 2008?
- 23 A. There was never a flip of the
- 24 switch, it was sometime in 2009, I believe,
- 25 yes.

- 1 Disney
- Q. Would that have predated May 2009?
- 3 A. It is hard for me to say, like I
- 4 said it was a long process of convincing my
- 5 superiors that this was a necessary change.
- 6 Q. Tell me about that, what was the
- 7 process, why did you have that?
- 8 A. It was my belief that the title
- 9 legislative director, assistant legislative
- 10 director or the legislative team was
- 11 inaccurate given that a large section of my
- 12 work and my immediate colleagues' work dealt
- 13 with nonlegislative matters. In particular
- 14 dealing with other branches of the government
- 15 besides Congress, but also a very large
- 16 portion of it was dealing with the American
- 17 public and our community, the Iranian-American
- 18 community in particular.
- 19 Q. When that change happened did your
- 20 responsibilities change at all?
- 21 A. No, not officially.
- 22 Q. Just the title?
- 23 A. Just the title.
- Q. Were you the instigator for that
- 25 change, is that a fair characterization?

1 Disney

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Who did you talk to about making
- 4 that change?
- 5 A. I spoke with Trita Parsi and Kevin
- 6 Cowl.
- 7 Q. What was Kevin's position at the
- 8 time?
- 9 A. Kevin was the chief operating
- 10 officer.
- 11 Q. What was their reaction to your
- 12 desire to change the title?
- 13 A. Kevin deferred to Trita, Trita has
- 14 historically always been somewhat unconcerned
- 15 with titles and he did not initially see it as
- 16 an important change. But over time I think it
- 17 would be accurate to say he made it clear he
- 18 had no objections to the change. If we wanted
- 19 to pursue it, we could.
- Q. Why did it take, you said he
- 21 wasn't that concerned, why it did take him a
- 22 period of time to make that decision?
- MR. NELSON: Objection, calls for
- 24 speculation.
- 25 A. You would have to ask him.

- 1 Disney
- Q. To the extent you know, did he
- 3 ever communicate that to you why he was
- 4 thinking about it instead of just giving you
- 5 the green light to go ahead and make the
- 6 change?
- 7 A. In my dealings with him he's never
- 8 been particularly, never really cared about
- 9 titles.
- 10 Q. Was it your impression that it was
- 11 just something he wasn't concerned about or
- 12 was it your impression that he didn't feel
- 13 comfortable with making that title change?
- 14 A. I wouldn't want to speculate on
- 15 his comfort, but he never communicated any
- 16 sort of any interest in the matter really.
- 17 Q. You were discussing this directly
- 18 with him.
- 19 Is that correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 Approximately how long did those
- 23 conversations take place? Let me rephrase.
- 24 When did the first conversation about changing
- 25 your title take place and to the extent you

- 1 Disney
- 2 can recall, when did that change eventually?
- 3 A. I don't recall even what month it
- 4 may have been when I first brought the idea
- 5 up. I do recall very clearly that when I took
- 6 on the position of acting director within the
- 7 organization from the first time I started
- 8 identifying myself as the acting director, I
- 9 considered, I referred to myself as the acting
- 10 policy director, that was around May 2009.
- 11 Q. Did Trita say it was okay for you
- 12 to use that title?
- 13 A. To the best of my recollection
- 14 there was never an official directive handed
- 15 down from Trita, but it was communicated in
- one way or another that that would be okay.
- 17 Q. Did anyone else share your desire
- 18 to make that change?
- 19 A. I never got any complaints, I
- 20 never in speaking with my colleagues, no one
- 21 ever expressed disapproval of the idea, I --
- Q. Other than your title, who else's
- 23 title changed at that point in time?
- 24 A. At that point in time there were
- only, it would have been us on the policy

- 1 Disney
- 2 team, it was me and David Elliott, his title
- 3 changed to policy associate.
- 4 Q. Was David a part of the
- 5 discussions about changing the title?
- 6 A. I don't know what discussions
- 7 David had about the matter with Trita or
- 8 anyone else at NIAC, but I don't know what
- 9 discussions David had with anyone else about
- 10 the matter, but my discussions were generally
- 11 one-on-one with Trita.
- 12 (Whereupon Mr. Pishevar entered the
- 13 room.)
- Q. Who did you report to when you
- 15 first started?
- 16 A. Say that again.
- 17 Q. Who did you report to when you
- 18 first started at NIAC?
- 19 A. Trita was my ultimate boss, I had
- 20 an immediate supervisor between me and Trita,
- 21 that was Emily Blout.
- Q. When you say immediate supervisor,
- 23 did you report to her?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. She supervised your work and said

- 1 Disney
- 2 what you should be doing and what you
- 3 shouldn't be doing, things of that nature?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay.
- 6 Who else was on the legislative
- 7 team for the policy team during your tenure at
- 8 NIAC?
- 9 A. When I began it was only me and
- 10 Emily, in January 2009 we brought on David
- 11 Elliott and in May 2009 Emily left, and it was
- 12 back to, it was back to a two-person team with
- 13 me and David. And in November 2009 we hired
- 14 Jamal Abdi, J-A-M-A-L A-B-D-I to be the policy
- 15 director making it a three-person team for the
- 16 remainder.
- 17 Q. So you initially reported to
- 18 Emily, when she left you reported directly to
- 19 Trita.
- 20 Is that correct?
- 21 A. When she left --
- Q. As acting policy director?
- 23 A. When she left I became acting
- 24 policy director reporting to Trita and Kevin
- 25 as the chief operating officer as well.

- 1 Disney
- 2 Q. When Jamal came on board you
- 3 reported to Jamal?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Until you left your employment at
- 6 NIAC in July 2010?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 O. What are duties that were
- 9 associated with your position?
- 10 A. When I began as assistant
- 11 legislative director my duties focused mostly
- 12 on analyzing major issues that had some
- 13 relevance to the Iranian-American community.
- 14 And that could include anything from civil
- 15 rights and discrimination issues. I remember
- 16 working extensively on a state law in Florida
- 17 about Iranians traveling outside of the
- 18 country. My particular niche when I was
- 19 working directly with Emily was I did a lot of
- 20 the writing for our issues, writing letters to
- 21 members, letters to other organizations,
- 22 reaching out to other NGOs, Nongovernmental
- 23 organizations, and writing blog posts or
- 24 articles or op-eds.
- 25 There was outreach to members of

- 1 Disney
- 2 the Congress and their staffs, and I also
- 3 played a supporting role in our programing
- 4 events and which included briefings on the
- 5 Hill and conferences. And for the most part
- 6 if I had to summarize my duties it was
- 7 providing educational resources to the
- 8 American public and the U.S. government about
- 9 relevant issues for Iranian-Americans.
- 10 Q. All right, we will get into that
- in a little bit more specificity later on.
- I would like to turn to just the
- 13 general staff at NIAC. When you first began
- 14 how many total employees were there and who
- were they to the extent you can remember?
- 16 A. Would you like me to list them?
- 17 Q. Yes, if you can remember.
- 18 A. When I began it was me and Emily,
- 19 Trita as president, Babak Talebi as our
- 20 communications, as our community outreach
- 21 director, Sara Shokravi was our director of
- 22 programing. These titles are to the best to
- 23 my recollection, they are probably not
- 24 accurate, we had an office manager Shami
- 25 Sahandy (phonetic). Over time people came and

- 1 Disney
- 2 went, and when Sara left Hormoz Rashidi
- 3 (phonetic) was brought on, and like I said
- 4 again David Elliott was brought on, Kevin Cowl
- 5 was brought on, Michelle Moghtader replaced
- 6 Babak and we had a number of office managers
- 7 including in addition to a large number of
- 8 interns over time.
- 9 Q. I just want to make sure I got
- 10 that right, you listed six individuals when
- 11 you first began, is that correct, including
- 12 yourself?
- 13 A. I didn't count them, I'm sorry.
- Q. So Trita, you, Emily, Babak, Shami
- 15 and then last one Sara?
- 16 A. Sara.
- 17 Q. Any others?
- 18 A. We had a board of directors and
- 19 advisory board and communications consultants,
- 20 there was actually a communications director
- 21 whose last day at NIAC was my first day.
- Q. So six, that's fair to say, six
- 23 full-time employees --
- 24 A. It is fair to say.
- 25 Q. -- at NIAC?

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. Six full-time employees.
- 3 Q. Which left how many employees were
- 4 there?
- 5 A. Let me count again, sorry, Trita,
- 6 Kevin, Michelle, Arsalan who I didn't name
- 7 previously but was brought on recently within
- 8 the past year or so.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 A. Me, David, Jamal, Sebiday
- 11 (phonetic), Sebiday was our office manager
- 12 when I left.
- 13 Q. I have one, two, three, four,
- 14 five, six, seven, eight.
- 15 A. I believe that's eight.
- 16 Q. Eight, okay.
- Was everyone housed in the same
- 18 space, were their offices all located in the
- 19 same?
- 20 A. For a few months we maintained an
- 21 office in California, that project was
- 22 unsuccessful, and we called it our West Coast
- 23 office. Sara Shokravi was our West Coast
- 24 director, and I don't recall exactly how long
- 25 that was, but it was a short period of time.

- 1 Disney
- 2 Q. Do you recall approximately when
- 3 that was, 2008-2009?
- 4 A. It had to have been 2008 around
- 5 summertime.
- 6 Q. Was it for several months?
- 7 A. It was a matter of months, yes.
- Q. Okay.
- 9 So other than the California
- 10 office where Sara --
- 11 A. Sara.
- 12 Q. -- was located, was everyone else
- 13 located in the same space in Washington D.C.?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Did everyone have a desktop?
- 16 A. Do you mean a computer?
- 17 Q. A computer, yes.
- 18 A. Everyone of the full-time
- 19 employees was given a computer, most of them
- 20 were desktops. Overtime I can recall at least
- 21 one or two people having laptop computers and
- 22 either in addition to their desktop or in
- 23 place of their desktop computer.
- Q. Do you remember who those one or
- 25 two people were?

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. When Sara was in the West Coast
- 3 she worked out of a laptop, Arsalan Barmand
- 4 who was on the community outreach team
- 5 operated on his laptop frequently, I don't
- 6 recall if that was his personal choice or if
- 7 it was provided to him. When I left, I would
- 8 leave it at that, that's all I can recall.
- 9 Q. Do you remember if Trita Parsi was
- 10 given a laptop?
- 11 A. I do remember him having a laptop
- 12 for his travels. Also in addition to his
- 13 desktop I also recall it being stolen on one
- 14 of his trips and he came back with a
- 15 replacement laptop.
- 16 Q. Were these computers were they
- 17 Macs, PCs, what kind of computers were they?
- 18 A. All of the desktop computers were
- 19 PCs, I don't recall specifically but I have a
- 20 hunch that our Arsalan's was a Mac laptop, and
- 21 I don't know what make or model Trita's laptop
- 22 was that he got after his previous one was
- 23 stolen.
- Q. Did you think it could be a Mac?
- 25 A. I honestly, I don't remember.

- 1 Disney
- 2 Q. You don't remember.
- 3 And were these computers connected
- 4 in any way?
- 5 A. All of the desktop computers in
- 6 the office were connected via what we called
- 7 the share drive which was a location in which
- 8 one user could store a file that could then be
- 9 accessed by any of the other computers in the
- 10 office.
- 11 Q. Was that via a share drive housed
- 12 on a server?
- 13 A. I'm not knowledgeable at all about
- 14 the terminology and so I'm not comfortable
- 15 calling it a server or anything like that, I
- 16 called it a share drive.
- 17 Q. Do you remember seeing like a
- 18 central processing unit, a central computer
- 19 that connected everyone's computer?
- MR. NELSON: Objection, he's
- 21 already answered he's not familiar with
- the technological aspects of the
- configuration.
- You can answer if you're able to.
- 25 A. There was a corner back at our

- 1 Disney
- 2 office that had a number of computer devices
- 3 that I know were relevant to our network, the
- 4 only one I recognized was a wireless router.
- 5 Q. Were there wires coming in and out
- 6 of those --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- machines?
- 9 Did you have a wire connecting
- 10 your machine to that machine or to one of
- 11 those machines?
- 12 A. I had a wire connecting my
- 13 computer to an internet jack in the wall.
- 14 Q. Did you use Outlook?
- 15 A. I used Microsoft Outlook.
- 16 Q. Microsoft Outlook.
- Did you use that for e-mail?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Did you use the calendar function
- 20 on Microsoft Outlook?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Was that a standard install in
- 23 everyone's machine?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. As far as you're aware did

- 1 Disney
- 2 everyone use the Microsoft calendar function?
- 3 A. I couldn't say with certainty that
- 4 everyone used it, it was available for
- 5 everyone to use.
- 6 Q. Was the Outlook function or the
- 7 calendar function connected to other people in
- 8 any way?
- 9 A. Can you explain that?
- 10 Q. Yes.
- If someone sent you a meeting
- 12 invitation and you clicked accept, would it
- 13 automatically populate the meeting time on
- 14 your calendar?
- 15 A. What you just described was
- 16 possible, I don't know if that means two
- 17 peoples' calendars were connected in any way.
- 18 Those meeting requests were sent via e-mail
- 19 and as the file, as the e-mail included when
- 20 you clicked accept, it would populate your
- 21 calendar, yes.
- 22 Q. Do you recall if you could open up
- 23 someone else's calendar and look and see if
- 24 they had availability or if they were busy at
- 25 certain times in the day?

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. I recall thinking a number of
- 3 times that would be very useful. I also
- 4 recall a number of attempts to set something
- 5 like that up that were unsuccessful, and there
- 6 were times in which for example, Trita's
- 7 calendar would be shared with us, and it was
- 8 never clear to me whether that was dynamically
- 9 updated wherein if he were to make a change to
- 10 his home calendar it would be reflected on
- 11 what I was seeing. I know we attempted to set
- 12 something like that up and I never depended on
- 13 it.
- 14 Q. All right.
- When you say the calendar was
- 16 shared with you, how was that shared?
- 17 A. Via e-mail.
- 18 Q. Someone would send you an e-mail
- 19 listing his appointments, is that how it would
- 20 work?
- 21 A. My recollection is a little fuzzy.
- I remember a process by which
- 23 Trita could send the staff, us any an e-mail
- 24 that would convey to us his appointments over
- 25 the next couple of days, but again I don't

- 1 Disney
- 2 know for certain whether that was dynamically
- 3 updated as his calendar changed it was
- 4 reflected in what he sent us.
- 5 Q. So it was, his calendar entries
- 6 were text within e-mails?
- 7 A. I couldn't say for certain.
- 8 Q. You can't, all right.
- 9 Let's shift gears a little. I
- 10 would like to talk about CNAPI. Are you
- 11 familiar with that, CNAPI?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Can you tell me what CNAPI is?
- 14 A. CNAPI stands for The Campaign for
- 15 a New American Policy on Iran, it is a
- 16 coalition of nongovernmental organizations
- 17 with a common interest in U.S.-Iran relations,
- 18 specifically a peaceful resolution to the
- 19 U.S.-Iran conflict, it is a coalition that
- 20 predated my coming to NIAC.
- 21 After I began at NIAC and became
- 22 involved with a number of people involved in
- 23 this coalition, I was asked to, I was
- 24 approached with a request that I take on a
- 25 leadership role within the coalition and

- 1 Disney
- 2 coordinated monthly meetings of the NGOs as
- 3 part of that coalition.
- 4 Q. You say it predated your coming to
- 5 NIAC, do you remember when it was founded?
- 6 A. Sometime in 2007.
- 7 Q. Who founded it?
- 8 A. The original leader of the
- 9 coalition was Carah Ong, O-N-G. She worked
- 10 out of the Center for Arms Control and
- 11 nonproliferation, I don't know who explicitly
- 12 founded it, but she was the first leader.
- 13 Q. Other than Carah was anyone else
- 14 employed by CNAPI?
- 15 A. I don't know the specific details
- 16 about how it was arranged before I started.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 How was that organization funded?
- 19 A. Again I couldn't speculate how it
- 20 was funded prior to my involvement. When I
- 21 was approached to take on a leadership role I
- 22 was approached by Mike Amitay, A-M-I-T-A-Y
- 23 from The Open Society Institute offering a
- 24 fellowship or a grant of sorts which would be
- 25 given to NIAC to employ me as the coordinator

- 1 Disney
- 2 of this coalition.
- 3 Q. Do you know why Mike approached
- 4 you about doing that?
- 5 A. We worked together on these
- 6 issues.
- 7 Q. Did Mike know that you were
- 8 employed by NIAC at the time?
- 9 A. He did.
- 10 Q. What are the agendas or goals of
- 11 CNAPI?
- 12 A. The mission statement of the
- 13 coalition came about before I joined it.
- 14 Again, its founding purpose was to, was to do
- 15 what, sorry, let me start over. Its founding
- 16 purpose was to try to avoid military conflict
- 17 between the U.S. and Iran, and when I became
- 18 active in the organization in the coalition,
- 19 under my leadership the organization mission
- 20 was to facilitate communication and
- 21 cooperation among nongovernmental
- 22 organizations working on U.S.-Iran relations.
- Q. Was that agenda, were there any
- 24 conflicts between that agenda and NIAC's
- 25 agenda as far as you were aware?

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. Were there any, are you asking if
- 3 there were any conflicts between the mission
- 4 of the coalition and the mission of NIAC?
- 5 Q. Yes.
- 6 A. In general I would say no.
- 7 MR. JENSEN: I'll hand you what we
- 8 will mark as Defendant's Exhibit Number
- 9 1.
- 10 (Defendant's Exhibit 1, e-mail,
- 11 marked for identification, as of this
- 12 date.)
- 13 O. Take a look at that and tell me if
- 14 you recognize that document.
- 15 A. I recognize it, yes.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 It says at the top this is an
- 18 e-mail from you to Kevin Martin. Do you know
- 19 who Kevin Martin is?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Whose Kevin Martin?
- 22 A. He's from the organization known
- 23 as Peace Action, I don't know his title, I
- 24 believe it is executive director.
- Q. What is that organization's

- 1 Disney
- 2 mission or goals?
- 3 A. I'm not very closely familiar with
- 4 their mission, but it is to promote peace and
- 5 avoid war.
- 6 Q. Specifically toward promoting
- 7 peace and avoiding war in Iran?
- 8 A. I couldn't speculate.
- 9 Q. It looks like this is an e-mail
- 10 sent on January 23rd, 2009, does that look
- 11 right to you?
- 12 A. That's what it says.
- 13 O. You don't have to read it out
- 14 loud, read the first paragraph, the first two
- 15 sentences there. "It is not necessarily
- 16 NIAC's agenda, but a pretty good list." And
- 17 this appears to be an agenda describing the
- 18 legislative goals for the 111th Congress, the
- 19 subject says for 2K9.
- 20 Do you see that?
- 21 A. I do.
- 22 O. Was is 2K9?
- 23 A. The year 2009.
- Q. And for what organization is this
- 25 the legislative agenda for the year 2009?

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. I don't recall the context in
- 3 which this middle section which is highlighted
- 4 which is italicized came from, but according
- 5 to this e-mail this is a list that emerged out
- 6 of a meeting of The Campaign for New American
- 7 Policy on Iran in discussing aspirations for
- 8 the year 2009.
- 9 O. So the italicized list that you
- 10 were just describing appears that it is the
- 11 legislative goals for CNAPI for that year, is
- 12 that a fair statement, a fair characterization
- 13 of what you just said?
- 14 A. Again I don't recall the context
- 15 of this list, this is a list of items which
- 16 members of the coalition would have liked to
- 17 have seen happen.
- 18 Q. Then at the top where it says, "It
- is not necessarily NIAC's agenda," in what
- 20 ways does NIAC's agenda differ from the
- 21 legislative goals for CNAPI for 2009?
- 22 A. If I recall correctly, this e-mail
- 23 originated from a request from Kevin Martin to
- 24 myself asking for NIAC's legislative agenda
- 25 for the year. My meeting was not to say that

- 1 Disney
- 2 that this list is in contravention to NIAC's
- 3 agenda, but to say that this is not NIAC's,
- 4 this list is not to be interpreted as NIAC's
- 5 official legislative agenda.
- 6 Q. This list is not, the italicized
- 7 list one through five is not CNAPI's
- 8 legislative agenda, you think this is NIAC's
- 9 agenda?
- 10 A. No, you misunderstood.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. As to the question of whether this
- 13 list is CNAPI's official legislative agenda, I
- 14 don't recall the context of this list. My
- 15 previous characterization I think is the most
- 16 accurate, that this is a list of items which
- 17 coalition members agreed would, they would
- 18 like to have seen in the year 2009.
- 19 As to the question of this
- 20 sentence, "It is not necessarily NIAC's
- 21 agenda, "that was in reference to I believe a
- 22 request from Kevin for a reproduction of
- 23 NIAC's agenda which I don't believe we had at
- 24 the time. And so my purpose in saying, "It is
- 25 not necessarily NIAC's agenda," is to say that

- 1 Disney
- 2 this should not, this list should not be
- 3 interpreted as NIAC's official legislative
- 4 agenda for the year 2009.
- 5 Q. Did you feel you needed to say
- 6 that because NIAC's agenda differed from this
- 7 agenda?
- 8 A. I felt the need to say that
- 9 because it wouldn't be accurate to produce a
- 10 document saying this is NIAC's official
- 11 legislative agenda because that's not
- 12 something we did.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- 14 So he was asking you for that list
- 15 and you sent him the list not of NIAC's
- 16 legislative agenda, you sent him a list of
- 17 CNAPI's legislative agenda, and you said this
- 18 is not NIAC's legislative agenda.
- 19 Is that what you're saying?
- A. Not necessarily.
- 21 The way I would characterize it is
- 22 Kevin asked for a document that didn't exist,
- 23 to the best of my knowledge.
- Q. What document is that?
- 25 A. A formal organizational

- 1 Disney
- 2 legislative agenda for the year.
- 3 Q. For which organization?
- A. For NIAC.
- 5 Q. For NIAC.
- 6 A. I sent him this e-mail saying this
- 7 is not that, and but that this is a list of
- 8 goals discussed in the previous coalition
- 9 meeting, CNAPI coalition meeting that may
- 10 satisfy whatever his purposes were in
- 11 requesting it.
- 12 Q. Where are you reading that, that
- 13 last part, this is a list of CNAPI's proposed
- 14 legislative goals?
- MR. NELSON: Objection, mischarac-
- 16 terizes his testimony.
- 17 A. I'm not reading.
- 18 Q. Where do you read CNAPI on this?
- 19 A. The very first sentence says,
- 20 "This came out of our last coalition meeting."
- 21 Q. That coalition would be CNAPI?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- MR. JENSEN: Let's show you what we
- 25 will mark as Defendant's Exhibit 2.

- 1 Disney
- 2 (Defendant's Exhibit Number 2,
- 3 e-mail, marked for identification, as of
- this date.)
- 5 Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 6 A. I do.
- 7 Q. What is this document?
- 8 A. This is an e-mail from me to Carah
- 9 Ong whose the original coordinator of CNAPI.
- 10 Q. Do you know what the extensive
- 11 conversations about the position, what that's
- 12 in reference to?
- 13 A. Would you mind if I read the whole
- 14 document?
- 15 Q. Take your time.
- 16 A. Can you ask your question again?
- 17 Q. The sentence in the second
- 18 paragraph where it says, "We've had extensive
- 19 conversations about it, " that appears to be in
- 20 reference to you talking to Mike about leading
- 21 the coalition.
- 22 Is that a fair characterization of
- 23 what that paragraph says?
- 24 A. That's fair.
- 25 Q. What did those extensive conversa-

- 2 tions entail?
- 3 A. I was referring especially to the
- 4 first conversation in which Mike approached me
- 5 with the idea. I then conveyed that
- 6 conversation I had with Mike to my colleagues
- 7 at NIAC. We had another conversation about
- 8 that. Then at some other point Mike came to
- 9 NIAC's office to speak with all of us at once,
- 10 and I recall that being a very productive
- 11 conversation.
- 12 Q. What was discussed?
- 13 A. What he was proposing what our
- 14 thoughts were, what our reaction was, and how
- 15 we thought it might work and --
- 16 Q. When you say we are you referring
- 17 to --
- 18 A. NIAC.
- 19 Q. -- employees of NIAC?
- 20 A. Yes, NIAC.
- 21 Q. How did the employees of NIAC
- 22 receive Mike's proposal?
- 23 A. It was a collaborative discussion
- 24 where Mike offered his suggestions and the
- 25 employees of NIAC offered their suggestions,

- 1 Disney
- 2 consensus emerged from that discussion and we
- 3 went forward with the plan.
- Q. Did anyone object to Mike's plan?
- 5 A. There were a number of concerns
- 6 but no overall objections to say we should not
- 7 do this.
- 8 Q. What were those concerns?
- 9 A. Regarding the makeup of the
- 10 coalition what groups would be involved in the
- 11 coalition, what the objective of the coalition
- 12 should be practically. These were areas in
- 13 which individuals at NIAC, myself included
- 14 felt the coalition previously could have done
- 15 better. And when I said people expressed
- 16 concerns I think I was referring to an attempt
- on the part of individuals at NIAC to improve
- 18 upon the previous model.
- 19 Q. Were there any concerns about
- 20 having you run this organization or were the
- 21 concerns solely addressed to the structure of
- 22 the organization?
- MR. NELSON: Object to the term,
- 24 "run the organization."
- You can answer.

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. You would have to ask my
- 3 colleagues if they felt uncomfortable about
- 4 me.
- 5 Q. Did anyone express concerns about
- 6 having you run the organization?
- 7 A. Not to me.
- 8 Q. And was Carah Ong, is that how you
- 9 say it?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Was Carah Ong involved in the
- 12 extensive conversations between you and Mike?
- 13 A. No, in none of our conversations
- 14 was she involved.
- Q. Do you know why not?
- 16 A. She had suffered an accident and
- 17 had left Washington to pursue graduate
- 18 studies, to the best of my knowledge.
- 19 Q. If you look down in the third
- 20 paragraph, the second sentence, the middle of
- 21 that it says, "Despite whatever disagreements
- 22 there may have been in the past, " what
- 23 specifically are you referring to there?
- 24 A. I'm specifically referring to
- 25 event that took place prior to my coming to

- 1 Disney
- 2 NIAC in which there were disagreements that I
- 3 believe caused some emotions between people
- 4 within the coalition.
- 5 Q. What were those disagreements
- 6 regarding?
- 7 A. I have no idea, it was before my
- 8 time.
- 9 Q. So you just knew that those
- 10 disagreements had taken place, you didn't know
- 11 what they were about?
- 12 A. I might have known more details at
- 13 that time I wrote this, but --
- 14 Q. You don't recall that sitting here
- 15 today?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 Why did Mike speak to all of NIAC?
- 19 A. Mike did not speak to all of NIAC,
- 20 he came to our office and spoke with me and my
- 21 colleagues at the same time.
- Q. When your say your colleagues, is
- 23 that the staff of NIAC as we talked about
- 24 earlier, the six or eight people who were
- 25 employed full-time?

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. I don't recall who all was in that
- 3 meeting but it was myself and Trita and I
- 4 would imagine the other people in the
- 5 organization who were relevant to the
- 6 discussion. I don't recall specifically who
- 7 it was, but there are people who it wouldn't
- 8 have been relevant for, for example, our
- 9 office manager to be involved in that meeting.
- 10 Q. Why would it be relevant to them
- if you were the one who had sole responsi-
- 12 bility for managing the CNAPI relationship?
- 13 A. Can you rephrase the question?
- Q. What I'm, I guess my question is,
- if you were the one who was in charge of
- 16 CNAPI, was that fair to say, you were in
- 17 charge of CNAPI or you were running CNAPI; is
- 18 that --
- 19 A. It is fair to say that I
- 20 coordinated the efforts of the coalition.
- 21 Q. Was anyone else at NIAC involved
- 22 with coordinating those efforts?
- 23 A. The ultimate responsibility fell
- 24 to me, I was supported by my coworkers at
- 25 NIAC.

- 1 Disney
- 2 Q. So it was more of in coming to
- 3 talk to you and your colleagues at NIAC, Mike
- 4 was asking for their assistance in running
- 5 CNAPI or managing the coalition?
- 6 A. No, that's not accurate.
- 7 It was, when I say my colleagues
- 8 supported me, it is, I mean in a way of saying
- 9 that my colleagues and I discussed a number of
- 10 matters, I sought their advice for issues,
- 11 when I was particularly busy they would do
- 12 what they could to lighten my workload just
- 13 like I would for them.
- 14 When Mike came to NIAC's office
- 15 for this meeting about the new model for the
- 16 coalition it was to speak with me and Trita
- 17 and anyone else in NIAC who would be involved
- 18 in that decision. We were a small organi-
- 19 zation and decisions like that were taken with
- 20 the advice and counsel of nearly everyone in
- 21 the organization.
- 22 Mike was not seeking to present
- 23 this to everyone at NIAC, Mike came to our
- 24 office to have a conversation and come up with
- 25 a proposal that was agreeable to all of us.

- 2 As an organization NIAC has to consider the
- 3 effects on our community outreach work, on our
- 4 administrative work, on all of our other
- 5 operations from a significant proposal like
- 6 this.
- 7 Q. You may have said this before, if
- 8 you did, forgive me, when did this take place,
- 9 when did the transition of having you manage
- 10 the coalition occur?
- 11 A. It was the Fall of 2008, I to the
- 12 best of my recollection I formally began as
- 13 the coordinator of the coalition in November
- 14 of 2008.
- 15 Q. How much of your time was spent
- 16 managing the coalition?
- 17 A. That's impossible for me to say
- 18 because so much of the work relevant to the
- 19 coalition coincided with my work relevant to
- 20 NIAC. So I would not be able to put any sort
- 21 of a percentage on it.
- 22 Q. Did you view those two organiza-
- 23 tions as having the same objectives or the
- 24 same goals?
- 25 A. As is the case with every two

- 1 Disney
- 2 organizations in existence on, at some level
- 3 there will be differences, I think on the most
- 4 general level the coalition and NIAC were in
- 5 agreement.
- 6 Q. When those conflicts did occur,
- 7 how did you handle those?
- 8 MR. NELSON: I object, that's kind
- 9 of a vague question.
- 10 You can answer if you're able to.
- 11 Q. To restate, I think you said that
- 12 just like any organizations they have times
- 13 where they might disagree.
- What I'm trying to ask and I'm not
- 15 trying to be tricky is when those times did
- 16 occur, how did you handle that, is it --
- 17 A. I guess I should be a little
- 18 clearer about what I meant by differences, I
- 19 said differences, not disagreements.
- 20 A difference, for example, would
- 21 be NIAC going ahead with a project that the
- 22 coalition, if faced with a choice of pursuing
- 23 that same project would not. So for example,
- 24 NIAC works very hard to combat discrimination
- 25 against Iranian-Americans, that is not part of

- 1 Disney
- 2 the coalition's mission.
- And so when the opportunity came
- 4 up for me as a NIAC employee to work on issues
- of discrimination, that could be seen as in
- 6 conflict with my role also as coordinating the
- 7 coalition. It was never a difficult task to
- 8 balance the two for me, so the two never came
- 9 into direct conflict, it was just a matter of
- 10 I would focus on one at one time and another
- 11 at another time.
- 12 Q. Did you have a CNAPI e-mail
- 13 address?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. When you would send you out
- 16 e-mails on behalf of the coalition, would you
- 17 send that from your NIAC account?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Would you, did you track your time
- 20 in any way how much time was spent for
- 21 coalition work, how much time was spent for
- 22 CNAPI work?
- 23 A. If we are going to talk about
- 24 tracking my time I need to make it clear that
- 25 the process of again, speaking only for

- 1 Disney
- 2 myself, my process of tracking my time
- 3 developed over my tenure at NIAC, on my first
- 4 day I did not track my time, on my last day I
- 5 tracked every minute of my time. In between I
- 6 developed a process for that, but it took the
- 7 entire time to develop that process. So your
- 8 question is did I, when I tracked my time did
- 9 I distinguish between coalition work and NIAC
- 10 work?
- 11 Q. Yes.
- 12 A. When it was possible to.
- For example, when we had monthly
- 14 coalition meetings, I marked that time as
- 15 coalition meetings, but I would not say that I
- 16 had one column for coalition time and one
- 17 column for NIAC time and separated out the
- 18 two.
- 19 Q. Where did you track this?
- 20 A. In my, again, when I developed an
- 21 effective tracking process it was in my
- 22 Outlook calendar.
- Q. Did you track it anywhere else?
- 24 A. Not that I recall.
- 25 Q. I think you said that you had

- 1 Disney
- 2 different columns?
- 3 A. I said I did not have different
- 4 columns for coalition.
- 5 Q. Did you have any columns at all?
- 6 A. Columns would not be the right
- 7 word, no.
- 8 Q. I was a little confused when you
- 9 said different columns.
- 10 So the only way you're tracking
- 11 your time is in your Outlook calendar, is this
- 12 a fair statement?
- 13 A. Yes, that's fair.
- 14 Q. And how would you do it, would you
- 15 within the entry write whether it was CNAPI or
- 16 whether it was NIAC?
- 17 A. The process that I developed was
- 18 to categorize events in my calendar in
- 19 Microsoft Outlook, you can color code events
- 20 in a calendar. I did that, I also would make
- 21 a note in the event on the calendar, either
- 22 with regard to what the certain event was or
- 23 which category it would be.
- Q. Approximately when did you start
- 25 employing the color categorization of your

- 1 Disney
- 2 calendar entries?
- 3 A. I honestly don't recall.
- 4 Q. Sometime in 2008?
- 5 A. The only thing I can say with
- 6 confidence is I did not have that process
- 7 regularized in 2008. I would hesitate to get
- 8 any more specific than that.
- 9 Q. In 2009 did you have that process
- 10 regularized?
- 11 A. Again it is difficult to say, I
- 12 don't recall specifically so I wouldn't want
- 13 to hazard a guess.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- MR. JENSEN: I'll show you what we
- will mark as Exhibit Number 3.
- 17 (Defendant's Exhibit Number 3,
- 18 e-mail, marked for identification, as of
- 19 this date.)
- 20 Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 21 A. I do.
- 22 O. Whose Nahzi Nikki?
- 23 A. She was for a time was the NIAC
- 24 accountant.
- Q. Pam Maeda?

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. For a time she was the NIAC office
- 3 manager.
- 4 Q. It appears that this is an e-mail
- 5 from Trita Parsi to Ms. Nikki.
- 6 Is that correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. He's discussing your salary saying
- 9 that, I'm reading the third paragraph, "This
- 10 position is currently fully funded through a
- 11 grant from Open Society Policy Center,
- 12 (\$22,500 for the first three months, a full
- 13 90,000 per year)."
- 14 Why was your salary paid by the
- 15 Open Society Policy Center?
- 16 A. You would have to ask Trita or
- 17 Nahzi specifically why the decision was made
- 18 to cut my salary check out of that fund, but
- 19 NIAC was awarded a grant from Open Society and
- 20 for the work on the coalition, and I would
- 21 leave it at that.
- 22 Q. And did you feel any conflict in
- 23 being paid by an organization that was
- 24 different from the organization that employed
- 25 you?

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. My salary checks were issued on
- 3 behalf of NIAC, so I never saw a conflict, no.
- 4 Q. You were aware the funding for
- 5 your salary came directly from the Open
- 6 Society.
- 7 Is that correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 Did Open Society fund your
- 11 position before you assumed that position as
- 12 managing the coalition?
- 13 A. Can you rephrase.
- 14 Q. Did Open Society fund Carah Ong's,
- 15 Carah's position as manager of CNAPI?
- 16 A. I don't know.
- 17 Q. To clarify, even though Open
- 18 Society was paying your salary, you were a
- 19 NIAC employee.
- Is that correct?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- MR. JENSEN: Let's hand you what we
- 24 will mark as Defendant's Exhibit Number
- 25 4.

- 2 (Defendant's Exhibit Number 4,
- 3 e-mail, marked for identification, as of
- 4 this date.)
- 5 Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 6 A. I do.
- 7 Q. What is this document?
- 8 A. This is an e-mail regarding a set
- 9 of recommendations that I and members of the
- 10 coalition submitted under a program that the
- 11 Obama transition team put in place to solicit
- 12 advice on policy matters.
- 13 Q. If you look down at the second to
- 14 last paragraph, it says, "There has been some
- 15 concern about two groups being listed as
- 16 coalition partners."
- 17 Do you see that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. What are those two groups?
- 20 A. CAIR, The Council on American
- 21 Islamic Relations, and CASMII, The Campaign
- 22 Against Sanctions and Military Involvement in
- 23 Iran, I believe.
- Q. Why was the decision made to
- 25 delist them from the list of coalition

- 2 partners?
- A. I should be clear first, that
- 4 though I attempted in the past to develop a
- 5 formal membership component to the coalition,
- 6 that never really got off the ground, and so
- 7 there was always a question of official versus
- 8 unofficial members of the coalition. And for
- 9 a time we did have a website, the coalition
- 10 did have a website. On that website it listed
- 11 organizations taking part in the coalition's
- 12 activities including CAIR and CASMII. The
- 13 concerns I was referencing here was in two
- 14 parts, one that these organizations simply did
- 15 not participate in coalition activities, and
- 16 which was true, and while they may have at
- 17 some point it was before my time. The second
- 18 concern was that these two organizations were
- 19 viewed by many people within the coalition as
- 20 not contributing positively to the reputation
- 21 of the coalition. With those two concerns,
- 22 those two concerns advised the decision to
- 23 remove them from the website.
- Q. In what way were they not
- 25 contributing positively to the reputation of

- 2 the organization?
- 3 A. They are controversial
- 4 organizations.
- 5 O. What's controversial about them?
- 6 A. A number of critics publicized
- 7 articles or various other things in opposition
- 8 to those organizations, that's as much as I
- 9 can say really.
- 10 Q. What's the basis for that
- 11 opposition? What, let me ask that in a
- 12 different way.
- Do other members of the coalition
- 14 also receive opposition from other parties?
- 15 A. Every organization has its
- 16 critics, some more than others.
- 17 Q. So what, if every organization had
- 18 it critics, what was the basis for the
- 19 controversy with CAIR and CASMII?
- 20 A. The coalition from its founding
- 21 and during my time coordinating it took pains
- 22 not to be branded as a partisan or somehow
- 23 biased group. We sought to include as many
- 24 different perspectives as possible along the
- 25 political spectrum. We felt that these two

- 1 Disney
- 2 groups being listed publicly on the website as
- 3 part of our efforts despite their not being
- 4 actively involved in contributing to the
- 5 activities of the coalition brought negative,
- 6 had a negative impact on the coalition
- 7 reputation as this, as a nonpartisan,
- 8 nonbiased group.
- 9 Q. Who was involved in the decision
- 10 to delist them?
- 11 A. I don't recall what discussions I
- 12 had with other people, but the ultimate
- 13 decision was mine.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- Did anyone object to that decision
- 16 that you're aware of?
- 17 A. To my knowledge no one responded
- 18 to this e-mail saying they objected to this
- 19 decision.
- 20 Q. Other than responding to this
- 21 e-mail did you hear any objections to that
- 22 decision?
- 23 A. I don't recall any objections, I
- 24 don't recall any objections.
- 25 Q. Do you know who Alex Paddico

- 2 (phonetic) is?
- 3 A. Yes, I met him once or twice.
- 4 O. Whose Alex Paddico?
- 5 A. He's an, I believe one of the
- 6 cofounders of NIAC and served in various
- 7 capacities on NIAC's board.
- 8 Q. Do you know what else he did?
- 9 A. That's to the extent of my
- 10 knowledge.
- 11 Q. Do you know about if he has any
- 12 relationship to CASMII?
- 13 A. I'm not aware of any.
- 14 O. You're not aware that he's the
- 15 number two person for CASMII, the CASMII
- 16 organization?
- 17 MR. NELSON: Objection, no
- 18 foundation.
- 19 You can answer.
- 20 A. I'm aware of no connection between
- 21 Alex and CASMII.
- 22 O. If, that's fine.
- 23 Let's talk about the Legislative
- 24 Watch program. Can you tell me about that?
- 25 It is not in the e-mail, just shifting gears.

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. Okay, LegWatch as we called it was
- 3 another program that began before my time
- 4 started at NIAC, but that was the grant
- 5 essentially under which the legislative team
- 6 and later the policy team operated at NIAC.
- 7 Q. How much of your time was spent on
- 8 that program?
- 9 A. Again, I couldn't speculate a
- 10 percentage of my time given that there is such
- 11 vast overlap between a lot of my activities.
- 12 Q. Did you note how much of your time
- 13 was spent on that program when you were
- 14 recording this in your calendar?
- 15 A. When I recorded it in my calendar
- 16 I never noted which grant my activities would
- 17 fall under, but I maintained a record of my
- 18 activities.
- 19 Q. Back up a little bit, do you
- 20 recall the different colors that you used for
- 21 CNAPI and NIAC?
- 22 A. No, I'm actually color-blind, I
- 23 remember --
- Q. How were you able to record it if
- 25 you are color-blind?

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. Microsoft is very useful for that
- 3 sort of thing. It was, what I remember is it
- 4 was a control F4, control F-something process.
- 5 Q. Okay.
- 6 Then if you went back to look at
- 7 it, how would you be able to tell?
- 8 A. I'm not.
- 9 Q. How much time was spent?
- 10 A. It is not black and white, I can
- 11 distinguish between colors, so I would have no
- 12 problem with that.
- 13 Q. You went back and reviewed, you
- 14 would be able to look at the calendar to see
- 15 whether a particular event had the CNAPI color
- 16 or whether it had the NIAC color?
- 17 A. If you're interested in the
- 18 categories I used, to the best of my recollec-
- 19 tion they were such things as programing,
- 20 administrative, community outreach activities,
- 21 activities that could be classified as
- 22 lobbying under legal regulations. And there
- 23 were a number of varying, for example,
- 24 legislative lobbying, nonlegislative lobbying.
- 25 According to the regulations distinction have

- 1 Disney
- 2 to be made even further down, those were the
- 3 categories that I used.
- 4 Q. By categories you mean colors?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. How many colors in total did you
- 7 use on your calendar?
- 8 A. Seven or eight.
- 9 Q. All right.
- 10 But you did not have a color
- 11 specifically devoted to Legislative Watch?
- 12 A. Specifically devoted to the
- 13 Legislative Watch grant program, no.
- 14 Q. But you did have one specifically
- 15 devoted to CNAPI.
- 16 Is that correct?
- 17 A. That's not correct.
- 18 Q. That's not correct.
- 19 A. Previously when I said there
- 20 weren't columns nor were there colors for
- 21 coalition activities versus noncoalition
- 22 activities.
- 23 Q. So how would you track your CNAPI
- 24 time?
- 25 A. I don't believe I said I tracked

- 2 specifically time according to grants. Again,
- 3 I noted in my calendar what types of
- 4 activities I was doing, for example, lobbying
- 5 activities or community outreach activities or
- 6 administrative activities, when it was
- 7 necessary to distinguish between coalition
- 8 activities or LegWatch activities for purposes
- 9 of reporting on a grant, that was possible
- 10 given the thorough records that I had made.
- 11 Q. So I must have misunderstood, you
- 12 were talking about recording your CNAPI time
- 13 versus recording your NIAC time and that's
- 14 when you said, "I didn't use columns, I used
- 15 colors." You introduced us to this color
- 16 scheme at that point in time, in fact you had
- 17 no CNAPI color, you weren't using that to
- 18 denote your CNAPI time, but you did say you
- 19 would note, you would type in to the entries,
- 20 there was a way to track your CNAPI time?
- 21 A. You did misunderstand.
- 22 What I said previously was
- 23 occasional events in my calendar, for example
- 24 coalition meetings which were held every month
- 25 for a time, I would have in my calendar

- 1 Disney
- 2 coalition meeting. I remember putting it in
- 3 as a recurring monthly thing as it was always
- 4 the same time, the same day every month.
- 5 Insofar as that is concerned I
- 6 could tell if I had my Outlook calendar in
- 7 front of me today this was a coalition meeting
- 8 because it would say coalition meeting. There
- 9 were, I'm certain there were coalition
- 10 activities that I did not mark as coalition
- 11 activities, again because of this overlap, and
- 12 at no point I believe in this conversation did
- 13 I ever say there was columns or colors or
- 14 anything to denote specifically a category of
- 15 coalition meetings or coalition activities.
- 16 Q. So what color would the coalition
- 17 meetings have gotten?
- 18 A. It depends on the activity.
- 19 I generally marked coalition
- 20 meetings as legislative lobbying because a
- 21 number of those discussions dealt with
- 22 Congressional sanctions bills, but again under
- 23 our system it was such that the topic of the
- 24 event, the purpose of doing an activity
- 25 determined the category.

- 1 Disney
- Q. Determined which color got it.
- 3 Do you know if LegWatch was also
- 4 funded by OSI?
- 5 A. There were differences within OSI,
- 6 but in the large Open Society Institute, yes.
- 7 Q. It was funded by OSI?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. What was Iran Legislative Working
- 10 Group?
- 11 A. That is an e-mail listserv that
- 12 again preceded my coming to NIAC. From what I
- 13 recall there were separate meetings between,
- 14 there was a large coalition meeting held once
- 15 a month, and occasionally there would be a
- 16 meeting held separate from that with many of
- 17 the same people involved with a particular
- 18 emphasis on legislative issues. And I
- 19 remember under my coordination there were a
- 20 handful of those meetings, maybe a few of
- 21 those meetings, but in my judgment it became
- 22 not redundant, it in my judgment it was not
- 23 necessary.
- Q. When did you become, when did you
- 25 begin managing that organization or that I

- 1 Disney
- 2 guess it is a listserv it sounds like, when
- 3 did you take on the responsibility?
- 4 A. It sort of came with the job of
- 5 coordinating the coalition.
- б Q. Okay.
- 7 Are the members of the Iran
- 8 Legislative Working Group different from the
- 9 members of the coalition?
- 10 A. Again, the only formal list of
- 11 people involved in the Iran Legislative
- 12 Working Group are people who subscribed to
- 13 this e-mail list, in the handful of times I
- 14 attended meetings under that sort of rubric it
- 15 was a voluntary arrangement.
- 16 Q. How much of your time would you
- 17 say was spent working on that?
- 18 A. Very little, I couldn't say, like
- 19 I said it was never a formal arrangement, and
- 20 so I wouldn't say I devoted much time to it.
- 21 Q. Is there, you said there were some
- 22 overlap, I think.
- Was there any connection between
- 24 that working group and the Legislative Watch
- 25 program?

- 2 A. You're asking was there any
- 3 connection between the Legislative Working
- 4 Group and Legislative Watch program, no.
- 5 There were, actually it was important to us to
- 6 distinguish our Legislative Watch program,
- 7 that was a purely educational program where
- 8 under that program it was, our activities
- 9 involved educating members of Congress and the
- 10 public about important issues, not any sort of
- 11 advocacy.
- 12 Q. Would you say that the Legislative
- 13 Watch program engaged in lobbying activities?
- MR. NELSON: Objection.
- You can answer if you're qualified
- to do so.
- 17 A. The purpose of the Legislative
- 18 Watch program was not to engage in lobbying
- 19 activities, the purpose was to engage in
- 20 educational activities.
- 21 Q. What do you mean by educational
- 22 activities?
- A. Meeting with Members of Congress
- 24 or their staffs about Iran-related issues and
- 25 informing them on Iran-related issues and

- 1 Disney
- 2 issues related to the Iranian-American
- 3 community and making them more informed about
- 4 those issues in addition to public education
- 5 to the broader public.
- 6 Q. What do you mean, give me an
- 7 example of issues that are of concern to the
- 8 Iranian-American community that you're
- 9 communicating to Members of Congress?
- 10 A. Discrimination, civil rights,
- 11 immigration, as well as the opinions of the
- 12 Iranian-American community. Not many Members
- 13 of Congress know about Iranian-Americans, and
- 14 we took it upon ourselves to inform them about
- 15 this community.
- 16 Q. Was you said it was educational,
- 17 did you ever inform them about the opinions of
- 18 Iranian-Americans in regard to specific policy
- 19 issues or legislation?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Specifically we generally educated
- 22 Members of Congress and their staffs about
- 23 Iranian-Americans' views on issues such as
- 24 war, diplomacy, sanctions, and the Iranian
- 25 government, these sorts of things.

- 1 Disney
- Q. You said war, diplomacy,
- 3 sanctions, would you express to Members of
- 4 Congress that the Iranian-American community
- 5 opposed sanctions against Iran, is that the
- 6 type of thing you would be doing?
- 7 A. We attempted to convey the, we
- 8 attempted to convey the perspective of
- 9 Iranian-Americans who, many of whom have
- 10 family members still in Iran, many of whom
- 11 attempt to travel back and forth to and from
- 12 Iran frequently, and many of whom have various
- interests in both The United States and Iran.
- 14 And we tried to inform Members of Congress
- 15 about how proposed legislation or issues of
- 16 the day would affect our community.
- We occasionally would take polls
- 18 of our members and of the larger community
- 19 about their opinions about these matters, we
- 20 would convey that to the Members of Congress,
- 21 their staffs as well as the public.
- Q. Do you view that as being
- 23 different from advocacy positions that NIAC
- 24 would take in regard to the same legislation?
- 25 A. Can you rephrase?

- 1 Disney
- 2 Q. So if you go up there under the
- 3 Legislative Watch program and tell a
- 4 particular Member of Congress that Iranian-
- 5 Americans, let's stick with the sanctions
- 6 example, oppose sanctions against Iran, as
- 7 NIAC you would also go up and meet with
- 8 Members of Congress and say, "Our organization
- 9 opposes sanctions against Iran."
- 10 How would you distinguish between
- 11 those two, how would you know which is a
- 12 Legislative Watch program and which is you
- 13 advocating on behalf of your organization?
- MR. NELSON: Object to the form of
- 15 the question.
- You can answer.
- 17 A. On the specific question of
- 18 advocacy versus education versus legislative
- 19 lobbying versus nonlegislative lobbying, in my
- 20 time I came to understand that it is an
- 21 extraordinary complex question. It often came
- 22 down on to a matter of interpretation and
- 23 intentions behind certain activities.
- In my experience, I had meetings
- 25 and engaged in activities that were

- 1 Disney
- 2 categorized as education and I had other
- 3 activities that I categorized as advocacy and
- 4 other activities that I categorized as
- 5 lobbying.
- 6 Q. What was your basis for getting to
- 7 that categorization?
- 8 A. We had in our office we had over
- 9 quite a long period of time we gathered as
- 10 much information as we could about the
- 11 relevant definitions of these activities as
- 12 well as the relevant legal regulations that
- 13 govern those activities.
- Q. Whose gathering those?
- 15 A. A number of people in NIAC worked
- 16 on gathering the information for this
- 17 question. To the extent we also approached
- 18 legal experts around town in D.C. for their
- 19 interpretations.
- Q. Who at NIAC specifically was
- 21 involved with that, those efforts and which
- 22 legal experts did you consult?
- 23 A. Specifically I know I was involved
- 24 in researching this issue, I know my
- 25 colleagues David Elliott and Trita Parsi were

- 1 Disney
- 2 involved in researching this issue, I don't
- 3 know the names or the names of the firms of
- 4 the legal experts we consulted.
- 5 Q. Did you see a memo or any work
- 6 product from any of those firms?
- 7 A. We had a number of resources.
- 8 There was a packet of information
- 9 that was pulled off of the internet that
- 10 answered a number of frequently asked
- 11 questions on this issue. We also had a packet
- 12 of information provided to us from legal
- 13 experts again on frequently asked questions.
- 14 We had other --
- Q. When you say other?
- 16 A. We had other documents answering
- 17 specific questions that individuals at NIAC
- 18 posed to these legal experts, we consulted all
- 19 of these.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 Who were those legal experts?
- 22 A. I don't know.
- Q. Do you remember the packets, do
- 24 you remember that people consulted with them?
- 25 A. I remember the packets, I remember

- 1 Disney
- 2 having one meeting outside of our office with
- 3 a legal expert, but I don't recall the name of
- 4 the person or the firm.
- 5 Q. When did that meeting occur?
- 6 A. I don't know precisely, Kevin Cowl
- 7 was with NIAC at the time so it was after
- 8 Summer '09, it was before, I believe it was
- 9 before Jamal started so it was sometime
- 10 mid-2009.
- 11 Q. And when did people begin
- 12 consulting legal experts?
- 13 A. That's difficult for me to say, I
- 14 didn't begin the process.
- 15 Q. When did you become aware people
- 16 were consulting the legal experts?
- 17 A. Sometime in 2009.
- 18 Q. The beginning of 2009?
- 19 A. I couldn't specify.
- 20 Q. Do you know if people began
- 21 consulting legal experts before Kevin started
- 22 working at NIAC?
- 23 A. Its, I couldn't say what other
- 24 people did at what time, I'm not aware.
- Q. Were you aware that anyone was

- 1 Disney
- 2 consulting legal experts prior to Kevin
- 3 starting?
- 4 A. I'm not aware of specific
- 5 instances, but that doesn't mean it didn't
- 6 happen.
- 7 Q. And how was this, these policies
- 8 conveyed to members of NIAC staff?
- 9 A. Which policies?
- 10 Q. The policies or how was the legal
- 11 advice you were getting from these legal
- 12 experts conveyed to members of NIAC staff
- 13 specifically in regards to what, how to
- 14 categorize these different activities you're
- 15 engaged in?
- 16 A. Like I said there were a number of
- 17 attempts to put a finer point to the question,
- 18 and we brought in a representative from an
- 19 organization that specializes in this sort of
- 20 issue who was wholly unhelpful. We consulted
- 21 a number of websites and other organizations
- 22 online, with information the IRS puts out
- 23 information on their website, the House of
- 24 Representatives and the Senate even have
- 25 information on their websites. Then the

- 1 Disney
- 2 packets of information were presented to us
- 3 sometimes through Kevin, sometimes through
- 4 various other ways, and then the one document
- 5 where we actually as an employees of NIAC
- 6 drafted questions, specific questions that
- 7 would be relevant to this issue of categori-
- 8 zation that we then sent to experts, and they
- 9 replied with answers.
- 10 Q. Do you remember who the
- 11 organization or the individuals you said were
- 12 was unhelpful who came in to speak to you was?
- 13 A. If I recall correctly it was from
- 14 CLIPI, The Center for Lobbying in the Public
- 15 Interest, I believe C-L-I-P-I is the acronym,
- 16 this was a person Kevin arranged to have come
- 17 into our office.
- 18 Q. So this would have been sometime
- 19 after the Summer 2009 --
- 20 A. Or during.
- 21 Q. -- or during the Summer 2009.
- Do you remember?
- 23 A. Kevin was there so it was after
- 24 Kevin began, but I'm not even certain of
- 25 Kevin's first day.

- 1 Disney
- Q. Okay.
- 3 And did you consider yourself a
- 4 lobbyist?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Did you think you were engaging in
- 7 lobbying?
- 8 A. Can you refine the question a
- 9 little bit.
- 10 Q. Did you lobby Members of Congress?
- 11 A. There are activities that I
- 12 participated in that fit the legal definition
- 13 of lobbying, yes.
- 14 Q. At the time did you consider
- 15 yourself to be engaged in lobbying?
- MR. NELSON: Asked and answered.
- 17 A. At the time when I had developed a
- 18 conception of the legal term lobbying and then
- 19 developed a process by which I could catego-
- 20 rize my activities, occasional activities of
- 21 mine that I engaged in were categorized as
- 22 lobbying under the legal definition, yes.
- 23 Q. And when did you come to
- 24 understand what the legal definition of
- 25 lobbying was and how?

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. Like I said before --
- 3 MR. NELSON: I note an objection,
- 4 you're answering based on your personal
- 5 knowledge, not as an expert in this area.
- 6 A. Like I said before, it is an
- 7 extraordinarily complicated legal definition,
- 8 and one that I to this day do not have a
- 9 complete grasp of and nor do I have
- 10 qualifications to have a complete grasp of it.
- 11 And rather than say there was a point at which
- 12 I developed an understanding of the term, I
- 13 would say it was an ongoing process of
- 14 developing a way of interpreting the term.
- 15 Q. At some point in time you
- 16 developed sufficient comfort with the term to
- 17 be able to implement this color categorization
- 18 of your activities to determine what was
- 19 lobbying and what was not.
- Is that a fair statement about
- 21 what you were doing?
- MR. NELSON: Object to the form of
- the question.
- You may answer if you're able.
- 25 A. There was a point at which I

TransPerfect Legal Solutions 212-400-8845 - Depo@TransPerfect.com

- 1 Disney
- 2 became comfortable with my process for
- 3 categorizing my activities. At no time did I
- 4 depend only on myself and my interpretation,
- 5 but I had a process that allowed me to perform
- 6 my duties with confidence.
- 7 Q. How would you determine how to
- 8 categorize those different activities?
- 9 A. On various activities I would
- 10 consult the documents that we had obtained and
- 11 the records that we had built up over time to
- 12 help with this interpretation. And oftentimes
- 13 I would, I and my colleagues would discuss it.
- 14 And if I or any of my colleagues were unsure
- 15 about a certain activity, I would turn to, for
- 16 example, David and say, "What do you think
- 17 about this?" He would do the same for me, we
- 18 would think through the question and arrive at
- 19 a decision.
- 20 Q. The decision was whether or not a
- 21 particular activity was or was not lobbying?
- 22 A. Or some other activity categori-
- 23 zation.
- Q. What were the categorizations that
- 25 you had? I think you said seven or eight

- 1 Disney
- 2 different categories?
- A. Yes.
- 4 MR. NELSON: Note an objection,
- 5 asked and answered.
- 6 You can answer again.
- 7 A. Administrative work, community
- 8 outreach work, programing, public outreach,
- 9 legislative lobbying, nonlegislative lobbying,
- 10 and then what I categorized as LDA lobbying
- 11 under the Lobbying Disclosure Act definition.
- Q. Any others?
- 13 A. I don't recall any others.
- 14 O. And what's the difference between
- 15 legislative lobbying and LDA?
- 16 A. Again under my nonexpert
- 17 understanding --
- 18 Q. I'm asking how you categorize
- 19 this.
- 20 A. Sure.
- 21 It is a difference of you said
- 22 legislative and LDA?
- Q. Yes, legislative lobbying and LDA.
- 24 A. Legislative lobbying under my
- 25 categorization was a meeting with a lobbying

- 1 Disney
- 2 contact under the definition of a lobbying
- 3 contact regarding expressing a position on a
- 4 particular piece of legislation. LDA lobbying
- 5 was a lobbying contact in which I expressed an
- 6 opinion about a position or policy of the U.S.
- 7 government that is not a piece of legislation.
- 8 Q. Community outreach, how would you
- 9 define that?
- 10 A. I have public outreach and public
- 11 outreach was one category. I had another
- 12 category that involved community outreach work
- 13 that I'm probably getting that one wrong.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 A. There was a category that I
- 16 created to fall under work that fell under
- 17 Michelle and Arsalan's side of the organi-
- 18 zation which involved dealing with membership,
- 19 dealing with NIAC's membership, I don't recall
- 20 exactly what I labeled that category.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 And nonlegislative lobbying?
- 23 A. Nonlegislative lobbying fell under
- 24 the IRS definition of lobbying as opposed to
- 25 the LDA definition of lobbying. Activities

- 1 Disney
- 2 that met the definition of a lobbying contact
- 3 under the IRS regulations but that was not
- 4 specifically related to a piece of
- 5 legislation.
- 6 Q. How would you handle it if an
- 7 activity fell under more than one category?
- 8 A. I remember in my categorization
- 9 scheme on Outlook there were activities that I
- 10 marked as two categories.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 So there was a mechanism within
- 13 Outlook to mark multiple, could you do more
- 14 than two if it fell into more than one
- 15 category?
- 16 A. I believe you could, I don't
- 17 recall specifically doing that.
- 18 Q. It didn't meld the colors?
- 19 A. There was a box that would show
- 20 that color.
- 21 MR. JENSEN: Let's take a short
- 22 break and we will reconvene as soon as
- possible.
- 24 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
- 25 3:56, this ends tape number one of the

- 1 Disney
- video deposition of Patrick Disney.
- 3 Off the record.
- 4 (Whereupon, an off-the-record
- 5 discussion was held.)
- 6 MR. JENSEN: Mark this Defendant's
- 7 Exhibit 5.
- 8 (Defendant's Exhibit Number 5,
- 9 meeting notes, marked for identification,
- 10 as of this date.)
- 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
- 12 4:04. This begins tape number two of the
- videotaped deposition of Patrick Disney.
- 14 Q. We were talking about the
- 15 color-coding system that you had for your
- 16 calendar entries.
- Were you part of the production
- 18 that went back and forth in this matter?
- 19 A. Which matter are you referring to?
- 20 Q. In the case that we are discussing
- 21 here, NIAC and Parsi versus Hassan, did you
- 22 participate in any of the responding discovery
- 23 requests from the defendant?
- 24 A. I was ordered to collect and turn
- over documents for the discovery process, yes.

- 1 Disney
- Q. Was part of that going through
- 3 your calendar entries?
- 4 A. Yes, part of that was going
- 5 through my calendar entries.
- 6 Q. And did you participate in
- 7 preparing or collecting your calendar entries
- 8 for production to the defendant?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- In doing that did you capture the
- 12 color-coding system that you described to us
- 13 here today?
- 14 A. I don't recall specifically the
- 15 state the calendar was in when we turned it
- 16 over, so I couldn't say.
- 17 Q. Do you recall if you had been
- 18 using this color-coding system when you turned
- 19 over calendars initially, I think which was in
- 20 December 2009?
- 21 A. It is hard to me to say because I
- 22 don't recall whether I had the color-coding
- 23 system the entire time or if it began after
- 24 the first documents were turned over in
- 25 discovery, it is difficult to say.

- 1 Disney
- 2 Q. You don't remember when you
- 3 started using this calendar?
- 4 A. Again, it was a process of
- 5 developing a technique and it took quite a lot
- 6 of time to go from day one to the ultimate
- 7 process I had.
- 8 Q. When did you first start using
- 9 colors to categorize your --
- 10 A. I couldn't say, I don't know.
- 11 O. Would it have been 2008?
- MR. NELSON: Objection.
- 13 A. I don't think it was 2008, no.
- 14 Q. Was it 2009?
- MR. NELSON: Same objection.
- 16 A. I couldn't say.
- 17 Q. All right.
- 18 I'm showing you what's been marked
- 19 as Defendant's Exhibit 5. Do you recognize
- 20 this document?
- 21 A. I do.
- Q. If you flip over to page three do
- 23 you see where it says, "Introducing
- 24 legislation to support beginning negotiations
- 25 with Iran and to provide the administration

- 1 Disney
- 2 the tools it needs to go forward?"
- 3 A. Yes, I see it.
- 4 Q. Would you characterize, how would
- 5 you characterize that based on your color-
- 6 coding?
- 7 A. I'm sorry?
- 8 MR. NELSON: Object to the form of
- 9 the question.
- 10 Q. This activity, "Introducing
- 11 legislation to support beginning negotiations
- 12 with Iran to provide the administration the
- 13 tools it needs to go forward, " based on the
- 14 seven color codes that you described to me,
- 15 which one of those would you have used to
- 16 categorize this particular activity?
- 17 MR. NELSON: Objection, lack of
- 18 foundation.
- 19 A. This isn't an activity that I'm
- 20 capable of participating in, I'm not a Member
- 21 of Congress.
- 22 O. What about activities to influence
- 23 the introduction of legislation, how would you
- 24 characterize that?
- 25 A. Hypothetically?

- 1 Disney
- Q. No, specifically how would you
- 3 categorize activities to influence the
- 4 introduction of legislation?
- 5 MR. NELSON: Objection to
- 6 foundation.
- 7 A. But the activity, it is a
- 8 hypothetical activity.
- 9 Q. Yes.
- 10 Let's say introduction of
- 11 legislation regarding to abolish the trade
- 12 sanctions against Iran.
- 13 A. Like I said before, the legal
- 14 classifications of these types of activities
- 15 are extraordinarily complex, and in order to
- 16 categorize any sort of activity dealing with
- 17 legislation in the right spot, I would need to
- 18 know a lot more details than what you just
- 19 said.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 Whose Lara Friedman, is she a
- 22 Member of Congress?
- 23 A. No, she represents Americans for
- 24 Peace Now.
- Q. Why is her name behind that entry

- 1 Disney
- 2 underneath setting the new agenda?
- 3 A. To be honest, I don't recall.
- 4 O. Did you draft this document?
- 5 A. I did draft this document.
- 6 Q. What is this document?
- 7 A. This is a document recapping a
- 8 meeting of the coalition.
- 9 Q. What specifically is number four,
- 10 "Setting the new agenda," what is that list?
- 11 A. I'm sorry, I don't recall. It
- 12 says here, "Brainstorming our priorities for
- 13 the coming months," this was a discussion that
- 14 we had about potential activities.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 Underneath that section where it
- 17 says "Recap: Actions requested, Lara Friedman
- 18 is working on a piece of legislation to
- 19 provide the administration the tools it needs
- 20 for negotiations to succeed. She's in need of
- 21 information about the current body of U.S.
- 22 sanctions in Iran. Once introduced this could
- 23 be a piece of legislation we all support."
- Would you categorize that as
- 25 lobbying under your understanding of that

- 1 Disney
- 2 term?
- 3 A. Which part?
- 4 MR. NELSON: Objection, vague.
- 5 Q. The description of what Lara
- 6 Friedman's activities are.
- 7 MR. NELSON: Before you answer, are
- 8 you asking him whether or not Lara
- 9 Friedman was lobbying or whether or not
- 10 he was lobbying?
- 11 Q. I'm asking whether or not the
- 12 activity that's described in this bullet point
- 13 right here you would categorize that as
- 14 lobbying. What Lara Friedman is doing or what
- 15 this bullet point says Lara Friedman will be
- 16 doing?
- 17 A. Again, I can't stress enough that
- 18 there are a number of factors that need to be
- 19 taken into account in determining whether an
- 20 activity is legally classified as lobbying,
- 21 and there are a lot of them. And without
- 22 knowing the specifics I wouldn't be able to
- 23 make a judgment.
- Q. What about drafting a piece of
- 25 legislation that you were going to give to a

- 1 Disney
- 2 Member of Congress with the intent to have
- 3 them introduce that as a bill?
- 4 MR. NELSON: Object to the form of
- 5 the question.
- 6 You can answer the hypothetical if
- 7 you're able.
- 8 A. Again, there are circumstances
- 9 under which that could be lobbying, there are
- 10 other circumstances under which that would not
- 11 be lobbying.
- 12 Q. What about the circumstances under
- 13 which it would be lobbying?
- 14 A. I would hesitate to rattle things
- 15 off the top of my head, but there are a number
- 16 of activities and circumstances that a number
- 17 of criteria need to be met for an activity
- 18 such as that to be categorized as lobbying.
- 19 Q. And did CNAPI draft proposed
- 20 legislation?
- 21 A. CNAPI was an informal working
- 22 group of individuals, so as an organization
- 23 CNAPI didn't do anything of the sort.
- Q. Did the coalition CNAPI discuss
- 25 having its members draft legislation that it

- 1 Disney
- 2 would submit to Members of Congress?
- 3 A. Individuals who participated in
- 4 CNAPI, for example Lara Friedman drafted
- 5 pieces of legislation and informed others in
- 6 CNAPI they were doing that.
- 7 Q. Did anyone at NIAC draft
- 8 legislation that was submitted to Members of
- 9 Congress?
- 10 A. People at NIAC worked on draft
- 11 pieces of legislation from time to time, yes.
- 12 Q. When you say worked on, what do
- 13 you mean by that?
- 14 A. A range of things, offering
- 15 suggestions for already drafted pieces of
- 16 legislation that are yet to be introduced,
- 17 thinking creatively and drafting potential
- 18 pieces of legislation ourselves, we did that
- 19 very infrequently but there were times when we
- 20 did that.
- 21 Q. How would you categorize that
- 22 particular activity?
- MR. NELSON: Which activity?
- MR. JENSEN: The one that he
- 25 described where they would draft

- 1 Disney
- 2 legislation that they would submit to
- 3 Members of Congress.
- 4 A. I would never make a definitive
- 5 categorization about such an activity without
- 6 knowing the details of the specific nature of
- 7 that activity, so unless you want to speak
- 8 about a specific instance, I wouldn't want to
- 9 generalize.
- 10 Q. Under your definition would
- 11 drafting a piece of legislation that you
- 12 submit to a Member of Congress, would that be
- 13 lobbying as far as you understand the term?
- 14 MR. NELSON: Objection, calls for
- 15 speculation.
- 16 A. Can you rephrase it?
- 17 O. Yes.
- 18 If you were to draft a piece of
- 19 legislation to submit to a Member of Congress,
- 20 based on your understanding of the term would
- 21 you categorize that as lobbying?
- 22 A. Without speculating about the
- 23 large number of other details that would be
- 24 involved in making that classification, it is
- 25 very difficult to generalize.

- 1 Disney
- 2 In my activities at NIAC I was
- 3 very hesitant to make a definitive categori-
- 4 zation about any activity without basing it on
- 5 a very solid foundation. Again, I lack of
- 6 qualifications to make a definitive legal
- 7 judgment on something like that, I depend on a
- 8 number of different resources, so that's as
- 9 far as I can qo.
- 10 MR. JENSEN: I show you what we
- 11 will mark as Defendant's Exhibit 6, for
- 12 identification.
- 13 (Defendant's Exhibit Number 6,
- meeting notes, marked for identification,
- as of this date.)
- 16 Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 17 A. Yes, I do.
- 18 Q. What is this document?
- 19 A. This is meeting notes following a
- 20 different meeting of the coalition.
- Q. Did you draft this document?
- 22 A. I believe I did.
- 23 Q. If you look on the first page
- 24 underneath legislative update, down at the
- 25 bottom it says, "We decided to push Berman,

- 1 Disney
- 2 others in the House to write a letter to Obama
- 3 endorsing an interests section. Also, getting
- 4 the 7 senators who wrote the letter to Bush to
- 5 resubmit it to Obama."
- 6 Who was Berman?
- 7 A. Howard Berman who was the Chair of
- 8 the House Foreign Affairs Committee.
- 9 Q. What is the interest section that
- 10 is referenced here?
- 11 A. Toward the end of the Bush
- 12 administration there was an idea circulating
- in the Bush administration to open some sort
- 14 of U.S. office in Iran that could serve as not
- 15 as official embassy but a place to represent
- 16 American diplomatic interests with a different
- 17 diplomatic classification from an embassy.
- 18 Q. And where it says, "We decided to
- 19 push Berman to write a letter endorsing that
- 20 section, would you categorize that as
- 21 lobbying under your understanding of the term?
- 22 A. I don't recall the conversation.
- I also don't recall any activities
- 24 following this conversation that, I just don't
- 25 remember participating in any of those

- 1 Disney
- 2 activities. The decision to at a future date
- do this sort of activity, that decision
- 4 wouldn't be categorized as a lobbying
- 5 activity.
- Q. What does, "Decided to push Berman
- 7 mean?"
- 8 A. Again I don't recall the
- 9 conversation, but based on this document, I
- 10 would say this was a conversation within the
- 11 coalition meeting in which consensus emerged
- 12 that individuals would in their dealings with
- 13 Chairman Berman, would urge him to do what
- 14 this says.
- 15 Q. So urging Chairman Berman trying
- 16 to influence him to take a particular
- 17 approximation on legislation, is that an
- 18 accurate statement?
- 19 A. No, it is not because this is not
- 20 dealing with a particular piece of legis-
- 21 lation.
- 22 O. The interest section --
- 23 A. That's the idea in the Bush
- 24 administration to open an office, that's not a
- 25 piece of legislation.

- 1 Disney
- Q. Well, flip over to the second
- 3 page, the section Coalition Hill Reception.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. It looks like that's discussing an
- 6 event to reintroduce our coalition to
- 7 lawmakers and staff.
- 8 How would you categorize your time
- 9 spent organizing something like this?
- 10 A. Let me read the rest of it, one
- 11 second.
- 12 Q. Sure.
- 13 A. Can you ask your question again.
- 14 Q. How would you categorize your time
- 15 spent on efforts to host this reception?
- 16 A. Under my color scheme?
- 17 O. Yes.
- 18 A. Again, with the understanding that
- 19 this event never actually took place though I
- 20 did spend time working on trying to organize
- 21 it, this would be the intention in my working
- 22 on this event was to organize a social
- 23 gathering on Capitol Hill to publicize the
- 24 fact that there is this coalition of
- 25 organizations that exists. If I had the

- 1 Disney
- 2 color-coding scheme at the time, I don't
- 3 recall if I did, I most likely would have
- 4 categorized a lot of that work as programing.
- 5 Q. Would it have received any of the
- 6 legislative lobbying or the LDA or nonlegis-
- 7 lative lobbying categories?
- 8 A. It depends on the activity again,
- 9 but in general if I were to engage in activity
- 10 trying to organize a reception, no, it would
- 11 not.
- 12 Q. Look down at the bottom of that
- 13 page which says, "Our legislative goals for
- 14 the 111th Congress."
- Do you see that?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Did you consider your work in
- 18 developing and carrying out those legislative
- 19 goals to be lobbying?
- 20 A. Let me read this section.
- 21 O. Sure.
- 22 A. I'm sorry, can you repeat the
- 23 question.
- Q. Could you describe, would you
- 25 consider your work developing, carrying out

- 1 Disney
- 2 these legislative goals to be lobbying?
- 3 A. Once again that's too vague of a
- 4 question to answer with specificity. I'm not
- 5 even confident I could say I participated in
- 6 any activities pursuing all of these goals.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- 8 MR. JENSEN: Let's show you what we
- 9 will mark as Defendant's Exhibit Number
- 10 7.
- 11 (Defendant's Exhibit Number 7,
- meeting notes, marked for identification,
- as of this date.)
- Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 15 A. I do.
- 16 Q. What is this document?
- 17 A. This is a document with meeting
- 18 notes following a coalition meeting.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 Did you author this document?
- 21 A. I believe I did.
- Q. If you look at the bottom of page
- one, do you know what this is in reference to?
- 24 A. What are you pointing to, I'm
- 25 sorry.

- 1 Disney
- 2 Q. The incidents at sea resolution,
- S-E-A.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 There was a piece of legislation
- 6 introduced in The House of Representatives
- 7 expressing the sense of Congress that The
- 8 United States military should negotiate with
- 9 the Iranian military called an incidence at
- 10 sea agreement.
- 11 Q. What was your role in developing
- 12 that piece of legislation?
- 13 A. I took part in some discussions
- 14 with Representative Conyers' office, specifi-
- 15 cally his staff prior to the resolution being
- 16 introduced. I offered suggestions and advice
- 17 on details. I provided some information about
- 18 an incident that had occurred the year
- 19 previously, that was sort of the impetus for
- 20 this proposal. And then in this particular
- 21 coalition meeting I informed other members of
- 22 the coalition about this resolution.
- 23 Q. How would you describe or how
- 24 would you categorize your efforts in getting
- 25 this piece of legislation passed?

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. Well, the piece of legislation
- 3 never did pass.
- 4 Q. Your efforts to try to get it
- 5 passed.
- 6 A. Following its introduction, I
- 7 don't recall if this meeting took place before
- 8 or after it was, the legislation was
- 9 introduced, but following its introduction I
- 10 remember a handful of times in which I urged
- 11 Members of Congress or their staff to
- 12 cosponsor the legislation.
- Q. Would you describe those
- 14 activities as lobbying?
- 15 A. Depending on the details of the
- 16 activities, some of them would be lobbying,
- 17 yes.
- 18 Q. What about efforts to try to get
- 19 the bill to mark up by a committee?
- 20 A. Are you asking if --
- 21 Q. If you would consider that
- 22 lobbying.
- A. Again, you have to be more
- 24 specific about what that is.
- 25 Q. If you meet with a particular

- 1 Disney
- 2 Member or their staff and encourage them to
- 3 attend a committee hearing where this bill is
- 4 going to be marked up and ask that they
- 5 propose amendments or object to certain
- 6 amendments, how would you categorize those
- 7 efforts?
- 8 MR. NELSON: Object to the form of
- 9 the question, hypothetical.
- 10 You may answer.
- 11 A. Urging a Member of Congress to
- 12 attend a committee hearing under my
- 13 understanding off the top of my head is not
- 14 categorized as lobbying. There are other
- 15 activities such as urging a Member of Congress
- 16 to vote a certain way or offer amendments that
- 17 again could possibly be classified as
- 18 lobbying.
- 19 Q. Whose Jill Parillo (phonetic)?
- 20 A. Parillo?
- 21 O. Parillo.
- 22 A. She was an employee of Physicians
- 23 for Social Responsibility and participated in
- 24 the coalition.
- MR. JENSEN: Let's mark this as 8.

- 1 Disney
- 2 (Defendant's Exhibit Number 8,
- 3 e-mail, marked for identification, as of
- 4 this date.)
- 5 Q. I show you what's been marked as
- 6 Defendant's Exhibit Number 8.
- 7 Do you recognize this document?
- 8 MR. NELSON: Take a minute to
- 9 review it.
- 10 A. It is a little fuzzy but I
- 11 recognize the document, yes.
- 12 Q. What is this document, what does
- 13 it appear to be?
- 14 A. It appears to be an e-mail from me
- 15 to these two listservs of other NGO
- 16 organizations recapping a conference call that
- 17 took place on I guess this day regarding the
- 18 news accounts of Dennis Ross being appointed
- 19 as the envoy to Iran in the new Obama
- 20 administration.
- 21 Q. Take a look at item number four,
- 22 the last sentence in that paragraph. "Also
- 23 explore option of submitting questions for
- 24 confirmation hearings."
- 25 A. Where are you?

- 1 Disney
- 2 Q. The last, do you see item number
- 3 four which says strategy?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. The last sentence of that first
- 6 paragraph. Do you see that, "Also explore
- 7 option of submitting questions for confirma-
- 8 tion hearings."
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. "(Clinton: 1/13 and 1/15. Susan
- 11 Rice possibly 1/16.)"
- 12 A. Yes, I see that.
- 13 Q. Do you know if you ever submitted
- 14 questions for those confirmation hearings?
- 15 A. I don't recall.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 Do you recall discussing whether
- 18 you should submit questions?
- 19 A. I don't recall the conversation,
- 20 no.
- Q. Do you recall ever submitting
- 22 questions for any hearing?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. How would you categorize submit-
- 25 ting questions?

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. I don't remember how I actually
- 3 categorized those activities, it was something
- 4 I participated in infrequently, it wasn't an
- 5 everyday activity, it was sort of a contingent
- 6 on the Congressional calendar so there wasn't
- 7 a lot of regularity to it, I don't recall how
- 8 I categorized those activities.
- 9 MR. NELSON: Were you asking him
- 10 about submitting any questions for
- 11 confirmation hearings or hearings in
- 12 general?
- MR. JENSEN: Hearings in general.
- 14 Q. That's what you answered?
- 15 A. My answer stays.
- 16 Q. And so we are clear, when you
- 17 talked about submitting questions, these are
- 18 drafting questions that you submitted to a
- 19 Member or Member's staff with the intent of
- 20 having a Member read those questions or a form
- 21 of that question at a hearing.
- 22 Is that your understanding of what
- 23 we are talking about?
- 24 A. That's accurate.
- 25 Q. What you said you recall a few

- 1 Disney
- 2 instances, not many that you did do, what were
- 3 those instances?
- 4 A. I don't remember the specific
- 5 topics of the hearings, but in the course of
- 6 my tenure at NIAC various committees and
- 7 Congress held hearings relevant to some issue
- 8 or another that we were working on. And
- 9 oftentimes Members of Congress and their staff
- 10 don't have time to develop questions to ask a
- 11 witness in these hearings and it has been
- 12 communicated to us that a useful, it would be
- 13 helpful to them if groups like us would
- 14 provide them with some questions for them to
- 15 consider asking.
- Q. Communicated by whom?
- 17 A. Members of Congress and their
- 18 staff.
- 19 Q. Would communicate to you it would
- 20 be helpful to have you draft these?
- 21 A. Yes.
- MR. JENSEN: I'll show you what we
- are going to me marking an Exhibit Number
- 24 9, for identification.
- 25 (Defendant's Exhibit 9, notes,

- 1 Disney
- 2 marked for identification, as of this
- 3 date.)
- 4 THE WITNESS: Can I mark on this?
- 5 MR. NELSON: No.
- 6 Q. We will give you another copy if
- 7 you want to mark it.
- 8 MR. NELSON: I'd prefer you not
- 9 write on the documents.
- 10 A. Okay.
- 11 Q. Are you done reviewing it?
- Do you recognize this document?
- 13 A. I recognize this, yes.
- 0. What is this document?
- 15 A. I don't recall the specific
- 16 context it was in, we did a number of
- 17 brainstorming sessions about different types
- 18 of projects NIAC could participate in, this is
- 19 a product of one of those sessions.
- Q. Did you draft this document?
- 21 A. I don't recall, yeah, I don't
- 22 know.
- Q. Will you read to yourself the
- 24 third sentence in the first paragraph where it
- 25 starts, "Regardless of how hardworking or

- 1 Disney
- 2 talented NIAC's Washington DC legislative
- 3 staff may be, the demands of the political
- 4 system requires something more -- a huge
- 5 amount of political capital in order to
- 6 effectively pull the levers of power within
- 7 the U.S. government."
- 8 Do you remember drafting that
- 9 sentence?
- 10 A. No, I don't.
- 11 Q. What does mean to, "Effectively
- 12 pull the levers of power within the U.S.
- 13 government?"
- MR. NELSON: Objection, calls for
- 15 speculation if he doesn't recall drafting
- 16 the document.
- 17 Q. I'll represent to you that the
- 18 metadata that came with the document as
- 19 produced electronically by the plaintiffs
- 20 indicates that you're the author of this
- 21 document.
- MR. NELSON: Same objection.
- Q. What's your understanding of
- 24 "effectively pull the levers of power?"
- 25 A. In the context of this document I

- 1 Disney
- 2 couldn't say. In general, my understanding of
- 3 the phrase is that getting something done
- 4 within The United States government.
- 5 Q. Why was NIAC striving to pull the
- 6 levers of power within the U.S. government?
- 7 A. I wouldn't say that's a fair
- 8 characterization of what NIAC in general
- 9 strove to do.
- 10 Q. This is an inaccurate statement?
- 11 A. Again, not recalling, not
- 12 remembering drafting this, not remembering my
- 13 motivation for drafting that if I did, I
- 14 couldn't speak to the specifics about that
- 15 phrase.
- 16 Q. Let's flip down to the problem,
- 17 right, as described in the section. If you
- 18 look to the second section where it says
- 19 solution.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 MR. NELSON: I would appreciate it
- if you can read the complete statement
- that's in section one. You only read
- 24 part of what is here, it left an
- incomplete impression about the document.

		_ 9.9
1	Disney	
2	MR. JENSEN: What particular	
3	section are you talking about?	
4	MR. NELSON: Section one, the	
5	problem. The rest of the statement	
6	reads, "The task for NIAC is to translate	
7	our biggest asset our nationwide	
8	membership into that type of political	
9	capital. Only then will be able to	
10	advance our agenda satisfactorily."	
11	That's a complete statement.	
12	MR. JENSEN: Okay.	
13	As far as complete, that does not	
14	include either the beginning or end of	
15	that section entitled problem for the	
16	record.	
17	Q. Turning down to the section	
18	entitled solution, if you look down into the	
19	third sentence it reads, "Therefore, NIAC must	
20	devote significant resources to establishing a	
21	network of highly effective, motivated and	
22	capable NIAC members in key congressional	
23	districts that we can call upon to influence	
24	their Representatives and Senators on	
25	important issues "	

- 1 Disney
- 2 What is that in reference to?
- 3 A. That's in reference to the next
- 4 sentence actually which reads, "This will at
- 5 once establish a strong relationship between
- 6 lawmakers and their Iranian-American
- 7 constituencies while also cementing NIAC as
- 8 the recognized liaison between the Iranian-
- 9 American community and Members of Congress."
- 10 Our purpose was to reverse the
- 11 historical noninvolvement of the Iranian-
- 12 American community in American civic life and
- 13 motivate Iranian-Americans to participate in
- 14 democracy.
- 15 Q. And so influence Representatives
- 16 and Senators on important issues; is that
- 17 accurate?
- 18 A. It is accurate to say that our
- 19 purpose in doing this was to have Iranian-
- 20 Americans better represent themselves to their
- 21 government.
- 22 O. And how did NIAC determine what
- 23 was an important issue to the Iranian-American
- 24 community?
- 25 A. I don't think it's a valid

- 1 Disney
- 2 assumption to say that we did. The purpose of
- 3 this program is to have Iranian-Americans have
- 4 a stronger louder voice in representing
- 5 important issues to them to their government.
- 6 Q. So this is just generally to
- 7 ensure that Iranian-American members voice
- 8 their opinion and are participating in the
- 9 political process.
- 10 Is that a fair statement of what
- 11 you're saying in this document?
- 12 A. A central component of NIAC's
- 13 mission was to strengthen the voice of the
- 14 Iranian-American community to government. And
- 15 we did that both by representing the community
- 16 with our voice and empowering the community to
- 17 speak with its own voice.
- 18 Q. Let's turn down to implementation,
- 19 reading the last complete sentence on page
- 20 one, "These relationships should be developed
- 21 through in-person meetings with Congressional
- 22 staff and Members of Congress themselves."
- Whose participating in those
- in-person meetings?
- 25 A. If you don't mind, I'll read the

1 Disney

- 2 rest.
- Q. Sure.
- A. Can you repeat the question.
- 5 Q. Whose to be attending these
- 6 in-person meetings with Congressional staff
- 7 members?
- 8 A. Not fully understanding the
- 9 context of all of this, I believe the people
- 10 who are to be participating in these meetings
- 11 with Congressional staff would be NIAC
- 12 members.
- Q. And flip over to the next page,
- 14 the last sentence before the cost section
- 15 says, "Additionally NIAC staffers should
- 16 attend nearly all meetings with lawmakers and
- 17 staffers to ensure consistency and quality."
- 18 What does consistency and quality
- 19 mean there?
- 20 A. Having no recollection of drafting
- 21 this document, I couldn't say for sure what
- 22 those two words mean, but NIAC's staffers'
- 23 interest in attending a meeting like this
- 24 would be just to ensure that proper protocols
- 25 were followed, that the conversations didn't

- 1 Disney
- 2 veer off topic, and that the focus of the
- 3 meeting was consistent with what was
- 4 advertised to the staff. And that it was of a
- 5 good quality and that the participants were
- 6 well prepared and clear with what they were
- 7 expressing.
- 8 Q. Do you categorize that as
- 9 lobbying?
- 10 A. I wouldn't make a general
- 11 categorization without more specific details.
- 12 Q. The work, if you attend one of
- 13 these meetings with one of your members, how
- 14 would you categorize that?
- 15 A. It always depends on the specific
- 16 the meeting. It is possible to have a meeting
- 17 with NIAC staff and NIAC members to meet with
- 18 a Member of Congress and not have that meeting
- 19 be lobbying, and so you couldn't pigeonhole it
- 20 one way or another.
- 21 Q. Do you remember doing this?
- 22 A. Doing --
- Q. Meeting with Members of Congress
- 24 and NIAC members.
- 25 A. I personally never attended

1 Disney

- 2 meetings with NIAC members and a Member of
- 3 Congress, myself, I did not.
- 4 Q. Were others in your office doing
- 5 that?
- 6 A. I don't remember specific
- 7 instances, but it is possible.
- 8 Q. In the section below, "100
- 9 districts times six meetings per year equals
- 10 600 meetings," do you know if that was
- 11 implemented, were there 600 meetings a year
- 12 taking place?
- 13 A. I couldn't say for sure given that
- 14 I didn't directly supervise a project like
- this, but I would say it is very doubtful 600
- 16 meetings of this kind took place.
- 17 Q. The next sentence, "Each meeting
- 18 takes approximately 15 hours of NIAC staff
- 19 time to prepare, execute and follow-up."
- 20 What preparation time would go
- 21 into accompanying a NIAC member to meet with a
- 22 Member of Congress to ensure they followed
- 23 adequate protocols and that the conversation
- 24 didn't stray off topic?
- 25 A. Again it is tough to generalize

- 1 Disney
- 2 because every meeting is different, and
- 3 without any more specific details, I can't. I
- 4 can say though that it was standard practice
- 5 if a member, NIAC member was going to meet
- 6 with a Member of Congress, prior to that
- 7 meeting NIAC staff would organize a conference
- 8 call with those people who would be
- 9 participating in that meeting. And so for the
- 10 dress rehearsal we would sort of map out what
- 11 would be said, what would be covered, and how
- 12 the meeting would go, those sorts of things.
- 13 Q. So a conference call, what else,
- 14 15 hours?
- 15 A. Recruitment of other Iranian-
- 16 Americans in the area that may be interested
- 17 in participating in that meeting, that was a
- 18 component.
- 19 MR. JENSEN: I am handing you what
- is been marked as Defendant's Exhibit
- 21 Number 10.
- 22 (Defendant's Exhibit Number 10,
- e-mail chain, marked for identification,
- 24 as of this date.)
- 25 Q. What's The Democracy Fund?

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. The Democracy Fund is what we
- 3 called a Congressional appropriation that
- 4 originated in the Bush administration, during
- 5 the Bush administration for regime change
- 6 activities in Iran.
- 7 Q. Did you lobby on behalf of The
- 8 Democracy Fund or in opposition to The
- 9 Democracy Fund?
- 10 A. I personally don't remember
- 11 participating in any specific meeting that
- 12 would legally be classified as lobbying, that
- 13 the purpose of meeting was to lobby The
- 14 Democracy Fund, but I couldn't speak to
- 15 anybody else at NIAC.
- 16 Q. Take a look at Exhibit Number 10.
- 17 Do you recognize this document?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. What does this document appear to
- 20 be?
- 21 A. This appears to be an e-mail that
- 22 I forwarded from a listsery that I was on to
- 23 my colleagues, Emily and David regarding the
- 24 federal budget and the timing of the federal
- 25 budget being introduced.

- 1 Disney
- Q. Is it fair to say that this e-mail
- 3 indicates that the introduction or the release
- 4 of the budget had been delayed or postponed?
- 5 A. That's fair.
- 6 Q. Then what was your response to
- 7 that as you forward it to Emily and to
- 8 Elliott?
- 9 MR. NELSON: The document speaks
- 10 for itself.
- 11 A. I --
- 12 Q. Read that, please.
- 13 A. My e-mail says, "This gives us
- 14 more time to lobby on Democracy Fund."
- 15 Q. Why do you think you use that word
- 16 lobby?
- 17 A. I used that word lobby under the
- 18 colloquial usage of the word which means to
- 19 one way or another influence government
- 20 policies.
- 21 O. And what's the date of this
- 22 e-mail?
- 23 A. January 29th, 2009.
- Q. Do you know if at this point in
- 25 time you were using your color-coding system?

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. I have no idea.
- 3 Q. Do you think if you were using
- 4 your color-coding system, it sounds like
- 5 that's very nuanced, that you understand a lot
- 6 of subtleties of the definition of lobbying
- 7 that you would have used lobbying in
- 8 colloquial terms in this e-mail as you did
- 9 here?
- 10 A. Over time as I gained a better
- 11 conception of the legal definition of the word
- 12 lobbying I became more cautious in using it
- 13 haphazardly, but I couldn't speculate about
- 14 whether I would have or would not have used it
- 15 colloquially at any other time.
- 16 Q. Did you have efforts in relation
- 17 to The Democracy Fund that weren't lobbying,
- 18 activities relating to it you would not
- 19 categorize as lobbying?
- 20 MR. NELSON: Objection, same
- objection as to his understanding of what
- 22 constituted lobbying.
- You can answer.
- 24 A. Again, under my understanding of
- 25 the term lobbying it is it a certain type of

- 1 Disney
- 2 activities involving The Democracy Fund, could
- 3 include anything under the sun, and if I were
- 4 to speak with my cousins about The Democracy
- 5 Fund that would not be lobbying.
- 6 Q. All right.
- 7 MR. JENSEN: I hand you what we
- 8 will mark as Defendant's Exhibit Number
- 9 11.
- 10 (Defendant's Exhibit Number 11,
- 11 spreadsheet, marked for identification,
- 12 as of this date.)
- 13 O. What is this?
- 14 A. It appears to be a spreadsheet
- 15 with the names of Members of Congress and
- 16 staff tracking various activities that people
- 17 had done with those offices.
- 18 Q. Do you know what specifically
- 19 piece if, do you know if there is a specific
- 20 piece of legislation that these activities
- 21 listed on this spreadsheet are in relation to?
- 22 A. It doesn't say anywhere on this,
- 23 if I, I have a hunch I know what this is in
- 24 regard to, I don't want to guess.
- Q. I'm not trying to trick you, I'll

- 1 Disney
- 2 tell you the name of the document based on the
- 3 metadata that was provided us to by
- 4 plaintiff's counsel, it says HConRes 94
- 5 meetings.
- 6 Does that confirm your guess about
- 7 what this is in relation to?
- 8 A. It does.
- 9 O. Tell me what HConRes 94 is.
- 10 A. HConRes 94 is the official
- 11 legislative number for the resolution on the
- 12 incidents at sea agreement.
- 0. What's the resolution to the
- 14 incidents at sea agreement?
- 15 A. It's a piece of legislation that
- 16 John Conyers and Jeff Davis introduced in The
- 17 House of Representatives.
- 18 Q. What would it do?
- 19 A. It is a sense of Congress
- 20 resolution expressing support for the
- 21 negotiation of an incidents at sea agreement
- 22 between the U.S. and Iran.
- Q. Would you categorize the
- 24 activities in this list as lobbying? Let's
- 25 take the first one which says e-mailed, on the

- 1 Disney
- 2 side we have a bunch of names, who are these
- 3 individuals on the left-hand side?
- A. On the far left-hand side those
- 5 are Members of Congress.
- 6 Q. And do you see the first column
- 7 e-mailed?
- 8 A. I do.
- 9 Q. Would you categorize e-mailing
- 10 these Members of Congress about HConRes 94 as
- 11 lobbying?
- 12 A. Again it depends on what the
- 13 e-mail says. Under definitions of lobbying
- 14 there are certain things that need to be
- included in an e-mail for that e-mail to be
- 16 lobbying.
- 17 Q. Do you recall e-mailing Members of
- 18 Congress about HConRes 94?
- 19 A. In general I recall doing that, I
- 20 don't remember specifically.
- 21 Q. Do you remember what you would
- 22 have been e-mailing them about in relation to
- 23 HConRes 94?
- A. Based on my recollection and based
- on the other information on this document,

- 1 Disney
- 2 first of all I'm not sure whether this
- 3 represents my activities or activities of
- 4 other people together, that was something we
- 5 also would have had in a document similar to
- 6 this.
- 7 MR. NELSON: So that we can get
- 8 through his testimony on this, I am just
- going to note a continuing objection to
- any question that asks him whether or not
- 11 something indicated on this document
- 12 constitutes lobbying. He can answer
- 13 based on his own personal understanding
- but it is not a legal conclusion. You
- can just ask the rest of your questions.
- MR. JENSEN: Fair enough.
- 17 A. Can you repeat the question.
- 18 Q. Yes.
- 19 Based on your understanding of
- 20 lobbying, would e-mailing these Members of
- 21 Congress about, my question was, before we get
- 22 there, why you would be e-mailing these
- 23 Members of Congress about HConRes 94?
- 24 A. I suspect I would have e-mailed
- 25 Members of Congress or their staff about

- 1 Disney
- 2 HConRes 94 with the intention of meeting with
- 3 them to discuss the specifics of the
- 4 resolution. And I think it would be fair to
- 5 say I did encourage them to cosponsor that
- 6 resolution.
- 7 Q. NIAC supported that resolution?
- $\mathsf{A}. \qquad \mathsf{We} \; \mathsf{did}.$
- 9 O. And did NIAC undertake efforts to
- 10 get various Members of Congress to both
- 11 cosponsor and support that resolution?
- 12 A. We participated in those types of
- 13 activities, yes.
- 14 O. And so e-mailing Members of
- 15 Congress with that intent would that
- 16 constitute lobbying under your understanding
- 17 of the term?
- 18 A. Again, I would need more specific
- 19 details about what was included in the e-mail
- 20 to determine that.
- 21 Q. Would you have categorized that if
- 22 you e-mailed these members and say, "We would
- 23 like you to support HConRes 94," which of your
- 24 categories would you have put that under?
- 25 A. Again, if an e-mail I wrote

- 1 Disney
- 2 satisfied the criteria for legislative
- 3 lobbying, I would have categorized it as
- 4 legislative lobbying.
- 5 Q. Would that satisfy the criteria of
- 6 legislative lobbying as far as you understand,
- 7 sending an e-mail to a Member of Congress
- 8 asking them to either cosponsor or support
- 9 HConRes 94?
- 10 A. Once again it depends what is
- 11 included in the e-mail, there is a list of
- 12 criteria that would have to be met.
- 13 Q. What are those criteria?
- 14 A. Off the top of my head I wouldn't
- 15 be list them exhaustively, but when I engaged
- in efforts to similar to this one when I sent
- 17 an e-mail to a Member of Congress or their
- 18 staff, I would have consult that list of
- 19 criteria. If all of them were met I would
- 20 have categorized it as legislative lobbying.
- Q. Would you have done that in 2008?
- 22 A. Again, I don't believe I had a
- 23 color-coded system in 2008, and so I would not
- 24 have used the color-coded system in 2008.
- 25 Q. Did you have any other way of

- 1 Disney
- 2 tracking your time in 2008 without tracking
- 3 what time was spent on all these different
- 4 lobbying activities?
- 5 A. It was a process of, a long drawn
- 6 out process of developing a technique, so
- 7 unless you can specify a specific time --
- 8 Q. In 2008 at any time did you have a
- 9 mechanism for categorizing how much of your
- 10 time was spent on lobbying and how much was
- 11 not?
- 12 A. Like I said, my mechanism
- 13 developed over time, throughout the year 2008
- 14 I'm certain I developed a more cohesive
- 15 mechanism.
- 16 Q. I don't understand what you mean
- 17 by developed a more cohesive mechanism, what
- 18 mechanism in 2008 are we talking about?
- 19 A. I don't recall the specific
- 20 technique I used or even whether I had a
- 21 consistent technique in 2008.
- 22 Q. Do you recall having any technique
- 23 in 2008?
- 24 A. I don't recall, no.
- Q. You don't recall that you had one

- 1 Disney
- 2 or you don't recall?
- A. I don't recall.
- 4 I remember being cognizant of the
- 5 differences among my activities but I don't
- 6 recall any sort of mechanism for putting that
- 7 down on record.
- 8 Q. Was anyone asking you in 2008 how
- 9 much time you spent on lobbying?
- 10 A. Not the way you're asking me, no.
- 11 Q. Probably not.
- 12 Who was asking you to, was someone
- 13 asking at all?
- 14 A. That's not accurate, no, I don't
- 15 recall at any time in 2008 an individual
- 16 asking me how much time I spent lobbying.
- 17 Q. In 2009 did somebody ask you how
- 18 much time you spent lobbying?
- 19 A. I would hesitate to guess, it is
- 20 possible, I don't recall any specific
- 21 instances.
- 22 Q. In 2010 did anyone ask you how
- 23 much time you spent lobbying?
- 24 A. Again I don't recall specific
- 25 instances when that question was asked to me,

- 1 Disney
- 2 but it was I think it is safe to say in 2010 I
- 3 paid attention to the, not, I was, your
- 4 question is in 2010 did anyone ask me how much
- 5 time I spent lobbying, and I don't recall
- 6 specific times being asked that question.
- Q. Okay.
- 8 You started to say in 2010 you
- 9 paid attention --
- 10 A. What I was getting to is I know
- 11 for a fact in 2010 I had the color-coded
- 12 system in place, that's what I was getting to.
- 13 Q. Do you feel you weren't paying
- 14 close attention to it in 2009?
- 15 A. No, that's not accurate, that's
- 16 why I stopped myself, the reason I said pay
- 17 attention to was in reference to the
- 18 color-coded system.
- 19 Q. I seem to remember you saying you
- 20 had discussions, you specifically referenced
- 21 David Elliott when you weren't sure about how
- 22 to categorize something.
- 23 Was it your understanding David
- 24 was also employing a similar categorization as
- 25 you were?

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. Understanding that none of us had
- 3 legal qualifications or expertise to the
- 4 matter, my consultations with David were of a
- 5 nature of not only thinking out loud but also
- 6 hearing a second perspective on an issue. For
- 7 me it helped to verbalize the question that I
- 8 was faced with the categorization problem.
- 9 I understood that David from time
- 10 to time was in similar situations where he had
- 11 to make a categorization for some of his
- 12 activities, and that's the nature of that
- 13 consultation.
- 14 Q. Do you remember those consulta-
- 15 tions, those conversations taking place in
- 16 2009?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. You remember discussing with David
- in 2009 how to categorize various activities?
- 20 A. Without knowing specific dates, I
- 21 would think it would be accurate to say I
- 22 discussed matters of types of different types
- 23 of activities with David, yes.
- Q. Is it your impression he was
- 25 recording how much time he was spending

- 1 Disney
- 2 lobbying in 2009?
- 3 A. I couldn't say for certain what he
- 4 was doing when, but he --
- 5 Q. He reported to you, right, at
- 6 least for a period of time?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. From May 2009 to approximately
- 9 November 2009 you were the acting policy
- 10 director?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. David was your subordinate?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- Q. Did you ever ask him how much time
- 15 he was spending lobbying?
- 16 A. I don't recall asking him that.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 Do you recall reporting how much
- 19 time the policy department or how do you
- 20 categorize it, the department?
- 21 A. We said the policy team.
- Q. The policy team, reporting to
- 23 Trita or later Kevin, how much time the policy
- team had spent lobbying?
- 25 A. That's not how we did it.

- 1 Disney
- 2 Each individual was responsible
- 3 for recording their own time, and we would
- 4 never group them together based on the team
- 5 that that individual was on and report them
- 6 directly, each person would report their own
- 7 times.
- 8 Q. To whom would you report?
- 9 A. Trita and/or Kevin, those two.
- 10 Q. How did that reporting occur, was
- 11 that --
- 12 A. It was actually at some point, I
- don't recall when it began formally, we
- 14 instituted an electronic timesheet and we
- 15 input our activities and the categories of
- 16 those activities in this electronic timesheet,
- 17 and I believe Kevin and Trita both had access
- 18 to those.
- 19 Q. Do you recall having a similar
- 20 conversation with Emily Blout about how to
- 21 categorize search activities?
- 22 A. Not of the precise same nature
- 23 with David. I remember having conversations
- 24 with Emily about lobbying activities versus
- 25 nonlobbying activities, but just by virtue of

- 1 Disney
- 2 having different resources, having different
- 3 familiarity with the legal principles, the
- 4 type of conversation I would have had with
- 5 Emily were different from the type of
- 6 conversations I would have had with David.
- 7 Q. It was your impression she was
- 8 recording her time as well?
- 9 A. I remember you asked was it my
- 10 impression Emily was also recording her time?
- 11 O. Yes.
- 12 A. I don't know what sort of
- 13 categorization or classifications she recorded
- 14 her time, but I know she was in some way
- 15 recording her activities.
- Q. Was she recording them in 2009?
- 17 A. Without knowing any specific
- 18 details, I would, I'm relatively confident
- 19 that she was keeping track of her time, I
- 20 don't know how.
- 21 Q. Keeping track of her lobbying time
- 22 in 2009?
- A. Again I don't know the specifics
- 24 of her technique but I would say she kept
- 25 track of her activities in 2009.

- 1 Disney
- Q. I think I asked you about David in
- 3 2009 but I don't think I asked in 2008. Were
- 4 you aware David was monitoring his time spent
- 5 lobbying in 2008?
- 6 A. David's first day was
- 7 January 21st, 2009.
- Q. Okay.
- 9 How about Emily, in 2008 was she
- 10 monitoring her time?
- 11 A. I wouldn't be able to say if it
- 12 was in the calendar year 2008 just because I
- 13 was still relatively new to the organization,
- 14 I wouldn't say with any confidence precisely
- 15 what she was doing in 2008, but it is
- 16 possible.
- 17 Q. Other than time was anyone
- 18 monitoring expenditures spent on lobbying?
- 19 A. I'm not very knowledgeable about
- 20 that aspect of the organization, Trita, Kevin
- 21 and our accountants pretty much took care of
- 22 that themselves so I don't really know what
- 23 was in place.
- Q. Did they ever come to you and ask
- 25 Patrick, "How much money did you spend on

- 1 Disney
- 2 lobbying, what were your lobbying expenditure
- 3 in 2009?"
- 4 A. No, the only relevant financial
- 5 accounting activities I did was turn in
- 6 expense reports, floor reimbursements.
- 7 Q. No one was asking you to track
- 8 what your lobbying expenditures were and
- 9 you're not reporting those expenditures to
- 10 anyone?
- 11 A. I don't know if that's taking it a
- 12 little too far, just because my activities
- that I classified as lobbying were also
- 14 company expenditures just by virtue of my
- 15 getting a salary and overhead costs and
- 16 heating and light, that sort of thing. So
- insofar as I tracked my time doing lobbying
- 18 activities that was simultaneously tracking
- 19 expenditures, but I wasn't taking anyone out
- 20 for lobster dinners saying this is a lobbying
- 21 expenditure, if that's the question.
- Q. What about your cab fare up to
- 23 Capitol Hill to go to meetings that you're
- 24 categorizing as lobbying, how would you track
- 25 that, would you have reported that?

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. When I took cabs, I generally rode
- 3 my bike to the Hill. When I took cabs to the
- 4 Hill I would make a note on the taxi receipt
- 5 of the date, the fare, the location that I
- 6 went to. And if you're asking did I ever mark
- 7 lobbying LDA, IRS, legislative, nonlegislative
- 8 on the receipts, no, I did not.
- 9 Q. Or lobbying at all in general.
- 10 Is there any way to know that the
- 11 expenditure of the taxicab going up to the
- 12 Hill was an activity of lobbying versus one of
- 13 not lobbying?
- 14 A. In my opinion, it was a necessary
- 15 distinction between the types of lobbying,
- 16 LDA, IRS, all of those things, it is not
- 17 enough to say lobbying expenditure.
- 18 Q. It sounds like that was something
- 19 that was developed later.
- 20 I'm asking in 2008, 2009 even then
- 21 was there any way to indicate on that receipt
- 22 or on that taxi receipt that the activity that
- 23 you incurred that expenditure for was for
- lobbying?
- 25 A. I'm a little fuzzy on the specific

- 1 Disney
- 2 nature of the question. Given that speaking
- 3 only for myself, it wasn't a very regular
- 4 thing for me to take taxis to the Hill, I
- 5 can't specifically say.
- 6 Q. Let's focus on any expenditure at
- 7 all.
- 8 A. Sure.
- 9 Q. I understand we have lobbying
- 10 reform and you weren't doing lobster dinners,
- 11 but there are certain exceptions, you buy
- 12 somebody coffee in the Senate cafeteria, is
- 13 there any way to track that? That's really,
- 14 I'm not trying to be clever, that's what I'm
- 15 trying to get at.
- 16 Did NIAC have a mechanism to track
- 17 any expenditure spent on what you would
- 18 categorize clearly as lobbying activities?
- 19 A. I hear what you saying.
- 20 One instance that I can say
- 21 confidently that we had a mechanism in place
- 22 for coalition meetings that I categorized as
- 23 lobbying activities. Oftentimes we provided
- 24 coffee and bagels for those meetings or lunch.
- 25 Given that NIAC received a grant to coordinate

- 1 Disney
- 2 the coalition activities, we made a special
- 3 mark of accounting for those expenditures for
- 4 the coffee and bagels or lunch, at those
- 5 meetings which were lobby activities as
- 6 lobbying expenditures.
- 7 Does that answer your question?
- 8 Q. What about everything else, what
- 9 about the time when you did take a taxi or
- 10 Emily took a taxi, David took a taxi, did you
- 11 have any mechanism when you were the acting
- 12 policy director for a point in time or he
- 13 reported to you, did you instruct him, "Look,
- 14 this is something that's important we need to
- 15 track, our expenditures that are spent on
- 16 lobbying and this is how you do it and then we
- 17 report this to Ms. Nikki or the accountant or
- 18 whoever it is so that NIAC knows what are our
- 19 lobbying expenditures?"
- 20 A. We were all very aware, myself,
- 21 David, Trita, everyone was aware of the
- 22 requirements for tracking lobbying
- 23 expenditures. And our mechanism that was in
- 24 place focused on, centered around categorizing
- 25 our activities. And when we had a meeting on

- 1 Disney
- 2 the Hill, we determined whether or not that
- 3 meeting was a lobbying activity, if it was
- 4 categorized that way, everything we did in
- 5 connection with that meeting we categorized in
- 6 some way or another as lobbying. I couldn't
- 7 speak to specifics about cups of coffee in the
- 8 House cafeteria, but we had a mechanism in
- 9 place whereby it centered around the activity
- 10 and whether the activity was or was not
- 11 classified as lobbying and recording it as
- 12 such.
- 13 O. I'm not sure I understand the
- 14 mechanism that we are talking about.
- 15 If you spent that, bought that cup
- 16 of coffee at the House cafeteria, how would
- 17 you know when you submit that receipt that
- 18 that cup of coffee is tied to a lobbying
- 19 activity versus buying a cup of coffee meeting
- 20 with somebody which wasn't for, a meeting that
- 21 wasn't lobbying?
- 22 A. It is getting a little fuzzy now
- 23 examples of cups of coffee and receipts and
- 24 whatnot. In my experience I paid for my own
- 25 coffee so it wasn't an organization expense.

- 1 Disney
- 2 Q. You had expenditures that were
- 3 related to lobbying activities; is that
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. It is the only thing I'm
- 6 comfortable saying we actually had
- 7 expenditures, we certainly for lobbying would
- 8 be the things that I mentioned earlier, we
- 9 took as a percentage of the overall overhead
- 10 cost of the organization for the rent, for the
- 11 electricity, things like that. And we, my
- 12 time spent lobbying was a percentage of my
- 13 time, my percentage of the overall
- 14 organization's expenditures that were my
- 15 responsibility, we can extrapolate from that
- 16 we used a percentage for accounting for that.
- 17 It was, I'm not confident that I could say
- 18 that there were any other expenditures that
- 19 were lobbying expenditures beyond the ones
- 20 that we categorized as such, it is difficult
- 21 to say.
- 22 Q. How about mailings, if you sent
- 23 out a mailing with the purpose of lobbying,
- 24 how would you have accounted for that
- 25 expenditure?

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. I don't recall any mailings that
- 3 we sent out that were lobbying activities, our
- 4 lobbying activities generally focused on
- 5 either electronic communication or in-person
- 6 communication.
- 7 Q. All right.
- 8 MR. JENSEN: I'm going to hand you
- 9 what we will mark as Defendant's
- 10 Exhibit 12, for identification.
- 11 (Defendant's Exhibit Number 12,
- 12 payroll summary, marked for identifi-
- cation, as of this date.)
- 14 O. And also --
- 15 A. Can we take a bathroom break?
- 16 Q. We can do that.
- 17 MR. JENSEN: Is that all right?
- 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
- 19 5:17. We are going off the record.
- 20 (Whereupon, an off-the-record
- 21 discussion was held.)
- 22 MR. JENSEN: Mark this Defendant's
- 23 Exhibit 13.
- 24 (Defendant's Exhibit Number 13,
- 25 federal tax return, marked for

TransPerfect Legal Solutions 212-400-8845 - Depo@TransPerfect.com

- 1 Disney
- identification, as of this date.)
- 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
- 4 5:22. We are back on the record.
- 5 Q. So you have in front of you 12 and
- 6 13.
- 7 Do you see number 12?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 10 A. I can't say if I have seen it
- 11 before.
- 12 Q. What this document?
- A. Appears to be NIAC's payroll
- 14 summary for the year 2008.
- 15 Q. Then grab Exhibit 13, keep 12
- 16 handy, we will go back to this.
- 17 Have you seen this document
- 18 before?
- 19 A. I can't say I have, no.
- Q. What does this document appear to
- 21 be?
- 22 A. Appears to be NIAC's federal tax
- 23 returns for the year 2008.
- Q. Earlier you said that as far as
- 25 lobbying expenditures when it comes to

- 1 Disney
- 2 mailings you don't think that NIAC would have
- 3 any mailings lobbying expenditures; is that an
- 4 accurate characterization of what you were
- 5 saying?
- 6 A. Again, under my understanding of
- 7 the legal term lobbying, I do not recall any
- 8 specific mailings that satisfied the criteria
- 9 for that categorization.
- 10 Q. And so any particular mailings
- 11 that would have been lobbying under the legal
- 12 definition of that term as far as you
- 13 understand it?
- 14 A. I don't recall any specifically,
- 15 generally our mailings went out to our members
- 16 asking them for their feedback to a poll or
- informing them of a development, it is not the
- 18 type of thing that would generally be
- 19 categorized as lobbying.
- Q. Flip over to there is an
- 21 attachment at the back, schedule C.
- 22 A. This is 13?
- Q. This is 13, page three.
- 24 A. What's at the top schedule C, page
- 25 three?

- 1 Disney
- 2 Q. You will see part II-B.
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Q. At the top that says, "To be
- 5 completed by organizations exempt under
- 6 501(c)(3) that have not filed this form 5768
- 7 (election under 501(h)." Then it asks number,
- 8 one, "During the year did the filing
- 9 organization attempt to influence foreign,
- 10 national, state or local legislation including
- 11 any attempt to influence public opinion on a
- 12 legislative matter or referendum through the
- 13 use of." If you look down at D it says,
- 14 "Mailings to members, legislators or the
- 15 public." It is marked as yes, then the amount
- 16 there.
- 17 Do you see that?
- 18 A. I do.
- 19 Q. \$3,934.
- Do you what that \$3,934 what that
- 21 is?
- 22 A. No, I don't.
- Q. How about the next one where it
- 24 says, "Publications, or published or broadcast
- 25 statements?" It looks like NIAC spent \$1,996

- 1 Disney
- 2 for publications for published or broadcast
- 3 statements that were lobbying in 2008.
- 4 Do you know what that nearly
- 5 \$2,000 was spent on?
- 6 A. No, I don't.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- 8 The last one, "For direct contact
- 9 with legislators, their staffs, governmental
- 10 officials or a legislative body, " NIAC lists
- 11 \$8,841.
- Do you know what that \$8,841 is
- 13 spent on?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Keeping that open, will you flip
- 16 over to Exhibit 12, find your name on there, I
- 17 think that's, let's see, page three.
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. Do you see where it says, lists
- 20 your salary for January to December 2008?
- 21 A. I see total gross pay, adjusted
- 22 gross pay.
- 23 Q. That is 19,958.
- Is that, are we looking at the
- 25 same number?

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. If you flip back over to line
- 4 number D, line item E on Exhibit 13, 1,996.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Is that number 10 percent of your
- 7 salary?
- 8 MR. NELSON: Objection to the
- 9 question. Can you rephrase the question.
- 10 Are you asking whether or not \$1,996 is
- 11 10 percent of his salary?
- MR. JENSEN: Yes, in 2008 as listed
- on this payroll summary.
- 14 MR. NELSON: Objection because
- 15 you're asking him to indicate whether or
- 16 not that is the basis for it being put in
- 17 that.
- 18 MR. JENSEN: I'm not asking that,
- 19 I'm asking if it is 10 percent.
- 20 A. Mathematically?
- 21 O. Yes.
- 22 A. Not precisely but very close.
- Q. Let's flip over to Emily Blout's
- 24 salary which I believe is on page one, what
- 25 was her salary for 2008?

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. On Emily Blout it says \$39,339.71.
- 3 Q. And flip over to line item D.
- 4 A. On?
- 5 Q. On page three of Exhibit 13.
- 6 That number there is \$3,934. Is
- 7 that number 3,934 10 percent of her salary as
- 8 listed on the payroll summary?
- 9 A. If you're asking mathematically it
- 10 is very close.
- 11 Q. Then flip over to Trita Parsi's
- 12 salary on page four, 88,416. Is that what you
- 13 see?
- MR. NELSON: We have an incomplete
- document, we have the odd-numbered pages,
- there might have been a back and front,
- we have pages one, three and five.
- 18 MR. JENSEN: If you want to see the
- full thing I will show you. All I am
- going to ask is on page three.
- 21 MR. NELSON: I don't think he has
- that either.
- MR. JENSEN: I'll give him a copy
- 24 too.
- 25 I was referring to page two, you

TransPerfect Legal Solutions 212-400-8845 - Depo@TransPerfect.com

- 1 Disney
- don't need to see that. Take a look, I'm
- going to ask him about page four. If you
- 4 can pass that over. Let's go ahead and
- 5 replace that 12 with that one.
- 6 Q. Do you see page four, Trita
- 7 Parsi's salary?
- 8 A. I see that.
- 9 Q. 88,416?
- 10 A. I see that.
- 11 Q. If you flip back over to 13,
- 12 Exhibit 13, column B, line J, the number there
- is 8,851, mathematically is that exactly 10
- 14 percent of Trita Parsi's salary in 2008?
- 15 A. Again not exactly, but close.
- 16 Q. 8,841?
- 17 A. Mathematically it is not exactly
- 18 the --
- MR. NELSON: The math speaks for
- itself, counsel.
- 21 Q. So were you involved with
- 22 calculating how much lobbying expenditures
- 23 were spent on either of these three items in
- 24 2008?
- 25 A. Which three items?

- 1 Disney
- Q. D, E and G.
- 3 A. I was not involved in the
- 4 production of this document, no.
- 5 Q. Do you know who would have
- 6 calculated D, E and G for 2008?
- 7 A. I don't.
- 8 Q. Did anyone ask you how much you
- 9 personally spent on mailings to members,
- 10 legislators or the public on publications or
- 11 publicized or broadcast statements or direct
- 12 contact with legislators, their staffs,
- 13 government officials or legislative body in
- 14 2008?
- 15 A. Given that I within the
- 16 organization wasn't empowered to authorize
- 17 expenditures of that nature, no one would have
- 18 asked me that question.
- 19 Q. All right.
- 20 MR. JENSEN: Let's flip over to, I
- 21 hand you what we will mark as Defendant's
- 22 Exhibit 14.
- 23 (Defendant's Exhibit Number 14,
- 24 e-mail and lobbying disclosure act
- 25 guidance, marked for identification, as

- 1 Disney
- of this date.)
- 3 Q. Are you done?
- 4 What is this document?
- 5 A. This is an e-mail and attached
- 6 document that I produced to Emily Blout
- 7 regarding the Lobbying Disclosure Act.
- 8 Q. What prompted you to create this
- 9 document?
- 10 A. I don't remember precisely, but I
- 11 know that around the time I began working for
- 12 NIAC, I had asked a question of Emily what the
- 13 legal requirements were governing an
- 14 organization such as ours, engaging in
- 15 lobbying activities. And she not being a
- 16 lawyer herself was not able to give a
- 17 comprehensive answer to that question. And
- 18 around this time I began researching the
- 19 question for myself and put together this
- 20 document based on that research.
- 21 Q. Why did you feel a need to ask her
- 22 how to research this issue?
- 23 A. I remember distinctly a friend of
- 24 mine asked me what the laws were, and I didn't
- 25 know so I asked my colleagues.

- 1 Disney
- 2 Q. You felt it was important to
- 3 understand what the laws were governing
- 4 lobbying?
- 5 A. It is always important to
- 6 understand what the law is.
- 7 Q. Had Emily done any research as to
- 8 what the laws were regarding lobbying at the
- 9 time that you spoke to her?
- 10 A. I don't know.
- 11 Q. You don't know that she did or did
- 12 you ask her?
- 13 A. I don't remember if I asked her or
- 14 not and I don't know if she said she had done
- 15 any research or if she had done any research
- 16 for herself.
- 17 Q. Were you satisfied with the answer
- 18 that she gave when you asked her what lobbying
- 19 was?
- 20 A. I think I said before that I don't
- 21 remember her specific answer to my specific
- 22 question, it was clear that further research
- 23 on the question was warranted, so I don't
- 24 think she had a comprehensive understanding.
- 25 Q. You were not satisfied with her

1 Disney

- 2 understanding?
- 3 A. That's probably fair to say.
- Q. Okay.
- 5 And where did you get the
- 6 information that went into this document?
- 7 A. I couldn't say with certainty, but
- 8 I did list my sources at the top of this
- 9 attachment. From the clerk of House of
- 10 Representatives and from a website that I
- 11 stumbled across with frequently asked
- 12 questions from this organization, Lichtman,
- 13 Trister and Ross.
- 14 O. I'll refer back to this.
- MR. JENSEN: Mark this 15, for
- 16 identification.
- 17 (Defendant's Exhibit Number 15,
- 18 calendar, marked for identification, as
- of this date.)
- 20 O. The e-mail on the cover sheet of
- 21 the document we were just looking at, what was
- 22 that Exhibit?
- 23 A. 14.
- Q. What's the date on that?
- 25 A. July 23rd, 2008.

- 1 Disney
- Q. And I just handed you what's been
- 3 marked Exhibit 15, is that right?
- 4 Do you know what this is?
- 5 A. It says here it is my Outlook
- 6 calendar produced April 2010.
- 7 Q. Without going through the entire
- 8 voluminous document, you can flip through it
- 9 and tell me if that looks like these are in
- 10 fact your calendar entries?
- 11 A. I have never seen it in this
- 12 format --
- MR. NELSON: Objection.
- 14 A. -- so --
- MR. NELSON: You certainly don't
- think that he can vouch for all of these
- 17 calendar entries?
- MR. JENSEN: Not all of them.
- 19 Q. Do some of these look like they
- 20 would be your calendar entries? I'll
- 21 represent to you this is produced to us on
- 22 April 2010 by plaintiffs' counsel as your
- 23 calendar entry Outlook calendar entries.
- 24 Let's turn to the very first entry on the
- 25 first page.

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. Okay.
- 3 Q. What is the subject of that entry?
- A. The very first one?
- Q. Yes.
- 6 A. Worry Free Advocacy for Nonpro-
- 7 fits.
- 8 Q. And the date?
- 9 A. July 16th, 2008.
- 10 Q. The description?
- 11 A. "This full day workshop will cover
- 12 rules for lobbying, electoral activities," and
- does it continue on the line below that?
- 14 O. If looks like it does to me.
- 15 A. "NM state guidelines."
- 16 Q. Is that, do you know what NM is?
- 17 A. I don't know what NM is.
- 18 Q. Does this refresh your
- 19 recollection, do you remember attending this
- 20 all-day workshop?
- 21 A. I don't recall specifically but I
- 22 don't believe I attended this workshop. I put
- 23 it on my calendar as an opportunity, but that
- 24 doesn't mean that I attended.
- 25 Q. Do you know why you would have put

- 1 Disney
- 2 it on there?
- 3 A. I remember the organization that I
- 4 believe put this event on. I subscribe to
- 5 their newsletter because every week they send
- 6 out frequently asked questions related to this
- 7 topic of nonprofits engaging in lobbying
- 8 activities, and I found the information
- 9 useful. And when I received a newsletter that
- 10 had this event on it, I must have put it in my
- 11 calendar as a potential thing to attend, but I
- 12 don't believe I attended it.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- 14 What was the organization that you
- 15 referenced that had sent around the weekly
- 16 e-mail that you referenced?
- 17 A. I don't recall the name of the
- 18 organization.
- 19 Q. Was it The Alliance For Justice?
- 20 A. That sounds right but I'm not
- 21 100 percent certain, it sounds right.
- 22 Q. All right.
- MR. JENSEN: Handing you what's
- been marked Defendant's Exhibit 16.
- 25 (Defendant's Exhibit Number 16,

- 1 Disney
- e-mails, marked for identification, as of
- 3 this date.)
- 4 Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 5 A. No, I don't.
- 6 Q. It is dated February 6th, 2008,
- 7 probably predates your time at NIAC, doesn't
- 8 it?
- 9 A. It does.
- 10 O. Whose Ali Scotten?
- 11 A. Ali Scotten was a person whose
- 12 connected to NIAC prior to my coming there. I
- 13 believe he was an intern but he was, he may
- 14 have served in some other capacity.
- Q. Was Emily employed at this time,
- 16 do you know?
- 17 A. I believe so, I couldn't say for
- 18 certain.
- 19 Q. And Sara was she in California or
- 20 she in D.C. at this time?
- 21 A. You would have to ask her
- 22 specifically, but the time when she began
- 23 opening the West Coast office was not in
- 24 February '08.
- Q. What does this document appear to

- 1 Disney
- 2 be?
- 3 A. The subject is lobbying and such.
- Q. When you brought up lobbying in
- 5 July of this year, did anyone reference this
- 6 document?
- 7 A. In July?
- 8 Q. July 2008 when you brought it up
- 9 to --
- 10 A. When I produced this document?
- 11 Q. That memo, yes.
- 12 A. Your question?
- 13 Q. When you discussed did you discuss
- 14 this with Emily in July 2008?
- 15 A. Yes, according, I sent this e-mail
- 16 to Emily.
- 17 Q. And did Emily or did anyone
- 18 reference this document when you were having
- 19 those conversations about lobbying in July?
- 20 A. It is possible but I don't recall
- 21 this specific document.
- 22 Q. You don't recall if anyone gave it
- 23 to you as you were doing your work to analyze?
- 24 A. It is possible, I don't recall
- 25 specifically, it could have, could have not.

- 1 Disney
- Q. Okay.
- 3 Flipping back to the previous one,
- 4 the memo that you drafted, was this your final
- 5 analysis, did you draft a more formal memo or
- 6 was this your final?
- 7 A. I'm not sure I got the question.
- 8 Q. It says --
- 9 A. This is --
- 10 Q. This is your analysis, right, "My
- 11 analysis is in the brackets," was this your
- 12 final analysis or did you do any anything
- 13 further with this particular document?
- 14 A. This document was not my
- 15 definitive conclusion on the subject nor was
- 16 it my next conclusion on the subject. It was
- 17 one of the first of many discussions and
- 18 various formats we had about this question, so
- 19 I wouldn't characterize it in any way as
- 20 final.
- Q. Final analysis.
- 22 Did you use this particular
- 23 analysis to generate any other work product,
- 24 the final memo that had conclusions about
- 25 whether or not NIAC was complying with the

- 1 Disney
- 2 lobbying definition as you have outlined in
- 3 this document?
- 4 A. Did I or my colleagues use this
- 5 document to produce any other discussions,
- 6 yes, to produce a final definitive conclusion,
- 7 I don't believe such a document exists.
- 8 Q. Was there a verbal final
- 9 conclusion about --
- 10 A. As I said before, there was less--
- 11 MR. NELSON: Are you asking him
- 12 whether was a verbal final conclusion
- about whether NIAC was lobbying under the
- 14 various laws of The United States?
- 15 MR. JENSEN: In 2008, yes.
- 16 Q. I'm trying to get, you raised some
- 17 issues here.
- 18 Was there a final determination
- 19 about whether or not your analysis was right
- 20 or wrong?
- 21 A. I can't, I wouldn't say, I am not
- 22 quite sure I understand the word final here,
- 23 but I can tell you what there was.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 A. Following this document, soon

TransPerfect Legal Solutions 212-400-8845 - Depo@TransPerfect.com

- 1 Disney
- 2 after this document was produced which was my
- 3 own opinion based on my initial research and
- 4 my interpretation of again this extraordi-
- 5 narily complicated legal principles, I
- 6 produced this document, then had a discussion
- 7 with Trita and I believe Emily but I don't
- 8 believe specifically about this document,
- 9 those conversations about our activities and
- 10 how our activities fall under the legal
- 11 requirements for lobbying continued for the
- 12 remainder of my time at NIAC until July 2010,
- 13 and I'm sure those conversations are ongoing.
- 14 So in that respect, was there a final end of
- 15 the conversation, no. That's how complicated
- 16 the matter was for us.
- 17 Q. Those were verbal conversations,
- 18 do you remember any other work product that
- 19 came out of this analysis?
- 20 A. I remember at another time tasking
- 21 an intern to produce a document with even more
- 22 research on it, that was one other work
- 23 product, but like I said before we had a
- 24 number of documents that we used as resources
- 25 with different ways of interpreting the legal

1 Disney

- 2 rules.
- 3 Q. Ms. Blout indicated she remembered
- 4 another memo, a more final memo after this
- 5 one.
- 6 Does that refresh your
- 7 recollection about whether or not this memo
- 8 actually made it, or this analysis made it
- 9 into another work product?
- 10 MR. NELSON: Objection, no
- 11 foundation.
- 12 You can answer.
- 13 A. That confirms my point about these
- 14 conversations continued extensively over the
- 15 next couple of years, a number of documents
- 16 were produced, memos, e-mails, verbal
- 17 communications, all of the above.
- 18 Q. Let's look at the bottom of the
- 19 second page, the first page of the memo.
- 20 "Under this expansive view of "lobbying," I
- 21 find it hard to believe Emily and I devote
- 22 less than 20 percent of our time to lobbying
- 23 activity. I believe we fall under this
- 24 definition of "lobbyist."
- 25 Why did you think that you spent

- 1 Disney
- 2 more than 20 percent of your time lobbying
- 3 under this definition of lobbying?
- 4 A. Going back to when I drafted this
- 5 document, it was my interpretation that any
- 6 activity performed by any individual who had
- 7 any hope of affecting any government policy
- 8 was engaging in lobbying. That is why I said,
- 9 "Under this expansive view of loaning, it was
- 10 essentially my interpretation that harboring
- 11 the desire for government policies to change
- 12 made it lobbying." That was what I was
- 13 conveying that the legal definition of this
- 14 term is almost limitless.
- I expressed that opinion here, but
- 16 again this was my preliminarily opinion and I
- 17 had no legal qualifications to make a
- 18 definitive judgment. It wasn't even a
- 19 definitive judgment for me, like I said, as
- 20 the conversations continued, as we gathered
- 21 more information about the subject, my
- 22 opinions, my analysis and those of my
- 23 colleagues all evolved.
- Q. But at this point it seems it is
- 25 pretty clear that you felt like you were a

- 1 Disney
- 2 lobbyist, you were exceeding this 20 percent
- 3 time allotment under the Lobbying Disclosure
- 4 Act?
- 5 MR. NELSON: I note the same
- 6 objection, he is not an expert on
- 7 lobbying, but you can answer the
- 8 question.
- 9 Q. I'm asking you what your opinion
- 10 was at the time, and your opinion was that you
- 11 were a lobbyist.
- 12 Is that accurate?
- 13 A. It is again my interpretation of
- 14 the legal term lobbying was that it was a term
- 15 that was exceedingly broad, and that it was
- 16 actually impractically broad. And my point in
- 17 saying this sentence right here was to say
- 18 that the legal term is so exceedingly broad
- 19 that it is difficult not to interpret a lot of
- 20 our activities as falling under that broad
- 21 definition.
- 22 Q. So did you view the 20 percent
- 23 limit as outlined here as you being in excess
- 24 of that 20 percent limit as violating the
- 25 Lobbying Disclosure Act?

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. No.
- 3 It was my understanding that,
- 4 sorry this is a very complicated issue, I want
- 5 to get things right, can you restate the
- 6 question?
- 7 Q. Sure.
- 8 You say in here that you find it
- 9 hard to believe that Emily and I devote less
- 10 than 20 percent of our time for lobbying.
- 11 There is 20, if you exceed 20 percent under
- 12 this, under this definition as you have listed
- 13 here, you're a lobbyist.
- 14 Is that fair?
- MR. NELSON: Objection, calls for a
- 16 legal conclusion.
- 17 Q. That was your conclusion, "I
- 18 believe that we fall under this definition of
- 19 lobbyist?"
- 20 A. There are requirements for anyone
- 21 who exceeds this 20 percent level that include
- 22 registering with the clerk of The House and
- 23 The Senate.
- Q. Had you registered with the clerk
- 25 of The House and Senate as a lobbyist?

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. My conclusion was based on this
- 3 interpretation of the term, which again was I
- 4 believe inaccurate.
- 5 Q. You believe that now?
- 6 A. I believe that now, not at the
- 7 time, obviously it was a mistaken legal
- 8 conclusion.
- 9 Q. Right.
- 10 But at the time you felt that the
- 11 legal conclusion was that you needed to
- 12 register with the clerk of The house and The
- 13 Senate as a lobbyist?
- MR. NELSON: Objection, that is not
- 15 his testimony.
- 16 A. At no time did I presume to have
- 17 the definitive answer, but based on my
- 18 research based on my limited understanding of
- 19 the legal principles, my interpretation of it
- 20 was that at that time was that our activities
- 21 exceeded the threshold beyond which we as
- 22 individuals would have to register as lobbyist
- 23 under LDA.
- Q. You as individuals to the best of
- 25 your knowledge at the time were not

- 1 Disney
- 2 registered?
- 3 A. Speaking only for myself I was not
- 4 registered.
- 5 Q. Do you know that Emily was
- 6 registered?
- 7 A. I wouldn't speculate about what
- 8 Emily did.
- 9 Q. Did you have knowledge that she
- 10 was?
- 11 A. I had never seen any proof one way
- 12 or the other.
- 13 Q. Did you have knowledge that Trita
- 14 was registered?
- 15 A. Again, I had no proof one way or
- 16 another.
- 17 Q. Then on the last page you say,
- 18 "Even though Emily and I are classified as
- 19 lobbyists under this definition, if NIAC does
- 20 not meet the \$10,000 threshold we do not have
- 21 to register as a lobbying firm."
- 22 Do you know if NIAC was meeting
- 23 the \$10,000 threshold?
- 24 A. That's not what was taken under
- 25 account when I wrote this, I knew next to

- 1 Disney
- 2 nothing about the finances of the organiza-
- 3 tion.
- 4 This was a generalization about
- 5 requirements and that there is a difference
- 6 between an individual registering as a
- 7 lobbyist and an organization registering under
- 8 LDA, and I was trying to draw a distinction
- 9 between those two.
- 10 Q. Then the last one where it says
- 11 penalties, "Penalties for violating lobbying
- 12 disclosure requirements cannot exceed five
- years in prison or a fine of \$2,000 or both."
- 14 How did you feel when you were
- 15 writing that knowing, at least you did or
- 16 thinking at least as you did at the time that
- 17 you were in violation of the LDA?
- MR. NELSON: Objection.
- 19 A. I wasn't worried because I knew
- 20 that this wasn't the end all be all definitive
- 21 answer for us as an organization, this was my
- 22 contribution to a discussion about a very
- 23 complicated question.
- Q. Did you have concern that you were
- 25 in violation of LDA?

- 1 Disney
- 2 MR. NELSON: Asked and answered.
- 3 A. I had concerns that we didn't have
- 4 a comprehensive and definitive interpretation
- 5 of the requirements.
- 6 Q. What was Trita's reaction to this
- 7 memo?
- 8 A. His reaction was that we needed to
- 9 discuss it more. That we needed to find out
- 10 more information that we as not being
- 11 qualified legal experts would need to consult
- 12 other people.
- 13 Q. So what specifically in the wake
- 14 of this, by wake I mean within the next few
- 15 weeks or few months was done to further that
- 16 analysis?
- 17 A. All I know is we continued
- 18 discussing this and continued trying to find
- 19 out more information. I wouldn't speculate
- 20 about specific steps we took, but I know we
- 21 kept the book open and tried to find out more
- 22 information.
- Q. Did you take any specific steps in
- 24 the two or three months following this memo?
- 25 A. Again, we continued producing

- 1 Disney
- 2 research, we continued informing ourselves, we
- 3 continued communicating to each other through
- 4 memos or e-mails or verbal discussions about
- 5 the question, it was an ongoing conversation.
- 6 Q. Okay.
- 7 So within the next two or three
- 8 months after this memo was, you shared this
- 9 memo, what specific research did you do to
- 10 determine whether or not you were in
- 11 compliance with the LDA?
- 12 A. I couldn't speak to specifics, I
- 13 continued receiving those e-mail newsletters
- 14 from groups like Alliance For Justice.
- 15 Q. What specifically did Emily do?
- 16 A. You would have to ask her.
- 17 O. Trita?
- 18 A. You would have to ask him.
- 19 Q. Your conversations, do you
- 20 remember them coming to you and saying, "I saw
- 21 your memo, you got me nervous so I went down
- 22 and talked to lawyers at this law firm, they
- 23 told me we are okay, "anything like that?
- 24 A. I don't think that would be an
- 25 accurate, I wouldn't want to speculate about a

- 1 Disney
- 2 conversation like that happening. We had a
- 3 number of discussions about this subject over
- 4 the two and a half years I worked at NIAC.
- 5 Q. You said this was a very nuanced
- 6 issue, a very complex issue, so to answer that
- 7 very nuanced and complex and legalistic issue
- 8 you conducted your own research and talked
- 9 among yourselves, you didn't have any
- 10 recollection of anyone asking a lawyer whether
- 11 or not this complex, whether you were
- 12 complying with this complex law?
- MR. NELSON: He has already
- answered this question, counsel.
- 15 A. Over time we consulted outside
- 16 experts.
- 17 Q. You keep saying over time, can you
- 18 be more specific?
- 19 A. During my two and a half years at
- 20 NIAC I remember a number of different
- 21 approaches that we took in finding out
- 22 information about this subject. We approached
- 23 an organization called CLIPI, The Center For
- 24 Lobbying and Public Interest.
- Q. When was that?

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. Mid-2009.
- 3 Q. Okay.
- 4 A. We approached an outside law firm
- 5 that I don't recall the name of.
- 6 Q. When was that?
- 7 A. Around the same time, it was after
- 8 the CLIPI meeting, it was around the same
- 9 time. And we continued to have, I think I
- 10 mentioned one of our interns pulled some
- 11 resources off the internet.
- 12 Q. When was that?
- 13 A. If I had to guess I think it was
- 14 2008, I couldn't be more specific than that.
- 15 Q. You blow the whistle in July 2008?
- 16 A. Blow the whistle?
- 17 MR. NELSON: Objection to the term
- 18 blow the whistle.
- 19 Q. We have an issue, we have a
- 20 problem?
- 21 A. I couldn't, I wouldn't even say I
- 22 was the first to bring the matter up, I
- 23 contributed this document to the discussion.
- Q. You realized that you exceeded the
- 25 20 percent limit that required you to register

- 1 Disney
- 2 as a lobbyist. You knew you weren't a
- 3 registered lobbyist under LDA, you list the
- 4 penalties which are up to five years in prison
- 5 and/or \$200,000. The next thing you do is,
- 6 you're not really sure, you think you have
- 7 some conversations somewhere maybe at the end
- 8 of that year, maybe 2009, you have an intern
- 9 look into this what you described as a very
- 10 complex and nuanced issue and have an intern
- 11 do some web research and write a memo?
- MR. NELSON: I object to the form
- of the question and mischaracterization
- of your testimony. And counsel, if you
- want to testify as to your belief, that's
- 16 fine, but that's not his testimony at
- 17 all.
- 18 A. You were asking about specific
- 19 instances. One specific instance was an
- 20 intern performed some research, to generalize
- 21 based on that that we didn't take the matter
- 22 seriously is a gross mischaracterization.
- Q. Where are the memos, where are the
- 24 documents, what are the conversations,
- 25 specific conversations that you were having,

- 1 Disney
- 2 where are the lawyers?
- 3 MR. NELSON: Asked and answered.
- 4 Do you have some other questions
- 5 you want to ask, you have asked this two
- 6 times now. He's given you his best
- 7 recollection, so do you have something
- 8 you want to present him with, go ahead.
- 9 MR. JENSEN: Not specific, he keeps
- 10 talking over time.
- 11 MR. NELSON: He said he doesn't
- 12 recall.
- 13 Q. In 2008, in the immediate
- 14 aftermath of this memo, what specifically was
- 15 done?
- 16 MR. NELSON: Asked and answered.
- 17 Can you answer the question?
- 18 A. In the immediate aftermath, I
- 19 can't speak to specific documents and other
- 20 conversations that took place, I know the
- 21 investigation, the consultation, the
- 22 discussions continued extensively.
- O. Were those via e-mail?
- 24 A. They were via a number of various
- 25 means.

- 1 Disney
- 2 Q. Do you remember sending or
- 3 receiving an e-mail, any e-mails about this
- 4 issue?
- 5 A. I am confident I did.
- 6 MR. JENSEN: Let's go ahead and
- 7 take a break.
- 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
- 9 6:01. This ends tape number two of the
- 10 deposition of Patrick Disney.
- 11 Off the record.
- 12 (Whereupon, an off-the-record
- discussion was held.)
- 14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
- 15 6:09. This begins tape number three of
- the videotaped deposition of Patrick
- 17 Disney.
- 18 MR. JENSEN: Handing you what we
- will mark as Defendant's Exhibit 17, for
- 20 identification.
- 21 (Defendant's Exhibit Number 17,
- 22 e-mail chain, marked for identification,
- as of this date.)
- Q. What is this document?
- 25 A. This is an e-mail thread between

TransPerfect Legal Solutions 212-400-8845 - Depo@TransPerfect.com

- 1 Disney
- 2 me and Babak Talebi.
- 3 Q. At the top it says, "I think we
- 4 have answered it for now, " do you know what
- 5 the it is there?
- 6 A. Not specifically, no.
- 7 Q. You say, "We need to keep an eye
- 8 out for when we may cross that \$10,000
- 9 threshold on lobbying."
- 10 What's that in reference to?
- 11 A. I think in reference to some of
- 12 the requirements for organizations engaging in
- 13 lobbying activities under various regulations.
- 14 Q. Do you know why you said that you
- 15 thought you answered that for now?
- 16 A. Like I said, I don't know what I
- 17 referred to in saying we had answered it.
- 18 Q. What the it is.
- 19 What is this e-mail that he's
- 20 forwarding you?
- 21 A. This is an announcement from the
- 22 Alliance For Justice about web workshops.
- Q. It also includes if you look at
- 24 the different dates, strategies for
- 25 coalitions, this is on page two of three,

- 1 Disney
- 2 August 14th election rules for nonprofits,
- 3 August 19th Federal Lobbying Disclosure Act
- 4 rules for nonprofits, and August 26th lobbying
- 5 rules for nonprofits web workshop.
- 6 Does that help refresh your
- 7 recollection if you look at what the document
- 8 is that he's forwarding you why you might have
- 9 responded that you think you answered it for
- 10 now?
- 11 MR. NELSON: The document speaks
- for itself, form of the question.
- 13 MR. JENSEN: I'm asking if it
- 14 refreshes his recollection about what the
- it is.
- 16 A. It does not give me any specific
- 17 recollection about what it is in this
- 18 document, no.
- 19 Q. All right.
- 20 Let's flip over to this exhibit
- 21 which, which one is this?
- 22 A. 15.
- Q. 15, the calendar entries.
- 24 You said earlier that you don't
- 25 remember specifically when you specifically

- 1 Disney
- 2 started recording your time, is that correct,
- 3 am I remembering right?
- 4 A. That's correct, I don't remember
- 5 specifically dates on a lot of those sorts of
- 6 things.
- 7 Q. David Elliott in his deposition of
- 8 October last year said he had just started two
- 9 months prior recording his time spent
- 10 lobbying.
- 11 Do you have any reason to believe
- 12 that what he said is accurate or inaccurate?
- 13 A. I have no reason to believe either
- 14 one way or the other.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 Does that help to refresh your
- 17 recollection, do you think you might have
- 18 started recording your time in August '09?
- 19 A. What it helps me refresh my
- 20 recollection about is that in August '09,
- 21 August of '09 was a certain step in the
- 22 development of my technique of recording time.
- 23 I wouldn't say that in July '09, for example,
- 24 I had no mechanism for recording my time so it
- 25 doesn't do a whole lot to refresh my

1 Disney

- 2 recollection.
- 3 Q. Are you aware that NIAC reported
- 4 that exactly 10 percent of your time was spent
- 5 lobbying in 2008?
- 6 A. I'm not aware of what you're
- 7 referring to.
- Q. Okay.
- 9 MR. JENSEN: Handing you what we
- 10 will mark as Defendant's Exhibit Number
- 11 18, for identification.
- 12 (Defendant's Exhibit Number 18,
- 13 spreadsheet, marked for identification,
- 14 as of this date.)
- 15 Q. Have you ever seen this document
- 16 before?
- 17 A. I have.
- 18 Q. What is this document?
- 19 A. This is a timesheet for year 2009
- 20 divided by quarters and for my lobbying
- 21 activities.
- Q. How did you compile the data in
- 23 this document?
- 24 A. It was a complicated process but I
- 25 went through all of my records, all of my

- 1 Disney
- 2 recollection, and anything I could to
- 3 determine what percentage of my time was spent
- 4 on lobbying activities.
- 5 Q. When did you do that?
- 6 A. Doesn't have a date on this, I
- 7 don't know precisely.
- 8 Q. November 2009, would that seem
- 9 accurate to you?
- 10 A. That seems accurate.
- 11 Q. So in November you went back to
- 12 figure out in quarter one, the first week of
- 13 January how much time you spent on lobbying?
- 14 A. Actually, you can tell because
- 15 there is two weeks of December here that it
- 16 must have been at or around the third week of
- 17 December.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- 19 So does that seem right in some
- 20 time in December you went back and calculated
- 21 how much time you had spent on lobbying for
- 22 every week throughout the year 2009?
- 23 A. That's accurate.
- Q. You remember doing that?
- 25 A. I do.

- 1 Disney
- 2 Q. Your basis for doing that is you
- 3 looked at your calendars, what else did you do
- 4 to figure out how much time was spent on
- 5 lobbying?
- 6 A. I very thoroughly went through my
- 7 calendar. I remember I also looked at my
- 8 e-mails and divided up by the e-mails that I
- 9 sent to get a grasp on more a granular detail
- 10 of what I worked on at any given day. So for
- 11 example, if I had a very long e-mail that I
- 12 drafted, I could determine from that sort of
- 13 basis for how much time I spent on a certain
- 14 issue.
- 15 Q. Did you include your preparation
- 16 time?
- 17 A. What are you referring to?
- 18 Q. For example, if you're meeting
- 19 with a Member of Congress you determined that
- 20 a meeting was lobbying, so you would enter the
- 21 time you meet with the Member of Congress, did
- 22 you also include the time that you spent
- 23 preparing for that meeting with the Member of
- 24 Congress in your lobbying calculation?
- 25 A. According to the criteria that I

- 1 Disney
- 2 have talked about for lobbying activities, one
- 3 of those activities includes preparation and
- 4 so insofar as the regulation stipulates that
- 5 preparation work is lobbying activities, yes,
- 6 I counted those.
- Q. Okay.
- 8 So for example, in January 3rd the
- 9 week you have one hour spent, that week that
- 10 would have included any preparation time that
- 11 you have would spent for any meetings you
- 12 might have had with Members of Congress --
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. -- anything like that?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. All right.
- 17 Let's look at the week ending
- 18 February 6th, 2009, the one listed 1.5 hours
- 19 that first week.
- 20 A. Okay.
- Q. Let's flip over to your calendars.
- 22 Let me find that in your calendar, February
- 23 2009, I think it is going to be.
- 24 A. Okay.
- 25 Q. You found it?

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. All right.
- 4 Take a look at on February 5th,
- 5 2009, you have a Hill meeting on incidence at
- 6 sea.
- 7 Do you see that?
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 O. Scheduled from 3:00 to 4:30.
- 10 MR. NELSON: What day are you
- 11 looking at?
- MR. JENSEN: February 5th, 2009.
- MR. NELSON: Okay.
- Q. Does this 1.5, does that count
- 15 just time you spent at a meeting or does it
- 16 also include the time you prepared for that
- 17 meeting?
- 18 A. Not remembering --
- 19 MR. NELSON: Objection, no
- 20 foundation.
- You can answer the question.
- 22 A. Not remembering this specific
- 23 meeting or any preparation that would have
- 24 been involved with it, I couldn't speculate.
- Q. Would you typically prepare for

TransPerfect Legal Solutions 212-400-8845 - Depo@TransPerfect.com

1 Disney

- 2 your Hill meetings?
- 3 A. It depends on a number of issues.
- 4 If I didn't need to do any special
- 5 preparation, you have to understand that I
- 6 engaged in meetings on the Hill for a large
- 7 number of different things. A lot of times I
- 8 was just asked to come in and speak to a Hill
- 9 staffer about events going on in Iran that I
- 10 was very familiar with, so as part of just
- 11 reading the news every day, I was prepared.
- 12 So its without knowing the specific details of
- 13 a meeting, I couldn't say what was involved.
- 14 Q. But how do you define preparation,
- 15 reading the news, is it reading something more
- 16 specific about the legislation you're going to
- 17 talk about, how do you know what is preparing
- 18 for that meeting and what it is?
- 19 A. You're hinting at the complexities
- 20 of this legal principle which is at some level
- 21 taken to its extreme getting out bed is
- 22 preparation for lobbying. And this is
- 23 something we struggled with for a long time
- 24 and continue to struggle with. I don't think
- 25 anyone absent an amazing legal mind would be

- 1 Disney
- 2 100 percent confident they understand every in
- 3 and out of the legal principle. Preparing for
- 4 a meeting the type of activities that would
- 5 be, I would have classified as lobbying
- 6 activities that were also in preparation for a
- 7 meeting, I had to draw the line as somewhere
- 8 as to not include getting out of bed or eating
- 9 breakfast as preparation for a meeting.
- 10 Q. Let's make it more simple. What
- 11 about travel time, we won't to getting out of
- 12 bed but travel time.
- 13 A. Sure.
- 14 Q. You leave NIAC, you hop on your
- 15 bike, you pedal up to the Hill, is that part
- 16 of preparation for a meeting, is that
- 17 included, how did you factor that in?
- 18 A. Having not thought about this for
- 19 quite a while, and I'm not certain I could
- 20 answer clearly, but I remember in the process
- 21 of categorizing meetings and preparation
- 22 activities I would consult these various
- 23 resources I had. I would consider all the
- 24 various criteria for lobbying activities and
- 25 match that up with the specific details of the

- 1 Disney
- 2 meeting, and I would make a determination
- 3 based on the best available information,
- 4 that's all I could say.
- 5 Q. Did, you're filling this out in
- 6 mid-December?
- 7 A. Filling --
- 8 Q. This sheet out.
- 9 A. 18?
- 10 Q. Yes, where you list 1.5 hours
- 11 spent that day which looks like it is this
- meeting which you have from 3:00 to 4:30.
- 13 A. I wouldn't be able to say one way
- 14 or another.
- 15 Q. How did you figure that out,
- 16 you're sitting there mid-December and someone
- is asking you to figure out how much time you
- 18 spent on lobbying. I know for me I have to do
- 19 time sheets too, if I don't do them within a
- 20 day it is very difficult, almost impossible to
- 21 remember how much time I spent billing a
- 22 particular client.
- Did you find that to be a daunting
- 24 task in how were you able to get to a
- 25 sufficient comfort level with the numbers here

- 1 Disney
- 2 were an accurate repetition of how much time
- 3 spent?
- 4 MR. NELSON: Objection compound,
- 5 you can try answering.
- 6 A. You're right in saying it is a
- 7 difficult process, it is a difficult process
- 8 tracking your time that you did that day, it
- 9 is that complicated of an issue. So I used
- 10 all of the available information from my
- 11 calendar, from my e-mails, from my handwritten
- 12 notes, from my files, I made the best
- 13 determination I could with the available
- 14 information.
- 15 Q. Are you confident it is accurate?
- 16 A. I'm confident that it is as
- 17 accurate as possible.
- 18 Q. Is it your best effort but is it
- 19 easy to figure out how much time you spent on
- 20 lobbying first week of February sitting at
- 21 your desk in mid-December?
- MR. NELSON: Objection.
- 23 A. I would just repeat that it is
- 24 never easy to understand the legal principle
- 25 of lobbying.

- 1 Disney
- 2 Q. Why are you doing this in
- 3 mid-December?
- 4 A. Me personally, I was told to as
- 5 part of my employment with NIAC.
- 6 Q. Who told you?
- 7 A. I don't recall, it was Trita or
- 8 Kevin, could have been someone else but --
- 9 Q. What specifically were the
- 10 instructions that they gave you?
- 11 A. To make this sort of document,
- 12 tracking my time spent doing lobbying
- 13 activities per quarter.
- 14 Q. And do you feel that you gave, if
- 15 you were on the fence and you're trying
- 16 reconstruct what you did, if you were on the
- 17 fence about how much time you may have spent,
- 18 did you take a broader view or did you take a
- 19 more narrow view how much time was spent on
- 20 lobbying?
- 21 A. I wouldn't characterize it as
- 22 anything like that, I tried to make the
- 23 records as I accurate as I possibly could.
- Q. But there has to be time you have
- 25 no idea, right, you're looking at this

1 Disney

- 2 calendar entry in December from February,
- 3 you're trying to reconstruct how much time did
- 4 I spend lobbying that week, you don't really
- 5 know, unless you have an amazing memory. It
- 6 sounds like you were doing the best you could
- 7 with a very challenging task, you're not sure
- 8 how much time you spent preparing or did you
- 9 recall or did you recall when you filled that
- 10 out how much time you spent --
- 11 MR. NELSON: Objection, he already
- testified he used his time records to go
- through this and you're adding a
- hypothetical that doesn't exist here.
- 15 MR. JENSEN: I'm asking him
- specifically if he recalls when he filled
- 17 this out how much time he spent preparing
- for this particular meeting.
- 19 A. Like I said when I filled this
- 20 document out in number 18, I went through this
- 21 document, my calendar and in addition to
- 22 various other records including e-mails to
- 23 determine as accurately as I could the amount
- 24 of time I spent on lobbying activities at any
- 25 given time.

1	Disney
2	Q. Did your calendar, did the e-mails
3	accurately reflect how much time you spent
4	preparing for all of these meetings?
5	MR. NELSON: Again assumes there
6	was preparation, counsel, there is no
7	foundation, I mean
8	MR. JENSEN: He just told me that
9	the definition of preparation could be
10	even getting out of bed in the morning,
11	so we know there is some preparation that
12	goes into meetings.
13	MR. NELSON: He did not say that,
14	he said if you went to the ridiculous
15	getting out of bed
16	MR. JENSEN: That's why I said it
17	could be, he said it could be.
18	MR. NELSON: Okay.
19	Is there a legal definition for
20	preparation?
21	MR. JENSEN: I'm asking him what
22	his understanding was.
23	MR. NELSON: He has testified to
24	how he completed this to the best of his
25	recollection based on time records, I'm

- 1 Disney
- 2 not sure what else you're looking for,
- 3 counsel.
- 4 A. I consulted all of the information
- 5 I had available to me.
- 6 Q. Sitting here today are you
- 7 comfortable saying this is an accurate
- 8 statement of how much time you spent lobbying?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. If you had filled this out, this
- 11 February entry out at the end of February, do
- 12 you think it would have been different than
- 13 what it was when you filled it out in mid-
- 14 December?
- 15 A. That's a hypothetical I'm not sure
- 16 I can answer.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 Did Emily Blout also do one of
- 19 these timetables?
- 20 A. I don't know.
- 21 Q. Do you know who else did other
- 22 than yourself?
- 23 A. I'm confident other people at NIAC
- 24 would have filled out something similar to
- 25 this, but I don't think I ever saw them.

- 1 Disney
- Q. What's the basis for that
- 3 confidence?
- 4 A. Just that we understood as an
- 5 organization that we needed to have the
- 6 knowledge of our time spent lobbying for
- 7 organizational purposes, and so it would stand
- 8 to reason that I wasn't the only one that
- 9 would need to fill something like this out.
- 10 O. In mid-December when either Trita
- 11 or Kevin came to you and asked you to fill
- 12 this out, was anyone else around that they
- 13 asked to fill out as well?
- 14 A. I don't remember the specific
- 15 conversation, but there is nothing to suggest
- 16 that I would have been the only one
- 17 responsible to do something like this.
- 18 Q. Do you know if anyone else was
- 19 doing this?
- 20 A. I think I answered that.
- 21 Q. Do you recall seeing David, can
- 22 you recall any conversation with David when he
- 23 was trying to reconstruct how much time he
- 24 spent on the particular matter?
- MR. NELSON: Asked and answered.

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. Not specifically.
- 3 Q. Did you approach either David or
- 4 Emily and ask them as you were trying to
- 5 reconstruct this if their calendars coincided
- 6 with yours?
- 7 A. It is possible, I don't recall.
- 8 Q. You don't recall.
- 9 Do you recall doing time sheets
- 10 for anyone else other than yourself?
- 11 A. Filling out time sheets for anyone
- 12 other than myself, no.
- 13 Q. You didn't complete a timesheet
- 14 like this for David Elliott?
- 15 A. That's correct, I didn't do David
- 16 Elliott's time sheets.
- 17 O. Did David Elliott do his time
- 18 sheets?
- 19 A. You would have to ask him.
- 20 Q. Do you know if Trita filled out
- 21 one of these time sheets?
- 22 A. You would have to ask him.
- Q. Do you know if he recorded his
- 24 time spent lobbying?
- 25 A. I believe he did, I never to the

- 1 Disney
- 2 best of my recollection, I never saw his time
- 3 sheets.
- 4 Q. Why do you believe that he did?
- 5 A. Because it was my understanding
- 6 that we as an organization need to be able to
- 7 account for our lobbying activities.
- 8 Q. So because of that obligation you
- 9 assume he was keeping track of his lobbying
- 10 time?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- Were you aware of a litigation
- 14 hold and preservation notice?
- 15 A. I'm not sure I understand those
- 16 legal terms, but if you explain specifically
- 17 what you mean.
- 18 Q. Do you remember anyone instructing
- 19 you that you were not to destroy documents?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 O. When did that instruction occur?
- 22 A. I don't recall when it occurred, I
- 23 just remember it occurring.
- 24 O. Sometime in 2010?
- 25 A. I would hesitate to guess.

- 1 Disney
- Q. All right.
- 3 How was that communicated to you?
- 4 A. It was communicated either via
- 5 e-mail or in person in the office.
- 6 Q. I'm sorry?
- 7 A. Either via e-mail or in person
- 8 within the office.
- 9 Q. Who communicated it to you?
- 10 A. Not recalling specifically the
- 11 conversation, I couldn't say but I would
- 12 assume Trita.
- 13 Q. Did everybody know that there was
- 14 a preservation notice they were not to
- 15 destroy?
- 16 A. I couldn't speak to what everyone
- 17 knew.
- 18 Q. Do you recall talking to everyone
- 19 about it we have got this case, we shouldn't
- 20 be destroying documents?
- 21 A. I do recall speaking with David
- 22 about we actually set up a file to place
- 23 pieces of paper, documents that we would have
- 24 otherwise destroyed that we did not destroy
- 25 that we put in this file for later.

- 1 Disney
- 2 Q. Do you remember any specific
- 3 instructions about that preservation notice?
- 4 A. Nothing more specific than we are
- 5 not allowed to destroy documents for --
- 6 Q. Destroy anything?
- 7 A. I don't remember specifically what
- 8 was communicated precisely, I'm not really
- 9 knowing a lot of the legal implications, I
- 10 wouldn't want --
- 11 Q. Right.
- 12 What I'm just asking you what was
- indicated to you, that's what I'm interested
- in, and how that affected your behavior?
- 15 A. To the best of my recollection I
- 16 came to understand that I was not to
- 17 permanently delete e-mails or documents and
- 18 was not to destroy paper files that weren't,
- 19 that were unique. If I printed a file that
- 20 was on my computer and made no changes to it,
- 21 I could put that piece of paper in the recycle
- 22 bin, that was my understanding.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 How about calendar entries?
- 25 A. I don't remember specific

- 1 Disney
- 2 directives about calendar entries.
- 3 Q. Whether you could preserve them,
- 4 whether you could modify them?
- 5 A. Having never really deleted well,
- 6 I don't remember specific directives about
- 7 calendar entries, it was not something I
- 8 remember a specific conversation about.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 Were you involved in the
- 11 production of documents to the defendant in
- 12 this case?
- 13 A. I went through my e-mails and
- 14 files and documents and based on the number
- 15 criteria given to me relevant to the case,
- 16 yes.
- 17 O. What were those criteria?
- 18 A. They were 14 paragraphs stating
- 19 documents of an, of or pertaining to certain
- 20 issues or types of documents or --
- 21 O. This is a memorandum?
- 22 A. I don't know officially what you
- 23 call it. It was 14 specific criteria that I
- 24 was to go through all of my e-mails and
- 25 documents, anything that could fall under one

- 1 Disney
- 2 or a number of those criteria, I was to flag
- 3 for the discovery process.
- 4 O. Who authored that document?
- 5 A. I have no idea.
- 6 Q. Do you remember discussing or
- 7 talking to go counsel about what you should
- 8 preserve or --
- 9 A. I didn't myself speak with counsel
- 10 but I know my coworkers did, Trita usually
- 11 spoke with counsel on our behalf.
- 12 Q. He reported to you instructions
- 13 from counsel about what was to be preserved?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Do you still have a copy of that
- 16 14-paragraph document?
- 17 A. Not on me.
- 18 Q. Do you know if that 14-paragraph
- 19 document was produced?
- 20 A. I don't know, having been under
- 21 the assumption it was produced from, that was
- 22 authored by Sidley Austin, I don't know.
- Q. You think it was authored by
- 24 Sidley Austin?
- 25 A. My understanding was that the 14

- 1 Disney
- 2 criteria were developed by Sidley Austin, but
- 3 having not --
- 4 MR. NELSON: He's referring to the
- 5 search terms that were agreed upon by
- 6 both parties, the 14 terms he's referring
- 7 to are the search terms.
- 8 MR. JENSEN: There is more than 14
- 9 terms that were initially agreed to.
- 10 Q. Let me, let's clarify this.
- 11 When you say 14 paragraphs, are
- 12 you talking about 14 terms?
- 13 A. No, there were 14 separate
- 14 criteria of documents that we were to search
- 15 for.
- 16 Q. What are --
- 17 A. In addition to those 14 criteria,
- 18 there were separate terms, one or two-word
- 19 sentences that we were also to do as part of
- 20 the discovery process. And the way I went
- 21 about doing the discovery process, I had 14
- 22 folders that I searched using those search
- 23 terms, but I also just comprehensively
- 24 searched my e-mails and would move it an
- 25 e-mail to the appropriate file based on the 14

- 1 Disney
- 2 categories.
- 3 MR. NELSON: He was referring to
- 4 discovery requests.
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. Then you were also given a list of
- 7 terms and you used that list of terms to
- 8 figure out which documents in your files were
- 9 responsive.
- 10 If a document had a single term on
- 11 there did you put it in one of those 14
- 12 folders?
- 13 A. Having gone through this process a
- 14 couple of times, a few times, I remember one
- 15 or two some of those times literally going
- 16 through every e-mail, I don't think the search
- 17 terms as we are calling them were in existence
- 18 yet. I went through every single file and
- 19 every single e-mail and matched them up with
- 20 the discovery request, the 14 discovery
- 21 requests. Later --
- Q. Let me stop you there.
- So you were given a document, that
- 24 these 14 documents requests, how would you
- 25 know whether or not one of those fell in one

- 1 Disney
- 2 of those discovery requests categories?
- A. Those 14 --
- 4 Q. Initially were you given search
- 5 terms at the same time as you were given those
- 6 14 document requests?
- 7 A. To the best of my recollection the
- 8 search terms came after the discovery
- 9 requests, the 14 discovery requests. I
- 10 wouldn't speculate about exactly when we
- 11 received what, but that's how I remember
- 12 things.
- 13 Q. You went through your files, did
- 14 you say every single file?
- 15 A. Yes, it was a difficult process.
- 16 Q. Sounds like it.
- 17 How long did it take you?
- 18 A. A long time.
- 19 Q. A month, few weeks?
- 20 A. Again having done it a couple
- 21 occasions, I don't know exactly but it was a
- 22 good bit of time.
- Q. Why did you need to do it on a
- 24 couple occasions?
- 25 A. Because the lawsuit continued past

- 1 Disney
- 2 the time I turned over the first round of
- 3 documents.
- 4 Q. So it was updating the initial
- 5 production?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. Was it the same criteria that you
- 8 used for all of those subsequent reviews?
- 9 A. It was, we mentioned there were
- 10 search terms that were communicated later. We
- 11 also, I remember engaged in something of a
- 12 back and forth about search terms that we
- 13 thought were inappropriate. For example, NIAC
- 14 being on every document we ever produced, it
- 15 wasn't a helpful search term so --
- 16 Q. You looked at the search terms,
- 17 then you made a determination which of those
- 18 would warrant whether or not a document was
- 19 responsive and which wouldn't?
- 20 A. Like I said, the 14 discovery
- 21 requests were the, I literally created on my
- 22 computer a file for each of the 14, I would
- 23 call paragraphs, 14 discovery requests. And
- 24 as I went through the documents using the
- 25 search terms or even if a document did not

- 1 Disney
- 2 include any of the search terms, I examined
- 3 that document and made a determination about
- 4 which if any of the 14 files to put it into.
- Q. Okay.
- 6 So you went through so I
- 7 understand, you went through all of your
- 8 documents by hand without running the search
- 9 terms beforehand to determine whether it fell
- 10 into one of these categories?
- 11 A. There are times I used search
- 12 terms, there are times I didn't.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- 14 And do you have a share drive,
- 15 talking about that collection of machines and
- 16 corner, I think you said that in that
- 17 collection of machines there is a share drive
- 18 or folder where people could dump documents
- 19 that other folks on the network could see.
- 20 A. That's correct.
- Q. You might not use the word
- 22 network; is that correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And do you know if anyone searched
- 25 the documents on that share drive to

- 1 Disney
- 2 determine --
- A. I do, I remember us divvying up
- 4 segments of the share drive for each
- 5 individual to go through.
- 6 Q. And who did that search, you said
- 7 divvying up, among whom?
- 8 A. Among nearly everyone, it is
- 9 possible some people got off without doing
- 10 them, but we divided it, it was a division of
- 11 labor.
- 12 Q. You took a certain folder and
- 13 said, I'm going to take these folders and
- 14 review those and Emily is going to review
- 15 these, David is going to review these?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. All right.
- 18 Are you aware that the calendar
- 19 entries that were produced to the defendant in
- 20 December 2009 were modified on December 25th,
- 21 26th and 27th?
- MR. NELSON: Objection, foundation.
- 23 A. Can you repeat the question.
- Q. Are you aware that the calendar
- 25 entries that were produced by the plaintiff to

- 1 Disney
- 2 the defendant in December 2009 were modified
- 3 on December 25th, 26th and 27th?
- A. Are you referring to this?
- 5 Q. No.
- 6 A. I'm not sure what you are
- 7 referring to then.
- Q. Okay.
- 9 Were you involved in producing
- 10 calendar entries?
- 11 A. Yes, I think this demonstrates I
- 12 was involved in producing my Outlook calendar.
- 13 Q. What did you do to produces your
- 14 Outlook calendar?
- 15 A. I don't remember specifically, I
- 16 don't remember the specific mechanisms for
- 17 producing my calendar, it was a technical
- 18 thing that has escaped my memory. I don't
- 19 remember the way I physically produced the
- 20 Outlook calendar from my computer.
- 21 Q. Did someone instruct you how to do
- 22 that?
- 23 A. They must, someone must have
- 24 because I seem to remember thinking we had a
- 25 uniform way. That I don't recall it being a

- 1 Disney
- 2 very, I don't remember, I don't remember the
- 3 moment when I produced my calendar, I don't
- 4 remember.
- 5 Q. Did you take your calendar and put
- 6 them on Excel spreadsheets before you gave
- 7 those calendars to be produced?
- 8 A. I don't remember, really
- 9 specifically recall what format we converted
- 10 it into if we converted it into any format, it
- 11 is just a technical thing, I don't recall.
- 12 Q. Do you recall looking, seeing
- 13 whether your color-coding made it over when
- 14 you prepared the documents for production?
- MR. NELSON: Asked and answered.
- 16 A. I don't recall.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 Did you modify any of your
- 19 calendar entries to December 25th, 26th or
- 20 27th, 2009?
- 21 A. I couldn't speak to any specifics,
- 22 I'm not sure, I am not sure I get what you're
- 23 saying. Did I make entries to my calendar for
- 24 those days, it is possible.
- 25 Q. Did you go into your calendars and

- 1 Disney
- 2 delete or edit any of your calendar entries to
- 3 any of those days?
- 4 A. If your asking if I falsified any
- 5 information on my calendar, I did not.
- 6 Q. Not falsified, I'm asking if you
- 7 edited or deleted anything from your calendars
- 8 on those days?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 Are you aware of anyone at NIAC
- 12 who did?
- 13 A. Not remembering who I turned over
- 14 my calendar to or how I turned it over, I
- 15 can't speak to what anyone else did with my
- 16 calendar.
- 17 Q. Who did you turn it over to?
- 18 A. Trita generally handled those
- 19 sorts of things when we produced the discovery
- 20 documents. We placed them either on the share
- 21 drive or we placed the share drive, Trita was
- 22 sort of the go-between between us on the staff
- 23 and our counselors.
- Q. You took your calendar entries,
- 25 you're not sure how you converted them into a

- 1 Disney
- 2 PST tile and put them into Excel, but somehow
- 3 you moved those to the share drive, your
- 4 understanding was that Trita would take those
- 5 and provide those to counsel for production.
- 7 A. Not knowing the specific details
- 8 of what would happen once I produced it and
- 9 not really caring at the time, I cannot say
- 10 for sure. But it was my understanding that I
- 11 would produce discovery documents that would
- 12 then be looked at by our legal counsel, and
- 13 ultimately it would be presented for
- 14 discovery.
- 15 Q. Your calendar shows a lot of NIAC
- 16 meetings.
- 17 Were there ever notes taken from
- 18 the NIAC meeting similar to the CNAPI notes
- 19 that you were taking calendar?
- 20 A. Not similar to CNAPI meetings that
- 21 you have offered here, they were much less
- 22 formal meetings.
- Q. Were you taking those notes?
- 24 A. Which notes?
- Q. For the NIAC meetings?

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. I believe everyone took their own
- 3 notes individually, I made notes individually,
- 4 yes.
- 5 Q. What did you do with those notes?
- 6 A. I kept them in my files.
- 7 Q. Were they hard copy, did you put
- 8 them in electronic documents?
- 9 A. I generally handwrote them.
- 10 O. Were those files searched for
- 11 responsiveness?
- 12 A. They were.
- 13 Q. If they were responsive were they
- 14 produced?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Let's flip over to March 19th,
- 17 2009 in the calendar exhibit.
- Do you see an entry that says,
- 19 "Discovery leg team meeting?"
- 20 A. I do.
- 21 Q. Under the required attendees
- 22 column it looks like it has David Elliott,
- 23 Emily Blout, and obviously yourself would be
- 24 another attendee since this is your calendar.
- 25 In the description it says to discuss what

- 1 Disney
- 2 will be considered privileged in the
- 3 discovery.
- 4 MR. NELSON: You said March 19th?
- 5 Q. March 19th, 2009.
- 6 A. Yes, I see that.
- 7 Q. Tell me about that meeting.
- 8 A. I don't remember this specific
- 9 meeting, I remembered having a question
- 10 about--
- 11 MR. NELSON: I object, are you, was
- this, let me speak to you.
- 13 Is this a little meeting that you
- 14 had with lawyers?
- 15 THE WITNESS: No lawyers were
- 16 present in this meeting to the best of my
- 17 recollection.
- MR. NELSON: What you're testifying
- to no lawyer was present?
- 20 THE WITNESS: Not remembering the
- 21 specific meeting, but I believe no
- lawyers were present at this meeting.
- MR. NELSON: You can answer.
- Q. To the best of your recollection
- 25 what was this meeting?

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. We in the process of discovery it
- 3 was communicated to us that certain matters
- 4 were not open to be being produced, these
- 5 included communications with legal counsel.
- 6 This was something that we all as a staff
- 7 needed to have a very clear conception up, and
- 8 it is my belief this meeting was an
- 9 opportunity to discuss exactly what would fall
- 10 under that category.
- 11 Q. So were the three of you, were all
- 12 the staff when they were doing the review of
- 13 these documents, were they making a determi-
- 14 nation about what was privileged and what
- 15 documents were not privileged?
- 16 A. As individuals were doing their
- own discovery process, they were constantly
- 18 making determinations about one thing or
- 19 another.
- 20 Q. Is privilege one of those
- 21 determinations?
- 22 A. In the course of reviewing our
- 23 e-mails for discovery process, if each
- 24 individual had an e-mail with our attorneys,
- 25 that individual would most likely have

- 1 Disney
- 2 determined that to be nondiscoverable, so if
- 3 that's answer to the question, the answer
- 4 would be yes.
- Q. Okay.
- 6 So by nondiscoverable you mean
- 7 that if you determined it was privileged and
- 8 this is you, Patrick Disney, look at this
- 9 e-mail and say it was sent to my lawyer, or
- 10 the lawyer was cc'd on it or something like
- 11 that, you make the determination that that
- 12 document is privileged, you would then
- 13 withhold that document.
- 14 Is that --
- 15 A. I don't want to generalize, but it
- 16 was communicated to us the various ways that
- 17 we could understand whether or not a document
- 18 met the criteria to be turned over, and we
- 19 each as an individual made that determination,
- 20 yes.
- 21 Q. And who communicated that to you?
- 22 A. Again it was very complicated
- 23 determination, it was a complicated issue, so
- 24 I'm certain it was communicated to us through
- 25 various discussions from Trita to other

- 1 Disney
- 2 members of the staff constantly and advised by
- 3 legal counsel.
- 4 Q. Legal, do you remember ever
- 5 speaking to any NIAC's lawyers about what is a
- 6 privileged document and what isn't a
- 7 privileged document?
- 8 A. I remember receiving e-mails, I
- 9 never spoke one-on-one with our lawyers about
- 10 the discovery process. But it was not, there
- 11 were times when one or some of our attorneys
- 12 would send us e-mails with advice on this
- 13 process.
- 14 Q. If you determined, I just want to
- 15 make sure, you may have said this, if you
- 16 determined a document was privileged, that
- 17 document would not have been produced to the
- 18 defendant.
- 19 Is that correct?
- 20 MR. NELSON: I object because he is
- saying whether or not a document was
- discoverable, he hasn't used the term he
- 23 made a privileged determination.
- Q. What does that mean, not
- 25 discoverable?

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. Did not meet criteria laid out for
- 3 us to turn over.
- 4 Q. So what happened to it?
- 5 A. Speaking from myself and this
- 6 process, if an e-mail, I determined an e-mail
- 7 did not meet any of criteria, I did not move
- 8 that e-mail to a file which would then be
- 9 handed over.
- 10 Q. Did you ever send that document to
- 11 Trita, to any lawyers to ask whether or not
- 12 you were making a correct determination on the
- 13 particular document that was privileged?
- 14 A. I don't remember any specific
- 15 instance in which I forwarded a document on
- 16 saying, "What do you think about this," it is
- 17 very likely that I sought people's input.
- 18 Q. Who would those people be?
- 19 A. We were all doing the process at
- 20 this time. A lot of people were doing it at
- 21 the same time so it is not uncommon --
- 22 Q. By saying a lot of us you mean
- 23 NIAC staff?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- Q. Was anyone on NIAC's staff a

1 Disney

- 2 lawyer?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Getting back to the instruction
- 5 about what is privileged, you said that came
- 6 from Trita and from other folks who had spoken
- 7 to the lawyers.
- 8 Is that correct?
- 9 MR. NELSON: Objection, he used the
- 10 word whether or not it had to be turned
- over, he didn't say privileged.
- 12 A. I understand that the word
- 13 privileged may have some other meaning which
- is why I'm not using it. We had a number of
- 15 discussions about the discovery process.
- 16 Q. What about these reports from the
- 17 legal experts about what is lobbying. Would
- 18 you have, do you remember seeing those in the
- 19 review that you were doing to determine, what
- 20 was the word used produceable, what's the word
- 21 you were using?
- 22 A. I don't remember the word.
- 23 Q. But you know what I mean, to make
- 24 the determination about whether or not you
- 25 would put the document in the folder or

- 1 Disney
- 2 wherever that would then further the process
- 3 to eventually be produced whether you would
- 4 withhold that document back?
- 5 A. The documents relating to the
- 6 classification of our activities as lobbying
- 7 activities were separate and distinct from any
- 8 documents relating to making a determination
- 9 about the discovery process, so --
- 10 Q. What do you mean by separate and
- 11 distinct?
- 12 A. The --
- Q. You mean they were physically
- 14 housed in a different place?
- 15 A. No, I mean they were documents,
- 16 for example the 14 discovery criteria,
- 17 discovery requests that we frequently
- 18 consulted in the process of discovery because
- 19 this was the criteria we were to judge
- 20 documents on. The documents relating to
- 21 making the determination whether or not to an
- 22 activity is lobbying were intended for a
- 23 separate determination, if that makes sense.
- 24 MR. JENSEN: Showing you what we
- will mark as Defendant's Exhibit 19, for

- 1 Disney
- 2 identification.
- 3 (Defendant's Exhibit Number 19,
- 4 e-mail, marked for identification, as of
- 5 this date.)
- 6 Q. Have you ever seen this document
- 7 before?
- 8 A. I don't recognize it, but that's
- 9 not to say I have never seen it before.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 What is this document?
- 12 A. It appears to be an e-mail from
- 13 Trita to a woman named Miriam Nemetz,
- $14 \quad N-E-M-E-T-Z$.
- 15 Q. Would this have made it through
- 16 your screening about what is the document that
- 17 should be produced?
- 18 A. Not having all of the relevant
- 19 criteria in front of me, I hesitate to say for
- 20 sure.
- 21 Q. Do you remember reviewing this
- 22 document?
- 23 A. I don't.
- 24 MR. PISHEVAR: This particular
- 25 e-mail appears to be something sent to

1	Disney
2	NIAC's attorney, Mayer Brown, they, so
3	this would be probably subject to the
4	clawback.
5	MR. JENSEN: Okay.
6	MR. PISHEVAR: It is an e-mail sent
7	to their attorney at Mayer Brown
8	MR. JENSEN: Fair enough.
9	MR. PISHEVAR: that we
10	represented them in the amici brief in
11	the Chicago case involving the Persian
12	artifacts.
13	MR. JENSEN: Subject to the
14	clawback agreement, we want to make sure
15	that if we do have a document that was
16	inadvertently produced that is privileged
17	that we give that back to you.
18	I show you what's been marked as
19	Defendant's Exhibit Number 20.
20	(Defendant's Exhibit Number 20,
21	e-mail chain, marked for identification,
22	as of this date.)
23	MR. PISHEVAR: This also, I forget
24	what number you said it was.
25	MR. NELSON: 20.

- 1 Disney
- 2 MR. PISHEVAR: 20, also looks look
- it would be subject to the clawback. I
- 4 see my e-mail there, so something was
- 5 e-mailed to counsel.
- 6 Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 7 A. I don't recognize it, no.
- 8 Q. What does it appear to be?
- 9 A. It appears to be an e-mail from me
- 10 to a number of other people connected with
- 11 NIAC.
- 12 Q. Flip over to page two.
- Do you see at the bottom where
- 14 there's an e-mail from Trita to Afshin
- 15 Pishevar, Patrick Disney, Phil Elwood, looks
- 16 like Phil Elwood is on there twice, cc to
- 17 Kevin Cowl, David Elliott, Patrick Disney,
- 18 Michelle Moghtader, Jamal Abdi and Trita
- 19 Parsi.
- The text of that e-mail says, "As
- 21 predicted, Senator Kyl seeks an investigation.
- 22 Afshin, should we contact DOJ ASAP over full
- 23 cooperation? What are our options?"
- MR. PISHEVAR: The e-mail to me is
- 25 subject to the clawback.

- 1 Disney
- 2 MR. JENSEN: Okay.
- 3 Q. Do you view this as being a
- 4 privileged document?
- 5 MR. NELSON: He has no legal basis
- 6 to make that determination.
- 7 Q. I'm asking you when you would look
- 8 at this.
- 9 DI
- 10 MR. NELSON: Objection, don't
- answer, the question is inappropriate.
- 12 Q. Based on the calculation that you
- 13 employed when you were making a determination
- 14 about what is a document that should
- 15 eventually be produced, if you see this
- 16 document based on that criteria --
- 17 DI
- 18 MR. NELSON: I'm instructing him
- not to answer the question.
- 20 MR. JENSEN: On what basis?
- 21 MR. NELSON: First of all, this is
- 22 a privileged document.
- MR. JENSEN: It is not, it wasn't
- 24 produced by you. It is cc'd to a
- 25 third-party which defeats the privilege.

TransPerfect Legal Solutions 212-400-8845 - Depo@TransPerfect.com

1 Disney 2 As you well know, Phil Elwood is not an 3 employee of NIAC, you don't represent him, that's a waiver, and it wasn't 5 produced by you so it is not subject to the clawback agreement. 6 7 So I would ask you to answer the question. 8 9 DI 10 MR. NELSON: We still instruct you 11 not to answer the question. 12 MR. JENSEN: On what basis, sir? 13 MR. NELSON: The basis is also this 14 particular witness does not have 15 expertise to determine whether or not a 16 document is privileged. 17 MR. JENSEN: I'm not asking about 18 his expertise, I'm asking about the criteria that he used to make a 19 20 determination --21 MR. NELSON: You haven't 2.2 established --23 THE COURT REPORTER: One at a time, 24 please, counsel. 25 MR. NELSON: -- any foundation that

1	Disney	
2	this witness ever reviewed this document	
3	to determine whether or not it was	
4	discoverable.	
5	MR. JENSEN: And I'm not asking him	
6	about that.	
7	MR. NELSON: Let me finish my	
8	objection.	
9	So if you're not asking him whether	
10	or not he reviewed this particular	
11	document to determine whether or not it	
12	is discoverable, then you're simply	
13	asking him a hypothetical question.	
14	MR. JENSEN: And what is your basis	
15	for objecting to me asking him a	
16	hypothetical question?	
17	MR. NELSON: You're asking him a	
18	hypothetical question about whether or	
19	not he would have produced this document.	
20	MR. JENSEN: Yes, I'm asking him a	
21	hypothetical question about whether or	
22	not based on the criteria he used to	
23	determine what is privileged he would	
24	have produced this document.	
25	MR. NELSON: So you're asking him a	

- 1 Disney
- 2 question not having given him an
- 3 opportunity to review what looks like
- 4 about a 14-page document.
- 5 MR. JENSEN: Okay, please take your
- time, review the document.
- 7 MR. NELSON: And if you're able to,
- 8 tell him whether you would have identi-
- 9 fied it as discoverable.
- 10 A. I can actually confidently say
- 11 that when making the determination about
- 12 whether or not to present a document as part
- 13 of the discovery, I consulted a number of
- 14 resources which I don't have before me.
- Q. What were those resources?
- 16 A. Again, the discovery requests, the
- 17 search terms, various communications from our
- 18 legal attorneys, and all of that would have
- 19 been taken into consideration when making such
- 20 a determination, and I wouldn't want to guess
- 21 at one without all of those resources
- 22 available.
- MR. NELSON: We are also going to
- 24 reserve on the issue of whether or not
- 25 this was a privileged document. You have

TransPerfect Legal Solutions 212-400-8845 - Depo@TransPerfect.com

1	Disney
2	made no foundation that each of the
3	individuals in here is not a part of
4	either the legal team or any agent of
5	NIAC that would have been covered. You
6	have stated definitively that there was a
7	waiver, there is no foundation for that.
8	MR. PISHEVAR: Our position is that
9	it is privileged.
10	MR. JENSEN: We can discuss that.
11	It was not produced by you so it
12	wouldn't be subject to your clawback
13	agreement, and
14	MR. NELSON: Whether or not it was
15	produced by us has no relevance as to
16	whether or not it is privileged.
17	MR. JENSEN: It is subject to the
18	clawback agreement?
19	MR. NELSON: No, whether or not
20	there was a waiver, that was your
21	statement.
22	MR. JENSEN: Right.
23	MR. NELSON: You said there was a
24	waiver based upon the fact that there are
25	individuals listed on here who are not a

1	Disney
2	part of the legal team.
3	MR. JENSEN: No, who are not
4	employed by NIAC who are not represented
5	by you.
6	MR. NELSON: That has no relevance,
7	in terms of whether or not there is a
8	waiver
9	MR. JENSEN: No, it defeats the
10	privilege. Anyway, we can discuss this
11	later. I want to move on
12	MR. PISHEVAR: There are agents
13	involved in anticipation of litigation.
14	And we can argue about this later
15	MR. JENSEN: Yeah, we can argue
16	about this later.
17	MR. PISHEVAR: but it is our
18	position that it is privileged.
19	MR. JENSEN: Okay.
20	But it is your position that it is
21	subject to the clawback agreement?
22	MR. PISHEVAR: Yes, and there may
23	be other clawbacks, other agreements that
24	these were produced subject to that you
25	are not proffering to us.

		Page	22
1	Disney		
2	MR. JENSEN: Okay.		
3	MR. PISHEVAR: Were there?		
4	MR. JENSEN: Sorry?		
5	MR. PISHEVAR: Was there an		
6	agreement, was there an agreement that		
7	these were produced under?		
8	MR. JENSEN: Not that I'm aware of.		
9	MR. PISHEVAR: Who was this		
10	produced by?		
11	MR. JENSEN: Phil Elwood.		
12	MR. PISHEVAR: A public relations		
13	firm which is an agent of NIAC, correct?		
14	MR. JENSEN: I don't know if it is		
15	an agent or not.		
16	MR. PISHEVAR: It is my under-		
17	standing that they had agreement with		
18	you, a confidentiality agreement, so		
19	MR. JENSEN: Well, then it is not		
20	subject to the confidentiality agreement.		
21	MR. PISHEVAR: Is it your under-		
22	standing that they had a confidentiality		
23	agreement with Phil Elwood's firm?		
24	MR. JENSEN: I don't know.		
25	MR. NELSON: You made a repre-		

1	Disney
2	sentation on the record about a waiver,
3	so obviously there is no substance and no
4	foundation
5	MR. JENSEN: No, there absolutely
б	is substance, we can discuss it later,
7	but there absolutely is substance to the
8	argument that it is a waiver and it was
9	sent to a party outside of NIAC. We can
10	discuss whether or not the privilege
11	extends to your agent.
12	As you well know, there are very
13	limited circumstances under which the
14	privilege applies to conversations made
15	with an agent.
16	MR. PISHEVAR: All I'm saying to
17	you is
18	MR. JENSEN: Conversations
19	THE COURT REPORTER: One at a time,
20	please.
21	MR. JENSEN: Excuse me counsel, let
22	me finish.
23	MR. PISHEVAR: That can all be
24	fleshed out later.
25	MR. JENSEN: Excuse me, let me

1 Disney

- 2 finish.
- We can discuss this later, but as
- 4 you all know when privileged conversa-
- 5 tions are made in the presence of a
- 6 third-party, it waives the privilege and
- 7 we will leave it at that.
- 8 MR. NELSON: Until you establish
- 9 the foundation for your basis that there
- 10 was a waiver, our position is that it is
- 11 privileged and I'm instructing the
- 12 witness not to answer.
- MR. JENSEN: Okay.
- Q. Are you familiar with a program
- 15 called Sales Force?
- 16 A. I am.
- 17 Q. What was Sales Force?
- 18 A. Are you asking what NIAC used
- 19 Sales Force for?
- Q. First tell me what it is.
- 21 A. I don't have a good understanding
- 22 what the program at large is for, but I
- 23 understand how NIAC used it.
- Q. How did NIAC use it?
- 25 A. NIAC used it as a membership

TransPerfect Legal Solutions 212-400-8845 - Depo@TransPerfect.com

- 1 Disney
- 2 database, as a database of contact information
- 3 for people.
- 4 Q. Who was in charge of it at NIAC?
- 5 A. I don't recall anyone being
- 6 formally in charge of Sales Force.
- 7 Q. Did you use Sales Force?
- 8 A. Occasionally.
- 9 Q. Who else used Sales Force?
- 10 A. Everyone from our office manager
- 11 to our interns used Sales Force at one time or
- 12 another.
- Q. Do you know when NIAC began using
- 14 Sales Force?
- 15 A. I don't, I know it was prior to my
- 16 starting with NIAC.
- 17 Q. What's the data that you inputted
- 18 into Sales Force, what kind of data was that?
- 19 A. Generally just information about
- 20 individuals, names, addresses, phone numbers,
- 21 that sort of things.
- 22 Q. How frequently did you use it?
- 23 A. I didn't use it very frequently,
- 24 actually.
- 25 Q. Did you find it to be a useful

- 1 Disney
- 2 tool?
- 3 A. I found it to be a difficult tool
- 4 to work with.
- 5 Q. We talked a little about the
- 6 machines in the corner and I'm not sure if I
- 7 asked this, I'll ask it again, if I did please
- 8 forgive me.
- 9 Did NIAC have, is one of those an
- 10 e-mail server as far as you understand what
- 11 the term e-mail server means?
- MR. NELSON: Objection.
- 13 A. My understanding of what an e-mail
- 14 is server pretty limited. We were all issued,
- 15 all NIAC employees issued e-mail addresses
- 16 that were directed to at NIACcounsel.org.
- 17 Q. Have you ever met with Mahmoud
- 18 Ahmadinejad? I am sure I butchered the name,
- 19 but the President of Iran?
- 20 A. I have, not as an employee of
- 21 NIAC, as a graduate student.
- 22 Q. Tell me about that meeting, how
- 23 was that set up?
- 24 A. That was in September of this year
- 25 when President Ahmadinejad addressed the UN

- 1 Disney
- 2 General Assembly, one of my professors in my
- 3 current studies arranged to have our class
- 4 meet with him for a brief time in New York.
- 5 Q. What professor was that, who
- 6 arranged that?
- 7 A. This is completely unrelated to
- 8 the lawsuit.
- 9 Is this relevant?
- 10 Q. Yes.
- 11 MR. NELSON: It is not his
- 12 determination as to whether it is
- 13 relevant, but you can go ahead and answer
- 14 the question.
- 15 A. It is Hillary Mann Leverett,
- 16 L-E-V-E-R-E-T-T.
- 17 Q. Do you know how she was able to
- 18 set up that meeting?
- 19 A. Not really.
- Q. Did any of the arrangements for
- 21 you to attend that occur while you were at
- 22 NIAC or did it postdate your time?
- A. None whatsoever.
- Q. How often are you in contact with
- 25 people in Iran related to NIAC or CNAPI?

- 1 Disney
- 2 MR. NELSON: Is there a time
- 3 period?
- 4 Q. During any time in which you
- 5 worked at NIAC, were you in contact with
- 6 people in Iran related to NIAC or CNAPI?
- 7 A. I don't recall any specific times
- 8 when I was in contact with people in Iran.
- 9 Q. Flip over to Exhibit 7.
- 10 If you look under the first number
- 11 item B, "PSR March 1st delegation to Iran is
- 12 still on, the visas won't be administered or
- 13 not until days before."
- 14 Do you recall what that delegation
- 15 is?
- 16 A. Just vaguely.
- 17 PSR stands for Physicians for
- 18 Social Responsibility, it was some activity
- 19 within that organization.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 Do you have any recollection of
- 22 liaising with anyone in Iran about
- 23 facilitating this meeting or that delegation?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 MR. JENSEN: Handing you what is

```
1 Disney
```

- 2 going to be marked Defendant's Exhibit
- 3 21.
- 4 (Defendant's Exhibit Number 21,
- 5 notes, marked for identification, as of
- 6 this date.)
- 7 Q. I don't want to rush you, I'm
- 8 going to ask you about a small part of this on
- 9 the second page. I don't want to rush you, if
- 10 you feel you want to read the whole thing,
- 11 please go ahead and do so.
- 12 A. Go ahead.
- MR. JENSEN: Before we do that, I
- 14 would like to clarify Sidley Austin does
- 15 have a confidentiality agreement with
- 16 Elwood. That was because Elwood has a
- 17 confidentiality agreement with NIAC that
- we never saw, and but even still, we
- don't see how these would be subject to a
- 20 confidentiality agreement as they don't
- 21 contain confidential information.
- MR. PISHEVAR: We do.
- 23 MR. JENSEN: We can discuss that
- 24 later.
- Q. All right.

TransPerfect Legal Solutions 212-400-8845 - Depo@TransPerfect.com

- 1 Disney
- 2 If you look over on line item one
- 3 where it says, "Invite delegation from Iran to
- 4 meet with Congress, " how would CNAPI or NIAC
- 5 go about inviting a delegation from Iran?
- 6 A. I should first clarify that this
- 7 document is a summary of a meeting between
- 8 these individuals listed here. This meeting
- 9 was a forum for individual organizations to
- 10 discuss projects they were working on or
- 11 interested in working on. This was not any
- 12 sort of activity to coordinate any activities
- 13 under the leadership of a formal structure,
- 14 this was more of a sounding board.
- 15 If I recall specific meeting, it
- 16 was a brainstorming session of potential
- 17 activities that groups may pursue in 2009, and
- 18 I don't recall who specifically brought up the
- 19 idea of parliamentary exchanges or inviting
- 20 delegations to meet with Congress, but I know
- 21 historically there has been interest from both
- 22 sides, the U.S. government, the U.S. Congress
- 23 and the Iranian parliament for such a thing,
- 24 in that many parties thought that be would be
- 25 a constructive pursuit.

- 1 Disney
- 2 Q. Do any of those parties have
- 3 contact with the Iranian parliament, the
- 4 Iranian government that would have facilitated
- 5 that?
- 6 A. I don't know specifically.
- 7 Q. You don't recall any discussion
- 8 along those lines?
- 9 A. I don't recall.
- 10 Q. Have you ever had contact with
- 11 anyone in the Iranian government?
- 12 A. Aside from my meeting that we just
- 13 spoke about in September as a graduate
- 14 student, no.
- 15 Q. Anyone from an Iranian state-
- 16 controlled business?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Anyone from any other state-
- 19 controlled organization, an Iranian state-
- 20 controlled organization?
- 21 A. To the best of my knowledge, no.
- 22 Q. Have you ever fielded any e-mails
- 23 from Iran related to NIAC activities?
- A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 25 Q. Do you remember receiving any

- 1 Disney
- 2 phone calls from anyone in Iran related to
- 3 NIAC activities?
- 4 A. Not that I recall.
- 5 Q. I hate to really test your memory,
- 6 HConRes 362, do you recall what that was
- 7 about?
- 8 A. I do.
- 9 Q. What is that about, a naval
- 10 blockade?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. What was NIAC's position on
- 13 HConRes 362?
- 14 A. NIAC did not support that piece of
- 15 legislation.
- 16 Q. Can you give me a brief
- 17 description about what that piece of
- 18 legislation was?
- 19 A. It was a nonbinding resolution
- 20 expressing the sense of Congress that the U.S.
- 21 should cut off all imports of refined
- 22 petroleum to Iran.
- Q. Is that Senator Kyl's legislation?
- A. No, that was Congressman Ackerman.
- Q. I'm sorry, SRes 580 would have

- 1 Disney
- been Senator Kyl's equivalent?
- 3 A. I don't recall SRes 580.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 And NIAC you said was opposed to
- 6 it?
- 7 A. I said we did not support that.
- 8 Q. Did not support that piece of
- 9 legislation.
- 10 Why did NIAC not support that
- 11 piece of legislation?
- 12 A. The way, that piece of legislation
- 13 could have been interpreted as an authori-
- 14 zation for a naval black blockade on Iran.
- 15 Q. You talked briefly about The
- 16 Democracy Fund, I can't recall if you told me
- 17 what NIAC's position was on the Fund?
- 18 A. The issue of The Democracy Fund
- 19 was pretty complicated, so where we came down
- 20 on any specific part of it depended on a lot
- 21 of factors.
- 22 Q. Was NIAC opposed to the Fund?
- MR. NELSON: Objection, this is not
- a 30(b)(6) deposition, you want to ask
- 25 him whether he did any work to that

- 1 Disney
- 2 understanding? He hasn't been brought
- 3 here to represent NIAC on their policies.
- 4 O. Please answer.
- 5 MR. NELSON: Same objection, this
- is not a 30(b)(6) deposition.
- 7 A. Like I said before that the issue
- 8 of The Democracy Fund encapsulated a number of
- 9 different areas, and I wouldn't want to
- 10 generalize about our position on any one given
- 11 thing without more specific details.
- 12 Q. NIAC's position on sanctions?
- MR. NELSON: Same objection.
- Q. What is NIAC's position with
- 15 regard to sanctions?
- 16 A. It varies.
- 17 Q. Would you, is it fair to
- 18 characterize NIAC as generally being opposed
- 19 to sanctions against the Iranian regime?
- MR. NELSON: Same objection.
- 21 A. Same thing, the devil is in the
- 22 details, you have to be more specific.
- Q. Are you familiar with Senator Kyl
- 24 asking for a Department of Justice
- 25 investigation to NIAC?

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. I am.
- 3 Q. What do you know about that?
- 4 A. All I know is that in a Senate
- 5 hearing I believe with the Attorney General,
- 6 Senator Kyl's office requested a Department of
- 7 Justice investigation of NIAC shortly
- 8 following the Washington Times article about
- 9 us.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 Do you know what J Street is?
- 12 A. I do.
- 13 Q. And did you interact with J Street
- in your capacity as an employee at NIAC?
- 15 A. I did.
- 16 Q. Tell me about those interactions.
- 17 A. There were quite a few so they
- 18 varied.
- Q. Were they a member of CNAPI?
- 20 A. CNAPI never had a very cohesive
- 21 membership structure, J Street participated in
- 22 various CNAPI activities, but there were no
- 23 cards for members.
- Q. How often would you collaborate
- 25 with J Street or --

- 1 Disney
- 2 A. It is difficult to put an
- 3 estimation on it, but it was frequent.
- 4 Q. Weekly, monthly?
- 5 A. Again it is as issues arose that
- 6 involved that were relevant to both of our
- 7 organizations. We collaborated, it happened
- 8 frequently but I wouldn't able to say with any
- 9 regularity.
- 10 Q. On average how many times a month
- 11 would you say you talked to somebody at J
- 12 Street?
- 13 A. Again depends on the month, there
- 14 were some months when I'm confident I never
- 15 spoke to anyone at J Street, other months we
- 16 spoke a number of times, 10.
- 17 Q. You just referenced an article
- 18 from Lake, is that, would that be Eli Lake at
- 19 the Washington Times?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 MR. JENSEN: I will show you what
- I'll mark as Exhibit 22.
- 23 (Defendant's Exhibit Number 22,
- 24 article, marked for identification, as of
- 25 this date.)

- 1 Disney
- 2 MR. NELSON: A little farther
- 3 please, thanks.
- Q. Do you recognize that document?
- 5 A. I do.
- 6 Q. What is that document?
- 7 A. It is a copy of a Washington Times
- 8 article by Eli Lake about NIAC's activities.
- 9 Q. Will you flip over to page 4, 4 of
- 10 10. The first complete, the third complete
- 11 paragraph starting with, when reached
- 12 Thursday.
- 13 "When reached Thursday for the
- 14 story Mr. Disney said you were using an e-mail
- 15 from very early in my time at NIAC to
- 16 demonstrate that the organization is not
- 17 following the rules. When I wrote the e-mail
- in question, I was a 22-year old with no legal
- 19 education, but was asked to research and give
- 20 an opinion about a complex legal matter. The
- 21 opinion I expressed in the e-mail was
- 22 erroneous and has since been clarified by the
- 23 legal professionals who have found NIAC is in
- 24 full compliance with the law. The practice of
- 25 using out of context and partial e-mails is

1 Disney

- 2 poor journalism and is one of the reasons
- 3 Americans are losing faith in the media."
- 4 Are those your words?
- 5 A. They are.
- 6 Q. And did you say those words?
- 7 A. I communicated them via e-mail.
- 8 Q. Did you draft that e-mail that
- 9 communicated these words?
- 10 A. In consultation with my coworkers,
- 11 I drafted that e-mail.
- 12 Q. Did anyone instruct you to say
- 13 these words to Mr. Lake?
- 14 A. I was given advice and guidance
- 15 from both Trita Parsi and Phil Elwood
- 16 regarding this quote.
- 17 MR. JENSEN: I show you what we
- will mark as Defendant's Exhibit 23.
- 19 (Defendant's Exhibit Number 23,
- 20 e-mail chain, marked for identification,
- as of this date.)
- MR. NELSON: Counsel, we are going
- 23 to likely have the same objection that we
- 24 had to the other exhibit, that came from
- 25 Mr. Elwood.

- 1 Disney
- 2 MR. JENSEN: We will note that for
- 3 the record.
- 4 So I'm clear, your objection to
- 5 this document is that it is privileged?
- 6 MR. NELSON: Let's hear what your
- 7 questions are first.
- 8 MR. JENSEN: Okay.
- 9 Are you withdrawing your objection?
- 10 MR. NELSON: No, my objection is
- 11 still in place.
- MR. JENSEN: And the basis for that
- 13 objection?
- 14 MR. NELSON: The basis is that it
- is a privileged document that may be
- subject to various agreements between
- 17 parties and third parties to this case.
- MR. JENSEN: Okay.
- 19 Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 20 A. I do.
- 21 O. What is it?
- 22 A. It is an e-mail thread regarding
- 23 my being contacted by Eli Lake seeking comment
- 24 on the article.
- Q. Will you turn to page three.

1 Disney

- 2 You might start looking at the
- 3 bottom of page two, it is the beginning of the
- 4 e-mail, the particular e-mail in the chain
- 5 that I'm going to ask you about. This is from
- 6 Phil Elwood dated Thursday, November 12th,
- 7 2009 to Patrick Disney, AP Pishevar, cc'g P.
- 8 Parsa and Trita Parsi.
- 9 Mr. Elwood writes, "Just spoke
- 10 with Trita, please send this comment to Eli as
- 11 you quote. If he calls, repeat the quote and
- 12 nothing more. Trita, please let me know if
- 13 I've missed anything." This is the quote.
- 14 "Mr. Lake, you are using an e-mail
- 15 from very early in my time at NIAC to
- 16 demonstrate that the organization is not
- 17 following the rules. I'm a 22-year old with
- 18 no legal education, but was asked to give an
- 19 opinion. After further clarification by legal
- 20 professionals, NIAC was found to be in full
- 21 compliance with the law. The practice of
- 22 using out of context and partial e-mails is
- 23 nothing but poor journalism, and it is the
- 24 reason Americans are losing faith in the
- 25 media."

- 1 Disney
- 2 He concludes by saying, "Tell him
- 3 if he doesn't use the full quote we will post
- 4 your e-mail correspondence everywhere."
- 5 Do you recall receiving that
- 6 instruction from Mr. Elwood?
- 7 A. I do.
- 8 Q. Did you follow that instruction?
- 9 A. I recall forwarding my quote which
- 10 was very similar to this to Mr. Lake following
- 11 this e-mail, yes.
- 12 Q. Were you instructed by Mr. Parsi
- or anyone at NIAC need to follow Mr. Elwood's
- 14 direction?
- MR. NELSON: That question has been
- asked and answered already.
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Did you discuss this quote with
- 19 anyone other than Mr. Elwood?
- 20 A. That people cc'd on this e-mail.
- Q. Outside the people cc'd on this
- 22 e-mail, did you discuss this quote with anyone
- 23 else?
- 24 A. I don't believe so.
- 25 Q. Okay.

- 1 Disney
- 2 MR. JENSEN: Take a short break.
- 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
- 4 7:31. We are going off the record.
- 5 (Whereupon, an off-the-record
- 6 discussion was held.)
- 7 MR. JENSEN: Mark this Defendant's
- 8 Exhibit 24.
- 9 (Defendant's Exhibit Number 24,
- 10 e-mail, marked for identification, as of
- 11 this date.)
- 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
- 7:48. We are back on the record.
- 14 Q. I'm handing you what we marked as
- 15 Exhibit 24.
- What is this document?
- 17 A. This appears to be an e-mail from
- 18 me to Trita cc'g Emily with the subject 501H
- 19 election info.
- Q. Do you recall what prompted this
- 21 e-mail?
- 22 A. Vaguely.
- This was my investigating the
- 24 option of NIAC pursuing what we call the 501H
- 25 election under IRS regulations.

- 1 Disney
- Q. What was your conclusion?
- 3 A. I concluded that we should make
- 4 the 501H election.
- 5 Q. What happened as a result of this
- 6 e-mail?
- 7 A. We filled out form 5768 and
- 8 submitted it to the IRS.
- 9 Q. When was that?
- 10 A. I don't recall, sometime after
- 11 this e-mail was sent.
- 12 Q. Do you remember approximately how
- long, a month, six months, a year?
- 14 A. It was not a year, sometime
- 15 thereafter, I don't recall specifically.
- 16 Q. Would that have occurred before
- 17 June 1st, 2009 to the best of your
- 18 recollection?
- 19 A. I have no recollection when it
- 20 could have occurred.
- Q. Who else did you talk to about
- 22 making the 501H election, if anyone?
- 23 A. I remember speaking on the phone
- 24 with a representative from this organization
- 25 listed here, Alliance For Justice, and they

1 Disney

- 2 had a seemingly good understanding of what the
- 3 H election entailed and I sought their advice
- 4 on it.
- 5 Q. Do you recall what Trita's
- 6 reaction was to your recommendation?
- 7 A. Not really, I don't specifically
- 8 recall what his immediate reaction was, I
- 9 don't know if that's because he didn't convey
- 10 it to me or what.
- 11 Q. Would that have been his decision
- 12 to make that election?
- 13 A. Not his alone, but he would have
- 14 had a significant role to play to make the
- 15 decision.
- 16 Q. Who else would have been involved
- 17 in making that decision?
- 18 A. I could only speculate I would, it
- is possible the board played some role but I
- 20 really couldn't say for sure.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- MR. JENSEN: We are done.
- MR. NELSON: He's going to read and
- 24 sign.
- 25 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: You want that on

		Page	246
1	Disney		
2	the record?		
3	MR. JENSEN: We are all done.		
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is		
5	7:52. This ends tape number three of the		
6	videotaped deposition of Patrick Disney.		
7	(Whereupon, an off-the-record		
8	discussion was held.)		
9			
10			
11	PATRICK DISNEY		
12			
13	Subscribed and sworn to before me		
14	this day of, 2010.		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Page 247 1 Disney CERTIFICATE 2 3 STATE OF NEW YORK 4 : ss. 5 COUNTY OF NEW YORK 6 7 I, Jeremy Frank, a Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby 8 9 certify: 10 That PATRICK DISNEY, the witness whose 11 deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly 12 sworn by me and that such deposition is a true 13 record of the testimony given by the witness. I further certify that I am not related 14 15 to any of the parties to this action by blood 16 or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. 17 18 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereby set my hand on the 1st day of November, 2010. 19 20 21 2.2 JEREMY FRANK, MPM 23 24

25

```
Page 248
1
                    Disney
2
    ----- I N D E X ------
3
                    EXAMINATION BY PAGE
    WITNESS
5
    MR. DISNEY
                    MR. JENSEN
                                          6
6
     ----- INFORMATION REQUESTS -----
8
   DIRECTIONS: Pages 217, 218
10
11
    ----- EXHIBITS ------
12
13
  Defendant's 1 1/23/09 Disney e-mail to
14
                    Martin
                                         35
15
   Defendant's 2 10/24/08 Disney e-mail
16
                   To Ong
                                         41
   Defendant's 3
                    12/26/08 e-mail Parsi to
17
18
                                         53
                    Group
   Defendant's 4
                    1/14/09 e-mail Disney to
19
20
                    New Iran Policy
21
                    Coordinating Committee 56
22
   Defendant's 5 11/12/08 CNAPI meeting
23
                                         83
                    Notes
24
25
             (Exhibits continued)
```

					Page	249
1			Disney			
2		Exhibits	s continued:			
3						
4	Defendant's	6	1/21/09 CNAPI meeting			
5			Notes	93		
6	Defendant's	7	2/18/09 CNAPI meeting			
7			Notes	98		
8	Defendant's	8	1/9/09 Disney e-mail t	50		
9			Group	102		
10	Defendant's	9	Advocacy in district			
11			Meetings notes	105		
12	Defendant's	10	1/29/09 e-mail chain			
13			Including Disney, Blout	=		
14			And NWWG	115		
15	Defendant's	11	Spreadsheet re Members	3		
16			Of Congress	119		
17	Defendant's	12	NIAC payroll summary			
18			2008	139		
19	Defendant's	13	2008 NIAC federal tax			
20			Return	139		
21	Defendant's	14	7/23/08 e-mail to Blou	ıt		
22			With Lobbying Disclosur	re		
23			Act Guidance	147		
24						
25		(Exhibit	ts continued)			

					Page	250
1			Disney			
2		Exihbits	s continued:			
3						
4	Defendant's	15	Disney Outlook calenda	ars		
5			Produced April 2010	150		
6	Defendant's	16	2/6/08 Shokravi e-mail	l		
7			To NIAC and memo	153		
8	Defendant's	17	8/1/08 Disney e-mail t	50		
9			Talebi with Alliance Fo	or		
10			Justice August 2008 web	0		
11			Workshops	172		
12	Defendant's	18	2009 timesheet for			
13			Disney	176		
14	Defendant's	19	3/25/09 Parsi e-mail t	50		
15			Group	214		
16	Defendant's	20	11/20/09 e-mail chain			
17			With Washington Times			
18			Editorial	215		
19	Defendant's	21	1/28/09 Iran Legislat:	ive		
20			Working Group notes	230		
21	Defendant's	22	11/13/09 Washington			
22			Times article	237		
23	Defendant's	23	11/12/09 e-mail chain			
24			Including Elwood, Disne	эÀ		
25			And Lake	239		

				Page	251
1		Disney			
2	Exhibi	ts continued:			
3					
4	Defendant's 24	10/8/08 e-mail to Par	rsi		
5		And Blout	243		
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

		Page 252
1	Disney	
2	*** ERRATA SHEET ***	
3	NAME OF CACE, DADCE HACCAN	
4	NAME OF CASE: PARSI v. HASSAN DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 29th, 2010	
5	NAME OF WITNESS: DISNEY PAGE LINE FROM TO	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19	PATRICK DISNEY	
20	Subscribed and sworn to before me	
21	this day of, 2010.	
22	JEREMY FRANK My Commission Expires:	
23		
24		
25		

		0-0110010		1
A	19:9 21:22,23	87:8,16 89:12,20	aftermath 171:14	110:9,12,12
Abdi 21:14 216:18	129:9 136:11	90:17 91:22,23	171:18	Americans 71:5
able 8:14 28:24	action 35:23	92:5,7 93:4 95:3,5	agenda 34:23,24,25	87:23 110:20
48:20 49:10 61:24	247:15	97:8,9 104:5	36:16,17,25 37:19	115:16 239:3
62:7,14 78:17,24	Actions 88:17	134:12,22 137:3,9	37:20,24 38:3,5,8	241:24
89:22 90:7 109:9	active 34:18	137:10,19 159:23	38:9,13,21,23,25	amici 215:10
132:11 148:16	actively 59:4	160:6 213:22	39:4,6,7,11,16,17	Amitay 33:22
183:13,24 191:6	activities 57:12,15	229:18 231:12	39:18 40:2 88:2	amount 107:5
220:7 228:17	59:5 61:11,16,18	adding 186:13	88:10 109:10	142:15 186:23
237:8	62:20,21 63:21,22	addition 24:7 26:22	agendas 34:10	analysis 156:5,10
abolish 87:11	64:4,5,5,6,8,8	27:12 69:4 186:21	agent 221:4 223:13	156:11,12,21,23
absent 181:25	65:10,11,15 68:8	196:17	223:15 224:11,15	157:19 158:19
absolutely 224:5,7	68:13,19,20,22	Additionally	agents 222:12	159:8 160:22
accept 30:12,20	71:23,25 72:3,4	112:15	agreeable 47:25	166:16
access 130:17	72:11,13 75:14	address 8:21 50:13	agreed 4:2,7,11	analyze 155:23
accessed 28:9	77:11,20,20 78:18	addressed 43:21	38:17 196:5,9	analyzing 22:12
accident 44:16	79:3,8,9 81:25	227:25	agreement 2:8	and/or 130:9 170:5
accompanying	82:9 86:22 87:3	addresses 226:20	12:17 49:5 99:10	announcement
114:21	87:14 88:14 89:6	227:15	120:12,14,21	173:21
account 50:17	90:16 93:2 94:23	adequate 114:23	215:14 218:6	answer 6:21 7:10
89:19 164:25	95:2 98:6 100:14	adjusted 143:21	221:13,18 222:21	7:11 8:18 10:19
191:7	100:16 101:15	administered	223:6,6,17,18,20	28:24 43:25 49:10
accountant 53:24	104:3,8 116:6	229:12	223:23 230:15,17	60:19 68:15 71:16
136:17	118:18 119:2,16	administration	230:20	78:24 80:6 89:7
accountants 132:21	119:20 120:24	85:25 86:12 88:19	agreements 222:23	90:6 98:4 101:10
accounted 138:24	122:3,3 123:13	94:12,13 95:24	240:16	104:15 118:23
accounting 133:5	125:4 126:5	102:20 116:4,5	ahead 8:20 18:5	122:12 136:7
136:3 138:16	128:12,19,23	administrative	49:21 146:4 171:8	148:17 149:17,21
accounts 102:18	130:15,16,21,24	48:4 62:20 64:6	172:6 228:13	159:12 161:7
accurate 17:17	130:25 131:15,25	80:7	230:11,12	163:17 165:21
23:24 38:16 39:9	133:5,12,18	Adrian 3:9 5:23	Ahmadinejad	168:6 171:17
47:6 95:18 104:24	135:18,23 136:2,5	advance 109:10	227:18,25	180:21 182:20
110:17,18 126:14	136:25 138:3	advertised 113:4	Alex 59:25 60:4,21	188:16 207:23
127:15 128:21	139:3,4 148:15	advice 47:10,20	Ali 154:10,11	209:3,3 217:11,19
141:4 161:12	152:12 153:8	56:12 75:11 99:16	Alliance 153:19	218:7,11 225:12
167:25 175:12	158:9,10 161:20	210:12 239:14	167:14 173:22	228:13 235:4
177:9,10,23 184:2	163:20 173:13	245:3	244:25 250:9	answered 28:21
184:15,17 185:23	176:21 177:4	advised 57:22	allotment 161:3	73:10 77:16 80:5
188:7	179:2,3,5 182:4,6	210:2	allowed 79:5 193:5	104:14 166:2
accurately 186:23	182:22,24 185:13	advisory 24:19	all-day 152:20	168:14 171:3,16
187:3	186:24 191:7	advocacy 68:11	amazing 181:25	173:4,15,17 174:9
Ackerman 233:24	213:6,7 231:12,17	70:23 71:18 72:3	186:5	189:20,25 203:15
acronym 76:15	232:23 233:3	152:6 249:10	amendments 101:5	242:16
act 80:11 147:24	236:22 238:8	advocating 71:13	101:6,16	answering 73:16
148:7 161:4,25	activity 65:18,24	Affairs 94:8	American 1:5 5:5	78:4 184:5
174:3 249:23	79:15,21,22 82:7	affect 70:16	16:16 23:8 32:15	answers 6:23 8:15
acting 15:13 19:6,8	86:10,16,19 87:7	Afshin 216:14,22	37:6 56:20 94:16	76:9
22.12.17.0,0				
	-		-	-

anticipation 222:13	228:20	aspirations 37:7	5:20,22 195:22,24	177:11,20 199:12
Antonio 13:9	arrive 79:18	Assembly 228:2	196:2 230:14	212:4 213:4
anybody 116:15	Arsalan 25:6 27:3	asset 109:7	author 98:20	215:17 243:13
Anyway 222:10	Arsalan's 27:20	assistance 47:4	107:20	background 13:7
AP 241:7	81:17	assistant 14:22	authored 195:4,22	bagels 135:24
appear 102:13	article 236:8	15:4,7,15,20,20	195:23	136:4
116:19 140:20	237:17,24 238:8	16:9 22:10	authori 234:13	balance 50:8
154:25 216:8	240:24 250:22	associate 20:3	authorize 147:16	Barmand 27:3
appears 36:17	articles 22:24 58:7	associated 22:9	automatically	based 78:4 86:5,13
37:10 41:19 54:4	artifacts 215:12	Associates 3:4 5:24	30:13	92:20 95:9 120:2
102:14 116:21	ASAP 216:22	6:3 12:7,11,20	availability 30:24	121:24,24 122:13
119:14 140:13,22	Aside 232:12	assume 191:9	available 30:4	122:19 130:4
214:12,25 216:9	asked 32:23 39:22	192:12	183:3 184:10,13	148:20 158:3
243:17	73:10,13 77:16	assumed 55:11	188:5 220:22	163:2,17,18
applies 224:14	80:5 126:25 127:6	assumes 187:5	Avenue 2:7 5:10	170:21 183:3
appointed 102:18	131:9 132:2,3	assumption 111:2	average 237:10	187:25 194:14
appointments	147:18 148:12,24	195:21	avoid 34:16 36:5	196:25 217:12,16
31:19,24	148:25 149:13,18	attached 148:5	avoiding 36:7	219:22 221:24
appreciate 108:21	150:11 153:6	attachment 141:21	awarded 54:19	basing 93:4
approach 190:3	166:2 171:3,5,16	150:9	aware 29:25 34:25	basis 58:10,18 72:6
approached 32:24	181:8 189:11,13	attempt 43:16	55:4 59:16 60:13	144:16 178:2,13
33:21,22 34:3	189:25 203:15	70:11 142:9,11	60:14,20 74:15,24	189:2 217:5,20
42:4 72:17 168:22	227:7 238:19	attempted 31:11	74:25 75:4 132:4	218:12,13 219:14
169:4	241:18 242:16	57:4 70:7,8	136:20,21 176:3,6	225:9 240:12,14
approaches 168:21	asking 6:19 12:12	attempts 31:4	191:13 201:18,24	bathroom 139:15
appropriate 196:25	14:18 35:2 37:24	75:17	204:11 223:8	bed 181:21 182:8
appropriation	39:14 47:4 68:2	attend 101:3,12	232:24	182:12 187:10,15
116:3	80:18 89:8,11	112:16 113:12	Aydaline 3:21 5:12	began 12:20 14:21
approximately	100:20 104:9	153:11 228:21	A-B-D-I 21:14	21:9 22:10 23:13
5:11 18:22 26:2	105:15 124:8	attended 67:14	A-M-I-T-A-Y	23:18 24:11 32:21
52:24 114:18	126:8,10,12,13,16		33:22	48:12 61:3 74:20
129:8 244:12	129:16 133:7	153:12	A.P 3:10	76:24 84:23
approximation	134:6,20 141:16	attendee 206:24		130:13 148:11,18
95:17	144:10,15,18,19	attendees 206:21	B	154:22 226:13
April 151:6,22	145:9 157:11	attending 112:5,23	B 146:12 229:11	beginning 5:16
250:5	161:9 168:10	152:19	Babak 23:19 24:6	13:19 74:18 85:24
area 78:5 115:16	170:18 174:13	attention 127:3,9	24:14 173:2	86:11 109:14
areas 43:12 235:9	183:17 186:15	127:14,17	bachelors 14:3	241:3
argue 222:14,15	187:21 193:12	attorney 12:6	bachelor's 13:8	begins 83:12
argument 224:8	204:4,6 217:7	215:2,7 236:5	back 8:21 15:14	172:15
Arms 33:10	218:17,18 219:5,9	attorneys 3:5,14	21:12,12 27:14	behalf 5:24 50:16
arose 237:5	219:13,15,17,20	208:24 210:11	28:25 61:19 62:6	55:3 71:13 116:7
arranged 33:16	219:25 225:18	220:18	62:13 70:11 83:18	195:11
76:16 228:3,6	235:24	August 174:2,3,4	140:4,16 141:21	behavior 193:14
arrangement 67:15	asks 122:10 142:7	175:18,20,21	144:3 145:16	belief 16:8 170:15
67:19	aspect 132:20	250:10	146:11 150:14	208:8
arrangements	aspects 28:22	Austin 2:6 3:13 5:9	156:3 160:4	believe 8:13 15:24
	-	-	•	•

	I	I	I	I
25:15 35:24 38:21	blood 247:15	117:4	205:15,19 206:17	57:12 58:19 60:12
38:23 45:3 56:23	Blout 20:21 130:20	built 79:11	206:24	60:15,15,21
60:5 63:25 65:12	145:2 148:6 159:3	bullet 89:12,15	calendars 30:17	catego 77:19
74:8 76:15 82:16	188:18 206:23	bunch 121:2	84:19 178:3	categori 76:7 79:22
93:22 98:21 112:9	249:13,21 251:5	Bush 94:4,11,13	179:21 190:5	93:3
124:22 130:17	Blout's 144:23	95:23 116:4,5	203:7,25 204:7	categories 62:18
144:24 152:22	blow 169:15,16,18	business 15:9	250:4	63:3,4 80:2 82:10
153:4,12 154:13	board 22:2 24:18	232:16	California 25:21	97:7 123:24
154:17 157:7	24:19 60:7 231:14	busy 30:24 47:11	26:9 154:19	130:15 197:2
158:7,8 159:21,23	245:19	butchered 227:18	call 102:16 109:23	198:2 200:10
162:9,18 163:4,5	body 88:21 143:10	buy 135:11	115:8,13 194:23	categorization
163:6 175:11,13	147:13	buying 137:19	199:23 243:24	52:25 72:7 78:17
190:25 191:4	book 166:21		called 6:6 15:4	80:25 82:8 92:5
206:2 207:21	boss 20:19	C	25:22 28:6,16	113:11 127:24
236:5 242:24	bottom 93:25 97:12	C 3:2 6:6 141:21,24	61:2 99:9 116:3	128:8,11 131:13
Berman 93:25 94:6	98:22 159:18	247:2,2	168:23 225:15	141:9
94:7,19 95:6,13	216:13 241:3	cab 133:22	calling 28:15	categorizations
95:15	bought 137:15	cabs 134:2,3	197:17	79:24
best 19:13 23:22	box 82:19	cafeteria 135:12	calls 17:23 92:14	categorize 52:18
39:23 44:18 48:12	brackets 156:11	137:8,16	107:14 162:15	75:14 79:8 80:18
62:18 163:24	brainstorming	CAIR 56:20 57:12	233:2 241:11	85:9 86:16 87:3
171:6 183:3	88:12 106:17	58:19	cally 99:15	87:16 88:24 89:13
184:12,18 186:6	231:16	calculated 147:6	Campaign 32:14	91:21 92:21 94:20
187:24 191:2	branches 16:14	177:20	37:6 56:21	96:8,14 99:24
193:15 198:7	branded 58:22	calculating 146:22	capable 86:20	101:6 103:24
207:16,24 232:21	break 7:16 82:22	calculation 178:24	109:22	113:8,14 118:19
244:17	139:15 172:7	217:12	capacities 60:7	120:23 121:9
better 43:15 110:20	243:2	calendar 29:19	capacity 154:14	127:22 128:19
118:10	breakfast 182:9	30:2,7,14,21,23	236:14	129:20 130:21
beyond 138:19	breaks 8:2	31:7,10,15 32:3,5	capital 107:5 109:9	135:18
163:21	brief 215:10 228:4	51:22 52:11,18,20	Capitol 96:23	categorized 72:2,3
biased 58:23	233:16	52:21 53:2 61:14	133:23	72:4 77:21 80:10
biggest 109:7	briefings 23:4	61:15 62:14 63:7	capture 84:11	90:18 95:4 97:4
bike 134:3 182:15	briefly 234:15	64:3,23,25 65:6	captured 6:24	101:14 104:3,8
bility 46:12	bring 169:22	83:16 84:3,5,7,15	Carah 33:9,13 41:8	123:21 124:3,20
bill 90:3 100:19	broad 161:15,16,18	85:3 104:6 132:12	44:8,11 55:14	135:22 137:4,5
101:3	161:20	150:18 151:6,10	Carah's 55:15	138:20 141:19
billing 183:21	broadcast 142:24	151:17,20,23,23	cards 15:9 236:23	categorizing 79:3
bills 65:22	143:2 147:11	152:23 153:11	care 132:21	125:9 133:24
bin 193:22	broader 69:5	174:23 178:7	cared 18:8	136:24 182:21
birth 13:3	185:18	179:22 184:11	caring 205:9	category 52:23
bit 23:11 61:19	brought 19:4 21:10	186:2,21 187:2	carrying 97:18,25	65:14,25 81:11,12
77:9 198:22	24:3,4,5 25:7 59:5	193:24 194:2,7	case 48:25 83:20	81:15,20 82:7,15
black 62:10 234:14	75:18 155:4,8	201:18,24 202:10	192:19 194:12,15	208:10
blockade 233:10	231:18 235:2	202:12,14,17,20	215:11 240:17	cation 139:13
234:14	Brown 215:2,7	203:3,5,19,23	252:3	caused 45:3
blog 22:23	budget 116:24,25	204:2,5,14,16,24	CASMII 56:21	cautious 118:12
L	-	-	-	•

	I	I	I	I
cc 216:16	changing 15:3	208:7 240:4	63:21 64:7,24	colors 61:20 62:11
cc'd 209:10 217:24	18:24 20:5	clearer 49:18	65:2,7,8,9,10,15	63:4,6,20 64:15
242:20,21	characterization	clearly 6:18 19:5	65:15,16,19 66:14	65:13 82:18 85:9
cc'g 241:7 243:18	16:25 37:12 38:15	135:18 182:20	67:5,9 88:8 90:24	color-blind 61:22
cementing 110:7	41:22 108:8 141:4	clerk 150:9 162:22	93:20 95:11 96:3	61:25
Center 33:10 54:11	characterize 39:21	162:24 163:12	96:6,24 98:18	color-coded 124:23
54:15 76:14	86:4,5,24 156:19	clever 135:14	99:21,22 101:24	124:24 127:11,18
168:23	185:21 235:18	clicked 30:12,20	135:22 136:2	color-coding 83:15
centered 136:24	charge 46:15,17	client 183:22	coalitions 173:25	84:12,18,22 97:2
137:9	226:4,6	Clinton 103:10	coalition's 50:2	117:25 118:4
central 28:18,18	Charles 13:4	CLIPI 76:14	57:11	203:13
111:12	check 54:18	168:23 169:8	Coast 25:22,23	Columbia 1:3 5:8
certain 12:9,14	checks 55:2	close 127:14 144:22	27:2 154:23	column 51:16,17
30:25 32:2,7	Chicago 215:11	145:10 146:15	code 52:19	121:6 146:12
52:22 65:9 71:23	chief 17:9 21:25	closely 36:3	codes 86:14	206:22
76:24 79:15 101:5	choice 27:6 49:22	CNAPI 32:10,11	coding 86:6	columns 52:2,4,5,6
101:16 118:25	circulating 94:12	32:13,14 33:14	coffee 135:12,24	52:9 63:20 64:14
121:14 125:14	circumstances 90:8	34:11 37:11,21	136:4 137:7,16,18	65:13
129:3 135:11	90:10,12,16	40:9,18,21 41:9	137:19,23,25	combat 49:24
153:21 154:18	224:13	46:12,16,17,17	cofounders 60:6	come 47:24 76:16
175:21 178:13	civic 110:12	47:5 50:12,22	cognizant 126:4	77:23 132:24
182:19 194:19	civil 7:24 22:14	52:15 55:15 61:21	cohesive 125:14,17	181:8
201:12 208:3	69:10	62:15 63:15,23	236:20	comes 140:25
209:24	clarification	64:12,17,18,20	coincided 48:19	comfort 18:15
certainly 138:7	241:19	90:19,21,23,24	190:5	78:16 183:25
151:15	clarified 238:22	91:4,6 205:18,20	collaborate 236:24	comfortable 18:13
certainty 30:3	clarify 8:20 11:19	228:25 229:6	collaborated 237:7	28:14 79:2 138:6
150:7	55:17 196:10	231:4 236:19,20	collaborative 42:23	188:7
certification 4:5	230:14 231:6	236:22 248:22	colleagues 15:2	coming 29:5 32:20
certify 247:9,14	class 228:3	249:4,6	16:12 19:20 42:6	33:4 44:25 47:2
chain 115:23	classification 92:24	CNAPI's 38:7,13	44:3 45:21,22	66:12 88:13
172:22 215:21	94:17 213:6	39:17 40:13	47:3,7,9 72:25	154:12 167:20
239:20 241:4	classifications	coalition 32:16,19	79:13,14 116:23	commenced 14:14
249:12 250:16,23	87:14 131:13	32:23,25 33:3,9	148:25 157:4	comment 240:23
Chair 94:7	classified 62:21	34:2,13,18 35:4	160:23	241:10
Chairman 95:13	89:20 101:17	37:16 38:17 40:8	collect 83:24	Commission
95:15	116:12 133:13	40:9,20,21 41:21	collecting 84:7	252:22
challenging 186:7	137:11 164:18	43:10,11,11,14	collection 200:15	committee 94:8
change 14:25 15:11	182:5	45:4 46:20 47:5	200:17	100:19 101:3,12
15:11 16:5,19,20	clawback 215:4,14	47:16 48:10,13,16	colloquial 117:18	248:21
16:25 17:4,12,16	216:3,25 218:6	48:19 49:4,22	118:8	committees 105:6
17:18 18:6,13	221:12,18 222:21	50:7,16,21 51:9	colloquially 118:15	common 32:17
19:2,18 31:9	clawbacks 222:23	51:14,15,16 52:4	color 52:19,25	communicate 18:3
116:5 160:11	clear 8:14 17:17	54:20 55:12 56:10	62:15,16 63:10	105:19
changed 15:5,10	31:8 50:24 57:3	56:16,25 57:5,8,9	64:15,17 65:16	communicated
19:23 20:3 32:3	104:16 113:6	57:15,19,21 58:13	66:2 78:17 82:20	18:15 19:15
changes 193:20	149:22 160:25	58:20 59:5,6	86:5,14 96:16	105:12,16 192:3,4

	İ	I	I	İ
192:9 193:8	compound 184:4	28:23	95:11	107:25 112:9
199:10 208:3	comprehensive	confirm 120:6	consider 48:2 77:3	238:25 241:22
209:16,21,24	148:17 149:24	confirma 103:7	77:14 97:17,25	contingent 104:5
239:7,9	166:4	confirmation	100:21 105:15	continue 152:13
communicating	comprehensively	102:24 103:14	182:23	181:24
69:9 167:3	196:23	104:11	consideration	continued 158:11
communication	computer 26:16,17	confirms 159:13	220:19	159:14 160:20
34:20 139:5,6	26:19,23 28:18,19	conflict 32:19	considered 19:9	166:17,18,25
communications	29:2,13 193:20	34:16 50:6,9	207:2	167:2,3,13 169:9
23:20 24:19,20	199:22 202:20	54:22 55:3	consistency 112:17	171:22 198:25
159:17 208:5	computers 26:21	conflicts 34:24 35:3	112:18	248:25 249:2,25
220:17	27:16,17,18 28:3	49:6	consistent 113:3	250:2 251:2
community 16:17	28:5,9	confused 52:8	125:21	continuing 122:9
16:18 22:13 23:20	conception 77:18	Congress 16:15	constantly 208:17	contravention 38:2
27:4 48:3 62:20	118:11 208:7	23:2 36:18 68:9	210:2	contributed 169:23
64:5 69:3,8,12,15	concern 56:15	68:23 69:9,13,22	constituencies	contributing 57:20
70:4,16,18 80:7	57:18 69:7 165:24	70:4,14,20 71:4,8	110:7	57:25 59:4
81:8,12 110:9,12	concerned 17:21	77:10 86:21 87:22	constitute 123:16	contribution
110:24 111:14,15	18:11 65:5	90:2 91:2,9 92:3	constituted 118:22	165:22
111:16	concerns 43:5,8,16	92:12,19 97:14	constitutes 122:12	control 33:10 62:4
company 133:14	43:19,21 44:5	99:7 100:11	constructive	62:4
compile 176:22	57:13,21,22 166:3	101:11,15 105:7,9	231:25	controlled 232:16
complaints 19:19	concluded 244:3	105:17 110:9	consult 72:22 79:10	232:19,20
complete 8:15 78:9	concludes 242:2	111:22 113:18,23	124:18 166:11	controversial 58:3
78:10 108:22	conclusion 122:14	114:3,22 115:6	182:22	58:5
109:11,13 111:19	156:15,16 157:6,9	119:15 120:19	consulta 128:14	controversy 58:19
190:13 238:10,10	157:12 162:16,17	121:5,10,18	consultants 24:19	conversa 41:25
completed 142:5	163:2,8,11 244:2	122:21,23,25	consultation	225:4
187:24	conclusions 156:24	123:10,15 124:7	128:13 171:21	conversation 18:24
completely 228:7	conducted 168:8	124:17 178:19,21	239:10	42:4,6,7,11 47:24
complex 71:21	conference 102:16	178:24 179:12	consultations 128:4	65:12 94:22,24
87:15 168:6,7,11	115:7,13	231:4,20,22	consulted 73:4,18	95:9,10 103:19
168:12 170:10	conferences 23:5	233:20 249:16	73:24 75:20	114:23 130:20
238:20	confidence 53:6	congressional	168:15 188:4	131:4 158:15
complexities	79:6 132:14 189:3	65:22 104:6	213:18 220:13	167:5 168:2
181:19	confident 98:5	109:22 111:21	consulting 74:12	189:15,22 192:11
compliance 167:11	131:18 138:17	112:6,11 116:3	74:16,21 75:2	194:8
238:24 241:21	172:5 182:2	Congressman	contact 81:2,3,5	conversations 9:25
complicated 78:7	184:15,16 188:23	233:24	82:2 143:8 147:12	18:23 41:11,19
158:5,15 162:4	237:14	connected 28:3,6	216:22 226:2	44:12,13 112:25
165:23 176:24	confidential 230:21	28:19 30:7,17	228:24 229:5,8	128:15 130:23
184:9 209:22,23	confidentiality	154:12 216:10	232:3,10	131:6 155:19
234:19	223:18,20,22	connecting 29:9,12	contacted 12:4	158:9,13,17
complying 156:25	230:15,17,20	connection 11:24	240:23	159:14 160:20
168:12	confidently 135:21	60:20 67:23 68:3	contain 230:21	167:19 170:7,24
component 57:5	220:10	137:5	context 37:2,14	170:25 171:20
111:12 115:18	configuration	consensus 43:2	38:14 106:16	224:14,18
	-		-	-

		Ì	Ì	Ì
converted 203:9,10	Council 1:5 5:6	217:16 218:19	136:10,21 175:7	53:17 55:24 56:2
204:25	56:20	219:22	189:21,22 190:3	83:6,8 85:19
convey 31:24 70:7	counsel 4:3 5:15,19	critics 58:6,16,18	190:14,15,17	93:11,13 98:9,11
70:8,20 245:9	9:12,13 47:20	cross 173:8	192:21 201:15	102:2,6 105:25
conveyed 42:5 75:8	120:4 146:20	cup 137:15,18,19	206:22 216:17	115:20,22 119:8
75:12	151:22 168:14	cups 137:7,23	David's 132:6	119:10 139:9,11
conveying 160:13	170:14 187:6	current 88:21	Davis 120:16	139:22,24 147:21
convincing 16:4	188:3 195:7,9,11	228:3	day 24:21,21 30:25	147:23 150:17
Conyers 99:14	195:13 205:5,12	currently 13:10	51:4,4 65:4 70:16	153:24,25 172:19
120:16	208:5 210:3 216:5	54:10	76:25 78:8 85:6	172:21 176:10,12
cooperation 34:21	218:24 224:21	cut 54:18 233:21	102:17 132:6	213:25 214:3
216:23	239:22	CV 1:7	152:11 178:10	215:19,20 230:2,4
coordinate 135:25	counselors 204:23	C-L-I-P-I 76:15	180:10 181:11	237:23 239:18,19
231:12	count 24:13 25:5		183:11,20 184:8	243:7,9 248:13,15
coordinated 33:2	180:14	D	246:14 247:19	248:17,19,22
46:20	counted 179:6	D 6:6 142:13 144:4	252:20	249:4,6,8,10,12
coordinating 46:22	country 22:18	145:3 147:2,6	days 31:25 203:24	249:15,17,19,21
50:6 58:21 67:5	COUNTY 247:5	248:2	204:3,8 229:13	250:4,6,8,12,14
248:21	couple 11:11 31:25	Daioleslam 1:8 5:6	DC 3:16 107:2	250:16,19,21,23
coordination 66:19	159:15 197:14	data 176:22 226:17	dealing 16:14,16	251:4
coordinator 33:25	198:20,24	226:18	81:18,19 87:16	deferred 17:13
41:9 48:13	course 105:5	database 226:2,2	95:20	define 7:5 81:9
copy 106:6 145:23	208:22	date 13:3 35:12	dealings 18:7 95:12	181:14
195:15 206:7	court 1:2 4:15 5:7	41:4 53:19 56:4	dealt 16:12 65:21	definition 77:12,22
238:7	5:13 6:4,16	83:10 93:15 95:2	December 84:20	77:24 78:7 80:11
corner 28:25	218:23 224:19	98:13 102:4 106:3	143:20 177:15,17	81:2,24,25 82:2
200:16 227:6	cousins 119:4	115:24 117:21	177:20 186:2	92:10 118:6,11
correct 18:19 21:4	cover 150:20	119:12 134:5	188:14 201:20,20	141:12 157:2
21:20 22:7 24:11	152:11	139:13 140:2	202:2,3 203:19	159:24 160:3,13
40:22 45:16 50:18	covered 115:11	148:2 150:19,24	decided 93:25	161:21 162:12,18
54:6 55:7,20,21	221:5	152:8 154:3	94:18 95:6	164:19 187:9,19
63:5,16,17,18	Cowl 17:6 24:4	172:23 176:14	decision 17:22	definitions 72:11
129:11,13 138:4	74:6 216:17	177:6 214:5	47:18 54:17 56:24	121:13
175:2,4 179:13,15	coworkers 46:24	215:22 230:6	57:22 59:9,13,15	definitive 92:4 93:3
190:15 197:5	195:10 239:10	237:25 239:21	59:19,22 79:19,20	93:6 156:15 157:6
199:6 200:20,22	create 148:8	243:11 252:4	95:2,3 245:11,15	160:18,19 163:17
201:16 210:19	created 81:16	dated 154:6 241:6	245:17	165:20 166:4
211:12,24 212:8	199:21	dates 128:20	decisions 47:19	definitively 221:6
223:13	creatively 91:17	173:24 175:5	defeats 217:25	degree 13:8,13 14:3
correctly 37:22	criteria 90:17	daunting 183:23	222:9	delayed 117:4
76:13	124:2,5,12,13,19	David 20:2,4,7,9	defendant 1:9 3:14	delegation 229:11
correspondence	141:8 178:25	21:10,13 24:4	5:19,22 83:23	229:14,23 231:3,5
242:4	182:24 194:15,17	25:10 72:25 79:16	84:8 194:11	delegations 231:20
cosponsor 100:12	194:23 195:2	116:23 127:21,23	201:19 202:2	delete 193:17 204:2
123:5,11 124:8	196:2,14,17 199:7	128:4,9,18,23	210:18	deleted 194:5 204:7
cost 112:14 138:10	209:18 211:2,7	129:12 130:23	Defendant's 35:8	delist 56:25 59:10
costs 133:15	213:16,19 214:19	131:6 132:2,4	35:10 40:25 41:2	demands 107:3
			•	•

	I		I	I
democracy 110:14	desktop 26:15,22	77:19 78:12,16	directed 227:16	166:9 206:25
115:25 116:2,8,9	26:23 27:13,18	111:20 125:13,14	direction 242:14	208:9 221:10
116:14 117:14	28:5	125:17 134:19	DIRECTIONS	222:10 224:6,10
118:17 119:2,4	desktops 26:20	196:2	248:9	225:3 230:23
234:16,18 235:8	despite 44:21 59:3	developing 78:14	directive 19:14	231:10 242:18,22
demonstrate	destroy 191:19	85:5 97:18,25	directives 194:2,6	discussed 10:22
238:16 241:16	192:15,24 193:5,6	99:11 125:6	directly 18:17	11:2 40:8 42:12
demonstrates	193:18	development	21:18 22:19 55:5	47:9 128:22
202:11	destroyed 192:24	141:17 175:22	114:14 130:6	155:13
Dennis 102:18	destroying 192:20	devices 29:2	director 14:22 15:3	discussing 8:18
denote 64:18 65:14	detail 178:9	devil 235:21	15:4,7,13,15,20	14:25 18:17 37:7
department 129:19	details 33:15 45:12	devote 109:20	15:21 16:9,10	54:8 83:20 96:5
129:20 235:24	87:18 92:6,23	159:21 162:9	19:6,8,10 21:15	103:17 128:18
236:6	99:17 100:15	devoted 63:11,12	21:22,24 22:11	166:18 195:6
depend 79:4 93:7	113:11 115:3	63:15 67:20	23:21,21 24:20	discussion 42:23
depended 31:12	123:19 131:18	DI 217:9,17 218:9	25:24 35:24	43:2 46:6 83:5
234:20	181:12 182:25	differ 37:20	129:10 136:12	88:13 139:21
Depending 100:15	205:7 235:11,22	differed 39:6	directors 24:18	158:6 165:22
depends 65:18 97:8	determi 208:13	difference 49:20	disagree 49:13	169:23 172:13
113:15 121:12	determination	80:14,21 165:5	disagreements	232:7 243:6 246:8
124:10 181:3	157:18 183:2	differences 49:3,18	44:21 45:2,5,10	discussions 20:5,6
237:13	184:13 199:17	49:19 66:5 126:5	49:19	20:9,10 59:11
deposed 6:14	200:3 209:11,19	different 52:2,3,9	disapproval 19:21	65:21 99:13
deposition 1:14 2:5	209:23 210:23	54:24 58:12,24	disclosure 80:11	127:20 156:17
4:5,12 5:3,8 9:5	211:12 212:24	61:20 67:8 70:23	147:24 148:7	157:5 167:4 168:3
9:11,23 10:3,9,18	213:8,21,23 217:6	75:14 79:8 80:2	161:3,25 165:12	171:22 209:25
10:24 11:7 83:2	217:13 218:20	93:8,20 94:16	174:3 249:22	212:15
83:13 172:10,16	220:11,20 228:12	106:17 115:2	discoverable	Disney 1:14 2:5 5:4
175:7 234:24	determinations	125:3 128:22	210:22,25 219:4	6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1
235:6 246:6	208:18,21	131:2,2,5 158:25	219:12 220:9	10:1 11:1 12:1
247:11,12 252:4	determine 78:18	168:20 173:24	discovery 83:22,25	13:1,4 14:1 15:1
depositions 7:25	79:7 110:22	181:7 188:12	84:25 195:3	16:1 17:1 18:1
describe 13:18	123:20 167:10	213:14 235:9	196:20,21 197:4	19:1 20:1 21:1
97:24 99:23	177:3 178:12	difficult 50:7 53:11	197:20,20 198:2,8	22:1 23:1 24:1
100:13	186:23 200:9	74:13 84:25 92:25	198:9 199:20,23	25:1 26:1 27:1
described 30:15	201:2 212:19	138:20 161:19	204:19 205:11,14	28:1 29:1 30:1
84:12 86:14 89:12	218:15 219:3,11	183:20 184:7,7	206:19 207:3	31:1 32:1 33:1
91:25 108:17	219:23	198:15 227:3	208:2,17,23	34:1 35:1 36:1
170:9	determined 65:25	237:2	210:10 212:15	37:1 38:1 39:1
describing 36:17	66:2 137:2 178:19	dinners 133:20	213:9,16,17,18	40:1 41:1 42:1
37:10	209:2,7 210:14,16	135:10	220:13,16	43:1 44:1 45:1
description 89:5	211:6	diplomacy 69:24	discrimination	46:1 47:1 48:1
152:10 206:25	determining 89:19	70:2	22:15 49:24 50:5	49:1 50:1 51:1
233:17	develop 51:7 57:4	diplomatic 94:16	69:10	52:1 53:1 54:1
desire 17:12 19:17	105:10	94:17	discuss 10:13,17	55:1 56:1 57:1
160:11	developed 51:3,6	direct 50:9 143:8	11:6 79:13 90:24	58:1 59:1 60:1
desk 184:21	51:20 52:17 77:17	147:11	123:3 155:13	61:1 62:1 63:1
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

64:1 65:1 66:1	203:1 204:1 205:1	107:16,18,21,25	213:5,8,15,20,20	
67:1 68:1 69:1	206:1 207:1 208:1	108:25 111:11	doing 21:2,3 34:4	E 3:2,2 6:6 144:4
70:1 71:1 72:1	209:1,8 210:1	112:21 116:17,19	64:4 65:24 70:6	147:2,6 247:2,2
73:1 74:1 75:1	211:1 212:1 213:1	117:9 120:2	78:21 82:17 84:11	248:2
76:1 77:1 78:1	214:1 215:1 216:1	121:25 122:5,11	89:14,16 91:6	earlier 45:24 138:8
79:1 80:1 81:1	216:15,17 217:1	140:9,12,17,20	110:19 113:21,22	140:24 174:24
82:1 83:1,2,13	218:1 219:1 220:1	145:15 147:4	114:4 121:19	early 238:15
84:1 85:1 86:1	221:1 222:1 223:1	148:4,6,9,20	129:4 132:15	241:15
87:1 88:1 89:1	224:1 225:1 226:1	150:6,21 151:8	133:17 135:10	easier 6:22
90:1 91:1 92:1	227:1 228:1 229:1	154:4,25 155:6,10	155:23 177:24	East 3:7
93:1 94:1 95:1	230:1 231:1 232:1	155:18,21 156:13	178:2 185:2,12	easy 184:19,24
96:1 97:1 98:1	233:1 234:1 235:1	156:14 157:3,5,7	186:6 189:19	eating 182:8
99:1 100:1 101:1	236:1 237:1 238:1	157:25 158:2,6,8	190:9 196:21	economics 13:17
102:1 103:1 104:1	238:14 239:1	158:21 160:5	201:9 208:12,16	edit 204:2
105:1 106:1 107:1	240:1 241:1,7	169:23 172:24	211:19,20 212:19	edited 204:7
108:1 109:1 110:1	242:1 243:1 244:1	174:7,11,18	DOJ 216:22	Editorial 250:18
111:1 112:1 113:1	245:1 246:1,6,11	176:15,18,23	doubtful 114:15	educated 69:21
114:1 115:1 116:1	247:1,10 248:1,5	185:11 186:20,21	Dr 5:25	educating 68:9
117:1 118:1 119:1	248:13,15,19	195:4,16,19	draft 88:4,5 90:19	education 69:4
120:1 121:1 122:1	249:1,8,13 250:1	197:10,23 198:6	90:25 91:7,10,25	71:18 72:2 238:19
123:1 124:1 125:1	250:4,8,13,24	199:14,18,25	92:18 93:21	241:18
126:1 127:1 128:1	251:1 252:1,4,19	200:3 209:12,13	105:20 106:20	educational 13:6
129:1 130:1 131:1	disposal 7:22	209:17 210:6,7,16	156:5 239:8	23:7 68:7,20,21
132:1 133:1 134:1	distinct 213:7,11	210:17,21 211:10	drafted 76:6 91:4	69:16
135:1 136:1 137:1	distinction 62:25	211:13,15 212:25	91:15 156:4 160:4	effect 4:14
138:1 139:1 140:1	134:15 165:8	213:4 214:6,11,16	178:12 239:11	effective 51:21
141:1 142:1 143:1	distinctly 148:23	214:22 215:15	drafting 89:24	109:21
144:1 145:1 146:1	distinguish 51:9	216:6 217:4,14,16	91:17 92:11	effectively 107:6,11
147:1 148:1 149:1	62:11 64:7 68:6	217:22 218:16	104:18 107:8,15	107:24
150:1 151:1 152:1	71:10	219:2,11,19,24	108:12,13 112:20	effects 48:3
153:1 154:1 155:1	district 1:2,3 5:7,8	220:4,6,12,25	draw 165:8 182:7	effort 184:18
156:1 157:1 158:1	249:10	231:7 238:4,6	drawn 125:5	efforts 46:20,22
159:1 160:1 161:1	districts 109:23	240:5,15,19	dress 115:10	59:3 72:21 96:15
162:1 163:1 164:1	114:9	243:16	drink 8:8	99:24 100:4,18
165:1 166:1 167:1	divided 176:20	documents 9:4	drive 28:7,11,16	101:7 118:16
168:1 169:1 170:1	178:8 201:10	73:16 79:10 83:25	200:14,17,25	123:9 124:16
171:1 172:1,10,17	division 201:10	84:24 106:9	201:4 204:21,21	eight 8:9 25:14,15
173:1 174:1 175:1	divvying 201:3,7	158:24 159:15	205:3	25:16 45:24 63:8
176:1 177:1 178:1	document 35:14	170:24 171:19	duly 6:7 247:11	79:25
179:1 180:1 181:1	39:10,22,24 41:5	191:19 192:20,23	dump 200:18	either 26:22 52:21
182:1 183:1 184:1	41:7,14 53:20	193:5,17 194:11	duties 22:8,11 23:6	109:14 124:8
185:1 186:1 187:1	56:5,7 76:4 85:20	194:14,19,20,25	79:6	139:5 145:22
188:1 189:1 190:1	88:4,5,6,7 93:16	196:14 197:8,24	dynamically 31:8	146:23 175:13
191:1 192:1 193:1	93:18,21 95:9	199:3,24 200:8,18	32:2	189:10 190:3
194:1 195:1 196:1	98:14,16,17,20	200:25 203:14	D.C 26:13 72:18	192:4,7 204:20
197:1 198:1 199:1	102:7,11,12	204:20 205:11	154:20	221:4
200:1 201:1 202:1	106:12,14,20	206:8 208:13,15		election 142:7

174:2 243:19,25	34:8 45:25 54:24	151:20,23 174:23	6:9 248:4	230:2,4 237:22,23
244:4,22 245:3,12	154:15 217:13	193:24 194:2,7	examined 6:8	239:18,19,24
electoral 152:12	222:4	201:19,25 202:10	200:2	243:8,9,15
electricity 138:11	employee 11:21	203:19,23 204:2	example 31:6 46:8	Exhibits 248:11,25
electronic 130:14	50:4 55:19 101:22	204:24	49:20,23 51:13	249:2,25 251:2
130:16 139:5	218:3 227:20	entry 52:15 87:25	62:23 64:4,23	Exibbits 250:2
206:8	236:14	151:23,24 152:3	69:7 71:6 79:16	exist 39:22 186:14
electronically	employees 23:14	186:2 188:11	91:4 175:23	existence 49:2
107:19	24:23 25:2,3	206:18	178:11,18 179:8	197:17
Eli 237:18 238:8	26:19 42:19,21,25	enunciate 6:18	199:13 213:16	exists 96:25 157:7
240:23 241:10	76:5 227:15	envoy 102:19	examples 137:23	expansive 159:20
Elliott 20:2 21:11	employing 52:25	equals 114:9	exceed 162:11	160:9
24:4 72:25 117:8	127:24	equivalent 234:2	165:12	expect 10:24
127:21 175:7	employment 13:21	ERRATA 252:2	exceeded 163:21	expenditure 133:2
190:14,17 206:22	14:8,10 22:5	erroneous 238:22	169:24	133:21 134:11,17
216:17	185:5	escaped 202:18	exceeding 161:2	134:23 135:6,17
Elliott's 190:16	empowered 147:16	especially 42:3	exceedingly 161:15	138:25
else's 19:22 30:23	empowering	ESQ 3:9,10,11,17	161:18	expenditures
Elwood 216:15,16	111:16	3:18	exceeds 162:21	132:18 133:8,9,14
218:2 223:11	encapsulated 235:8	essentially 61:5	Excel 203:6 205:2	133:19 136:3,6,15
230:16,16 239:15	encourage 101:2	160:10	exceptions 135:11	136:19,23 138:2,7
239:25 241:6,9	123:5	establish 110:5	excess 161:23	138:14,18,19
242:6,19 250:24	endorsing 94:3,19	225:8	exchanges 231:19	140:25 141:3
Elwood's 223:23	ends 82:25 172:9	established 218:22	Excuse 224:21,25	146:22 147:17
242:13	246:5	establishing 109:20	execute 114:19	expense 133:6
embassy 94:15,17	engage 68:18,19	estimation 237:3	executive 35:24	137:25
emerged 37:5 43:2	97:9	evening 9:20,22	exempt 142:5	experience 13:19
95:11	engaged 68:13	10:2 12:22	exhaustively	71:24 137:24
Emily 20:21 21:10	71:25 75:15 77:15	event 44:25 52:21	124:15	expert 74:3 78:5
21:11,18 22:19	77:21 124:15	52:22 62:15 65:24	exhibit 35:8,10	161:6
23:18 24:14	181:6 199:11	96:6,19,22 153:4	40:25 41:2 53:16	expertise 128:3
116:23 117:7	engaging 77:6	153:10	53:17 55:24 56:2	218:15,18
130:20,24 131:5	148:14 153:7	events 23:4 52:18	83:7,8 85:19	experts 72:18,22
131:10 132:9	160:8 173:12	52:19 64:23 181:9	93:11,13 98:9,11	73:4,13,18,21
136:10 144:23	enrolled 13:10	eventually 19:2	102:2,6 105:23,25	74:12,16,21 75:2
145:2 148:6,12	ensure 111:7	213:3 217:15	115:20,22 116:16	75:12 76:8 166:11
149:7 154:15	112:17,24 114:22	everybody 192:13	119:8,10 139:10	168:16 212:17
155:14,16,17	entail 12:15 42:2	everyday 104:5	139:11,23,24	Expires 252:22
158:7 159:21	entailed 245:3	everyone's 28:19	140:15 143:16	explain 30:9
162:9 164:5,8,18	enter 178:20	29:23	144:4 145:5	191:16
167:15 188:18	entered 20:12	evolved 160:23	146:12 147:22,23	explicitly 33:11
	entire 51:7 84:23	exact 15:19	150:17,22 151:3	explore 102:23
190:4 201:14				100
190:4 201:14 206:23 243:18	151:7	exactly 25:24 81:20	153:24,25 172:19	103:6
190:4 201:14 206:23 243:18 emotions 45:3	151:7 entitled 109:15,18	146:13,15,17	172:21 174:20	express 44:5 70:3
190:4 201:14 206:23 243:18 emotions 45:3 emphasis 66:18	151:7 entitled 109:15,18 entries 32:5 53:2	146:13,15,17 176:4 198:10,21	172:21 174:20 176:10,12 206:17	express 44:5 70:3 expressed 19:21
190:4 201:14 206:23 243:18 emotions 45:3 emphasis 66:18 employ 33:25	151:7 entitled 109:15,18 entries 32:5 53:2 64:19 83:16 84:3	146:13,15,17 176:4 198:10,21 208:9	172:21 174:20 176:10,12 206:17 213:25 214:3	express 44:5 70:3 expressed 19:21 43:15 81:5 160:15
190:4 201:14 206:23 243:18 emotions 45:3 emphasis 66:18	151:7 entitled 109:15,18 entries 32:5 53:2	146:13,15,17 176:4 198:10,21	172:21 174:20 176:10,12 206:17	express 44:5 70:3 expressed 19:21
190:4 201:14 206:23 243:18 emotions 45:3 emphasis 66:18 employ 33:25	151:7 entitled 109:15,18 entries 32:5 53:2 64:19 83:16 84:3	146:13,15,17 176:4 198:10,21 208:9	172:21 174:20 176:10,12 206:17 213:25 214:3	express 44:5 70:3 expressed 19:21 43:15 81:5 160:15

			I	I
expressing 81:3	242:4,11,20,22	194:25 208:9	filed 142:6	199:2 217:21
99:7 113:7 120:20	243:10,17,21	falling 161:20	files 9:8 184:12	225:20 229:10
233:20	244:6,11 248:13	falsified 204:4,6	193:18 194:14	231:6 238:10
extends 224:11	248:15,17,19	familiar 28:21	197:8 198:13	240:7
extensive 41:10,18	249:8,12,21 250:6	32:11 36:3 181:10	200:4 206:6,10	fit 77:12
41:25 44:12	250:8,14,16,23	225:14 235:23	filing 4:4 142:8	fits 152:7
extensively 22:16	251:4	familiarity 131:3	fill 189:9,11,13	five 25:14 38:7
159:14 171:22	e-mailed 120:25	family 70:10	filled 186:9,16,19	145:17 165:12
extent 10:20 15:9	121:7 122:24	far 29:25 34:25	188:10,13,24	170:4
18:2,25 23:15	123:22 216:5	92:13 93:9 109:13	190:20 244:7	flag 195:2
60:9 72:17	e-mailing 121:9,17	121:4 124:6	filling 183:5,7	fleshed 224:24
extraordi 158:4	121:22 122:20,22	133:12 140:24	190:11	flip 15:23 85:22
extraordinarily	123:14	141:12 227:10	final 156:4,6,12,20	96:2 108:16
78:7 87:15	e-mails 32:6 50:16	fare 133:22 134:5	156:21,24 157:6,8	112:13 141:20
extraordinary	154:2 159:16	farther 238:2	157:12,18,22	143:15 144:3,23
71:21	167:4 172:3 178:8	father 12:6	158:14 159:4	145:3,11 146:11
extrapolate 138:15	178:8 184:11	February 154:6,24	finances 165:2	147:20 151:8
extreme 181:21	186:22 187:2	179:18,22 180:4	financial 133:4	174:20 179:21
eye 173:7	193:17 194:13,24	180:12 184:20	find 143:16 159:21	206:16 216:12
e-mail 29:17 30:18	196:24 208:23	186:2 188:11,11	162:8 166:9,18,21	229:9 238:9
30:19 31:17,18,23	210:8,12 232:22	federal 7:24 116:24	179:22 183:23	Flipping 156:3
35:10,18 36:9	238:25 241:22	116:24 139:25	226:25	floor 133:6
37:5,22 40:6 41:3		140:22 174:3	finding 168:21	Florida 22:16
41:8 50:12 53:18	F	249:19	fine 60:22 165:13	focus 50:10 113:2
54:4 56:3,8 59:18	F 247:2	feedback 141:16	170:16	135:6
59:21 60:25 66:11	faced 49:22 128:8	feel 7:18 18:12 39:5	finer 75:17	focused 22:11
67:13 102:3,14	facilitate 34:20	54:22 127:13	finish 219:7 224:22	136:24 139:4
115:23 116:21	facilitated 232:4	148:21 165:14	225:2	folder 200:18
117:2,13,22 118:8	facilitating 229:23	185:14 230:10	firm 5:20 11:20,23	201:12 212:25
121:13,15,15	fact 64:16 96:24	fell 46:23 81:16,23	11:25 74:4 164:21	folders 196:22
123:19,25 124:7	127:11 151:10	82:7,14 197:25	167:22 169:4	197:12 201:13
124:11,17 147:24	221:24	200:9	223:13,23	folks 200:19 212:6
148:5 150:20	factor 182:17	fellowship 33:24	firms 73:3,6	follow 242:8,13
153:16 155:15	factors 89:18	felt 39:8 43:14 44:3	first 10:23 14:7	followed 112:25
167:13 171:23	234:21	58:25 149:2	18:24 19:4,7	114:22
172:3,22,25	fair 16:25 24:22,24	160:25 163:10	20:15,18 23:13	following 93:19
173:19 178:11	37:12,12 41:22,24	fence 185:15,17	24:11,21 33:12	94:24 98:18 100:6
192:5,7 196:25	46:16,19 52:12,13	fied 220:9	36:14,14 40:19	100:9 157:25
197:16,19 208:24	78:20 108:7	fielded 232:22	42:4 51:3 54:12	166:24 236:8
209:9 211:6,6,8	111:10 117:2,5	figure 177:12 178:4	57:3 76:25 84:24	238:17 241:17
214:4,12,25 215:6	122:16 123:4	183:15,17 184:19	85:8 93:23 103:5	242:10
215:21 216:4,9,14	150:3 162:14	197:8	106:24 120:25	follows 6:8
216:20,24 227:10	215:8 235:17	file 28:8 30:19	121:6 122:2 132:6	follow-up 114:19
227:11,13,15	faith 239:3 241:24	192:22,25 193:19	151:24,25 152:4	force 4:13 225:15
238:14,17,21	fall 48:11 61:17	196:25 197:18	156:17 159:19	225:17,19 226:6,7
239:7,8,11,20	81:16 158:10	198:14 199:22	169:22 177:12	226:9,11,14,18
240:22 241:4,4,14	159:23 162:18	211:8	179:19 184:20	foreign 94:8 142:9
2 10.22 2 11. 1, 1,17		211.0	1/2.12 101.20	10101911711011217
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

forget 215:23	252:22	gained 118:10	247:13	go-between 204:22
forgive 48:8 227:8	free 7:18 152:6	Garcia 3:21 5:12	gives 117:13	grab 140:15
form 4:8 71:14	frequent 237:3	gathered 72:9	giving 6:20 18:4	graduate 44:17
78:22 86:8 90:4	frequently 27:5	160:20	go 6:12 8:20,20	227:21 232:13
101:8 104:20	70:12 73:10,13	gathering 72:14,16	18:5 71:2,7 85:6	grant 33:24 54:11
142:6 170:12	150:11 153:6	96:23	86:2,13 93:9	54:19 61:4,16
174:12 244:7	213:17 226:22,23		114:20 115:12	63:13 64:9 135:25
formal 14:18 15:8	237:8	gears 32:9 60:25 general 10:16	133:23 140:16	
39:25 57:5 67:10		23:13 35:6 49:4	146:4 171:8 172:6	grants 64:2
67:19 156:5	Friday 1:16 Friedman 87:21	97:9 104:12,13	186:12 194:24	granular 178:9
205:22 231:13		· ·		grasp 78:9,10 178:9
	88:17 89:9,14,15 91:4	108:2,8 113:10 121:19 134:9	195:7 201:5 203:25 228:13	
formally 12:15				great 8:7
14:21 15:13 48:12	Friedman's 89:6	228:2 236:5	230:11,12 231:5	green 18:5
130:13 226:6	friend 148:23	generalization	goals 34:10 36:2,18	gross 143:21,22
format 151:12	front 65:7 140:5	165:4	37:11,21 40:8,14	170:22
203:9,10 formats 156:18	145:16 214:19 full 8:3 13:2 54:12	generalize 92:9,25 114:25 170:20	48:24 97:13,19	ground 6:12 10:25 57:6
		209:15 235:10	98:2,6	
former 11:21	145:19 152:11		goes 187:12	group 58:23 59:8
Fort 13:23	216:22 238:24	generally 10:17,21	going 49:21 50:23	66:10 67:8,12,24
forth 70:11 83:18	241:20 242:3	11:5 20:10 65:19	84:2,4 89:25	68:4 90:22 130:4
199:12 247:11	fully 54:10 112:8	69:21 111:6 134:2	101:4 105:23	248:18 249:9
forum 231:9	full-time 13:21	139:4 141:15,18	115:5 122:9	250:15,20
forward 43:3 86:2	24:23 25:2 26:18	204:18 206:9	134:11 139:8,19	groups 43:10 56:15
86:13 117:7	45:25	226:19 235:18	145:20 146:3	56:19 59:2 105:13
forwarded 116:22	function 29:19 30:2	generate 156:23	151:7 160:4	167:14 231:17
211:15	30:6,7	getting 14:2 72:6	179:23 181:9,16	guess 8:4 46:14
forwarding 173:20	fund 54:18 55:10	75:11 81:13 94:3	197:15 201:13,14	49:17 53:13 67:2
174:8 242:9	55:14 115:25	99:24 108:3	201:15 220:23	102:17 119:24
Fougere 3:18 5:21	116:2,8,9,14	127:10,12 133:15	230:2,8 239:22	120:6 126:19
5:21	117:14 118:17	137:22 181:21	241:5 243:4	169:13 191:25
found 153:8 179:25	119:2,5 234:16,17	182:8,11 187:10	245:23	220:20
227:3 238:23	234:18,22 235:8	187:15 212:4	good 36:16 113:5	guidance 147:25
241:20	funded 33:18,20	give 8:14 13:2 69:6	198:22 225:21	239:14 249:23
foundation 60:18	54:10 66:4,7	89:25 106:6	245:2	guidelines 152:15
86:18 87:6 93:5	funding 55:4	145:23 148:16	gotten 65:17	H
159:11 180:20	further 4:7,11 63:2	174:16 215:17	govern 72:13	$\frac{11}{\text{H}}$ 245:3
187:7 201:22	149:22 156:13	233:16 238:19	governing 148:13	
218:25 221:2,7	166:15 213:2	241:18	149:3	half 168:4,19 hall 7:17
224:4 225:9	241:19 247:14	given 10:23 16:11	government 16:14	hand 35:7 55:23
founded 33:5,7,12	future 95:2	26:19 27:10 33:25	23:8 69:25 81:7	119:7 139:8
founding 34:14,15	fuzzy 31:21 102:10	61:10 64:10	107:7,13 108:4,6	
58:20	134:25 137:22	114:13 135:2,25	110:21 111:5,14	147:21 200:8
four 25:13 88:9	F-something 62:4	147:15 171:6	117:19 147:13	247:19
102:21 103:3	F4 62:4	178:10 186:25	160:7,11 231:22	handed 19:14
145:12 146:3,6	G	194:15 197:6,23	232:4,11	151:2 211:9
Frank 1:22 2:8		198:4,5 220:2	governmental	handful 66:20
5:14 247:7,22	G 3:17 147:2,6	235:10 239:14	143:9	67:13 100:10

		1	 [1
handing 115:19	64:24 66:14,16	162:22,25 163:12	111:18	145:14
153:23 172:18	83:5 105:7 139:21	housed 25:17 28:11	implemented	incurred 134:23
176:9 229:25	172:13 243:6	213:14	114:11	indicate 134:21
243:14	246:8	Howard 94:7	implications 193:9	144:15
handle 49:7,16	help 79:12 174:6	huge 107:4	important 6:17,22	indicated 122:11
82:6	175:16	hunch 27:20	17:16 68:5,10	159:3 193:13
handled 204:18	helped 128:7	119:23	109:25 110:16,23	indicates 107:20
handwritten	helpful 105:13,20	hypothetical 87:8	111:5 136:14	117:3
184:11	199:15	90:6 101:9 186:14	149:2,5	individual 126:15
handwrote 206:9	helps 175:19	188:15 219:13,16	imports 233:21	130:2,5 160:6
handy 140:16	hereinbefore	219:18,21	impossible 48:17	165:6 201:5
haphazardly	247:11	Hypothetically	183:20	208:24,25 209:19
118:13	hereto 4:4	86:25	impractically	231:9
happen 37:17 75:6	hesitant 93:3	т	161:16	individually 12:2
205:8	hesitate 7:2 53:7	I	impression 18:10	206:3,3
happened 15:11	90:14 126:19	idea 19:4,21 42:5	18:12 108:25	individuals 24:10
16:19 211:4 237:7	191:25 214:19	45:7 94:12 95:23	128:24 131:7,10	43:13,17 73:17
244:5	high 13:22	118:2 185:25	improve 43:17	76:11 90:22 91:3
happening 168:2	highlighted 37:3	195:5 231:19	inaccurate 16:11	95:12 121:3
harboring 160:10	highly 109:21	identi 220:8	108:10 163:4	163:22,24 208:16
hard 16:3 49:24	Hill 23:5 96:3,23	identifi 139:12	175:12	221:3,25 226:20
84:21 159:21	133:23 134:3,4,12	identification	inadvertently	231:8
162:9 206:7	135:4 137:2 180:5	35:11 41:3 53:18	215:16	influence 86:22
hardworking	181:2,6,8 182:15	56:3 83:9 93:12	inappropriate	87:3 95:16 109:23
106:25	Hillary 228:15	93:14 98:12 102:3	199:13 217:11	110:15 117:19
Hassan 1:8 5:6	hinting 181:19	105:24 106:2	incidence 99:9	142:9,11
83:21 252:3	hired 21:13	115:23 119:11	180:5	info 243:19
hate 233:5	historical 110:11	139:10 140:2	incident 99:18	inform 69:14,17
hazard 53:13	historically 17:14	147:25 150:16,18	incidents 99:2	70:14
HConRes 120:4,9	231:21	154:2 172:20,22	120:12,14,21	informal 90:21
120:10 121:10,18	hold 191:14	176:11,13 214:2,4	include 22:14	information 72:10
121:23 122:23	home 31:10	215:21 230:5	58:23 109:14	72:16 73:8,12
123:2,23 124:9	honest 8:14 88:3	237:24 239:20	119:3 162:21	75:22,23,25 76:2
233:6,13	honestly 27:25 53:3	243:10	178:15,22 180:16	88:21 99:17
head 6:24 90:15	hop 182:14	identifying 19:8	182:8 200:2	121:25 150:6
101:13 124:14	hope 160:7	II 3:9	included 23:4	153:8 160:21
hear 59:21 135:19	hoping 8:2	II-B 142:2	30:19 43:13	166:10,19,22
240:6	Hormoz 24:2	imagine 46:4	121:15 123:19	168:22 183:3
hearing 101:3,12	host 96:15	immediate 16:12	124:11 179:10	184:10,14 188:4
103:22 104:21	hour 179:9	20:20,22 171:13	182:17 208:5	204:5 226:2,19
128:6 236:5	hours 8:2,3,9 11:11	171:18 245:8	includes 173:23	230:21 248:7
hearings 102:24	11:12 114:18	Immediately 14:2	179:3	informed 69:3 91:5
103:8,14 104:11	115:14 179:18	immigration 69:11	including 8:2 24:7	99:21
104:11,13 105:5,7	183:10	impact 59:6	24:11 57:12	informing 68:25
105:11	house 75:23 94:2,8	impetus 99:19	142:10 186:22	141:17 167:2
heating 133:16	99:6 120:17 137:8	implement 78:17	249:13 250:24	infrequently 91:19
held 2:5 5:9 14:16	137:16 150:9	implementation	incomplete 108:25	104:4
			_	
	•	•	•	•

			Ì .	
initial 158:3 199:4	intern 154:13	146:21 147:3	issue 72:24 73:2,11	104:13 105:22
initially 17:15	158:21 170:8,10	180:24 181:13	75:20 76:7 105:7	109:2,12 115:19
21:17 84:19 196:9	170:20	194:10 202:9,12	110:23 128:6	119:7 122:16
198:4	international 13:11	222:13 237:6	148:22 162:4	139:8,17,22
input 130:15	13:17	245:16	168:6,6,7 169:19	144:12,18 145:18
211:17	internet 29:13 73:9	involvement 33:20	170:10 172:4	145:23 147:20
inputted 226:17	169:11	56:22	178:14 184:9	150:15 151:18
insofar 65:5 133:17	interns 24:8 169:10	involving 119:2	209:23 220:24	153:23 157:15
179:4	226:11	215:11	234:18 235:7	171:9 172:6,18
install 29:22	interpret 161:19	in-person 111:21	issued 55:2 227:14	174:13 176:9
instance 92:8	interpretation	111:24 112:6	227:15	180:12 186:15
135:20 170:19	71:22 79:4,12	139:5	issues 22:12,15,20	187:8,16,21 196:8
211:15	158:4 160:5,10	Iran 32:15,17,19	23:9 34:6 47:10	213:24 215:5,8,13
instances 75:5	161:13 163:3,19	34:17,22 36:7	50:4 66:18 68:10	217:2,20,23
105:2,3 114:7	166:4	37:7 56:23 66:9	68:24,25 69:2,4,7	218:12,17 219:5
126:21,25 170:19	interpretations	67:7,11 70:5,10	69:19,23 70:15	219:14,20 220:5
instigator 16:24	72:19	70:12,13 71:6,9	109:25 110:16	221:10,17,22
Institute 33:23	interpreted 38:4	85:25 86:12 87:12	111:5 157:17	222:3,9,15,19
66:6	39:3 234:13	88:22 94:14	181:3 194:20	223:2,4,8,11,14
instituted 130:14	interpreting 78:14	102:19 116:6	237:5	223:19,24 224:5
instruct 7:11	158:25	120:22 181:9	italicized 37:4,9	224:18,21,25
136:13 202:21	introduce 5:15	227:19 228:25	38:6	225:13 229:25
218:10 239:12	90:3	229:6,8,11,22	item 102:21 103:2	230:13,23 237:21
instructed 242:12	introduced 64:15	231:3,5 232:23	144:4 145:3	239:17 240:2,8,12
instructing 191:18	88:22 91:16 99:6	233:2,22 234:14	229:11 231:2	240:18 243:2,7
217:18 225:11	99:16 100:9	248:20 250:19	items 37:15 38:16	245:22 246:3
instruction 191:21	116:25 120:16	Iranian 1:5 5:5	146:23,25	248:5
212:4 242:6,8	Introducing 85:23	69:24 71:4 99:9		Jeremy 1:22 2:8
instructions 185:10	86:10	110:8,11,19	J	5:14 247:7,22
193:3 195:12	introduction 86:23	115:15 231:23	J 146:12 236:11,13	252:22
intended 213:22	87:4,10 100:6,9	232:3,4,11,15,19	236:21,25 237:11	Jill 101:19
intent 90:2 104:19	117:3	235:19	237:15	job 1:23 6:21 14:18
123:15	investigating	Iranians 22:17	jack 29:13	14:21 67:4
intention 96:21	243:23	Iranian-American	Jamal 21:14 22:2,3	John 120:16
123:2	investigation	16:17 22:13 69:2	25:10 74:9 216:18	joined 14:9 34:13
intentions 71:23	171:21 216:21	69:8,12 70:4	January 21:10	Josh 3:18 5:21
interact 236:13	235:25 236:7	110:6,23 111:7,14	36:10 117:23	journalism 239:2
interactions 236:16	invitation 30:12	Iranian-America	132:7 143:20	241:23
interactions 250.10	Invite 231:3	23:9 49:25 69:13	177:13 179:8	judge 213:19
32:17 76:15 94:9	inviting 231:5,19	69:18,23 70:9	JDB 1:7	judgment 66:21,22
95:22 112:23	involved 32:22,22	110:13 111:3	Jeff 120:16	89:23 93:7 160:18
168:24 231:21	43:10 44:11,14	Iran-related 68:24	Jefferson 3:6,7	160:19
interested 62:17	46:9,21 47:17	68:25	Jensen 3:17 5:18	July 14:12 22:6
115:16 193:13	59:4,9 66:17	IRS 75:22 81:24	5:19 6:10 35:7	150:25 152:9
231:11 247:17	67:11 68:9 72:21	82:3 134:7,16	40:24 53:15 55:23	155:5,7,8,14,19
interests 70:13	72:23 73:2 81:12	243:25 244:8	82:21 83:6 91:24	158:12 169:15
94:3,16	81:18 92:24	Islamic 56:21	93:10 98:8 101:25	175:23
71.5,10	01.10 /2.2T	-Similie 50.21		113.23
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Ì
June 244:17	131:20,23 132:22	238:8 239:13	24:2 25:3,12 27:7	22:11 36:18,25
Justice 153:19	133:11 134:10	240:23 241:14	44:17 108:24	37:11,21,24 38:5
167:14 173:22	137:17 143:4,12	242:10 250:25	left-hand 121:3,4	38:8,13 39:3,11
235:24 236:7	147:5 148:11,25	laptop 26:21 27:3,5	leg 206:19	39:16,17,18 40:2
244:25 250:10	149:10,11,14	27:10,11,15,20,21	legal 62:22 72:12	40:14 60:23 61:5
J-A-M-A-L 21:14	151:4 152:16,17	Lara 87:21 88:17	72:18,22 73:4,12	62:24 63:11,13
	152:25 154:16	89:5,8,14,15 91:4	73:18,21 74:3,12	65:20 66:9,18
<u>K</u>	164:5,22 166:17	large 16:11,15 24:7	74:16,21 75:2,10	67:8,11,24 68:3,4
K 3:15 6:6	166:20 171:20	66:6,14 92:23	75:11 77:12,18,22	68:6,12,17 71:3
keep 140:15 168:17	173:4,14,16 177:7	181:6 225:22	77:24 78:7 87:13	71:12,18 80:9,15
173:7	181:17 183:18	larger 70:18	93:6 118:11	80:22,23,24 93:24
keeping 131:19,21	186:5 187:11	lation 95:21	122:14 128:3	97:6,13,18 98:2
143:15 191:9	188:20,21 189:18	lative 97:7	131:3 141:7,11	107:2 120:11
keeps 171:9	190:20,23 192:13	law 5:20 11:19,23	148:13 158:5,10	124:2,4,6,20
kept 131:24 166:21	194:22 195:10,18	11:25 22:16 149:6	158:25 160:13,17	134:7 142:12
206:6	195:20,22 197:25	167:22 168:12	161:14,18 162:16	143:10 147:13
Kevin 17:5,9,13	198:21 200:24	169:4 238:24	163:7,11,19	250:19
21:24 24:4 25:6	212:23 218:2	241:21	166:11 181:20,25	legislators 142:14
35:18,19,21 37:23	223:14,24 224:12	lawmakers 96:7	182:3 184:24	143:9 147:10,12
38:22 39:22 74:6	225:4 226:13,15	110:6 112:16	187:19 191:16	LegWatch 61:2
74:21 75:2 76:3	228:17 231:20	laws 148:24 149:3	193:9 205:12	64:8 66:3
76:16,23,24	232:6 236:3,4,11	149:8 157:14	208:5 210:3,4	letter 94:2,4,19
129:23 130:9,17	241:12 245:9	lawsuit 198:25	212:17 217:5	letters 22:20,21
132:20 185:8	knowing 89:22	228:8	220:18 221:4	let's 14:5 32:9
189:11 216:17	92:6 128:20	lawyer 148:16	222:2 238:18,20	40:24 55:23 60:23
Kevin's 17:7 76:25	131:17 165:15	168:10 207:19	238:23 241:18,19	71:5 82:21 87:10
key 109:22	181:12 193:9	209:9,10 212:2	legalistic 168:7	98:8 101:25
kind 27:17 49:8	205:7	lawyers 12:5	legally 89:20	108:16 111:18
114:16 226:18	knowledge 39:23	167:22 171:2	116:12	120:24 135:6
knew 45:9 164:25	44:18 59:17 60:10	207:14,15,22	legis 95:20	143:17 144:23
165:19 170:2	78:5 163:25 164:9	210:5,9 211:11	legislation 69:19	146:4 147:20
192:17	164:13 189:6	212:7	70:15,24 81:4,7	151:24 159:18
know 7:16 15:10	232:21	LDA 80:10,15,22	82:5 85:24 86:11	172:6 174:20
18:2 20:6,8 27:21	knowledgeable	80:23 81:4,25	86:23 87:4,11,17	179:17,21 182:10
29:3 30:16 31:11	28:13 132:19	97:6 134:7,16	88:18,23 89:25	196:10 206:16
32:2 33:11,15	known 35:22 45:12	163:23 165:8,17	90:20,25 91:5,8	240:6
34:3,7 35:18,23	knows 136:18	165:25 167:11	91:11,16,18 92:2	level 49:2,4 162:21
41:10 44:15 45:10	Kyl 216:21 235:23	170:3	92:11,19 95:17,25	181:20 183:25
55:16 59:25 60:8	Kyl's 233:23 234:2	leader 33:8,12	99:5,12,25 100:2	Leverett 228:15
60:11 66:3 69:13	236:6	leadership 32:25	100:8,12 119:20	levers 107:6,12,24
71:11 72:23,24		33:21 34:19	120:15 142:10	108:6
73:3,22 74:6,20		231:13	181:16 233:15,18	liaising 229:22
85:10 87:18 98:23	labeled 81:20	leading 41:20	233:23 234:9,11	liaison 110:8
103:13 106:22	labor 201:11	leave 27:8 54:21	234:12	Lichtman 150:12
114:10 117:24	lack 86:17 93:5	182:14 225:7	legislative 14:22	life 110:12
119:18,19,23 127:10 131:12,14	laid 211:2	left 14:12,13 21:11	15:3,4,5,19,20	light 18:5 133:16
127.10 151:12,14	Lake 237:18,18	21:18,21,23 22:5	16:9,9,10 21:6	lighten 47:12

	<u></u>	.=. =	l	
liked 37:16	lobbying 62:22,24	176:5,20 177:4,13	looks 36:9 96:5	55:15 226:10
limit 161:23,24	62:24 64:4 65:20	177:21 178:5,20	142:25 151:9	managers 24:6
169:25	68:13,18 71:19,19	178:24 179:2,5	152:14 183:11	managing 46:12
limited 163:18	72:5 76:14 77:7	181:22 182:5,24	206:22 216:2,15	47:5 48:16 55:12
224:13 227:14	77:13,15,18,22,25	183:18 184:20,25	220:3	66:25
limitless 160:14	78:19 79:21 80:9	185:12,20 186:4	losing 239:3 241:24	Mann 228:15
line 144:3,4 145:3	80:9,10,11,15,23	186:24 188:8	lot 6:21 22:19	map 115:10
146:12 152:13	80:24,25 81:2,4,5	189:6 190:24	61:11 85:5 87:18	March 206:16
182:7 231:2 252:5	81:22,23,24,25	191:7,9 212:17	89:21 97:4 104:7	207:4,5 229:11
lines 232:8	82:2 88:25 89:9	213:6,22 249:22	118:5 161:19	mark 35:8 40:25
list 23:16 36:16	89:10,14,20 90:9	lobbyist 77:4	175:5,25 181:7	53:16 55:24 65:10
37:5,9,15,15 38:2	90:11,13,18 92:13	159:24 161:2,11	193:9 205:15	82:13 83:6 93:11
38:4,6,7,13,14,16	92:21 94:21 95:4	162:13,19,25	211:20,22 234:20	98:9 100:19
39:2,14,15,16	97:6,7,19 98:2	163:13,22 165:7	loud 36:14 128:5	101:25 106:4,7
40:7,13 56:25	100:14,16,22	170:2,3	louder 111:4	119:8 134:6 136:3
67:10,13 88:10	101:14,18 113:9	lobbyists 164:19	lunch 135:24 136:4	139:9,22 147:21
120:24 124:11,15	113:19 116:12	lobster 133:20	L-E-V-E-R-E-T-T	150:15 172:19
124:18 150:8	118:6,7,12,17,19	135:10	228:16	176:10 213:25
170:3 183:10	118:22,25 119:5	local 142:10		237:22 239:18
197:6,7	120:24 121:11,13	located 25:18 26:12	<u>M</u>	243:7
listed 24:10 56:15	121:16 122:12,20	26:13	Mac 27:20,24	marked 35:11 41:3
57:10 59:2 119:21	123:16 124:3,4,6	location 28:7 134:5	machine 29:10,10	51:14 53:18 56:3
144:12 145:8	124:20 125:4,10	long 11:10 12:7,9	29:23	65:19 82:10 83:9
162:12 179:18	126:9,16,18,23	12:13 13:24 14:23	machines 29:8,11	85:18 93:14 98:12
221:25 231:8	127:5 129:2,15,24	16:4 18:22 25:24	200:15,17 227:6	101:4 102:3,5
244:25	130:24 131:21	72:9 125:5 178:11	Macs 27:17	106:2 115:20,23
listing 31:19	132:5,18 133:2,2	181:23 198:17,18	Maeda 53:25	119:11 139:12,25
lists 143:10,19	133:8,13,17,20,24	244:13	Mahmoud 227:17	142:15 147:25
listserv 66:11 67:2	134:7,9,12,13,15	look 9:7 30:23	mailing 138:23	150:18 151:3
116:22	134:17,24 135:9	35:13 36:10 44:19	mailings 138:22	153:24 154:2
listservs 102:15	135:18,23 136:6	56:13 62:6,14	139:2 141:2,3,8	172:22 176:13
literally 197:15	136:16,19,22	93:23 97:12 98:22	141:10,15 142:14	214:4 215:18,21
199:21	137:3,6,11,18,21	102:21 108:18	147:9	230:2,5 237:24
litigation 191:13	138:3,7,12,19,23	109:18 116:16	maintained 25:20	239:20 243:10,14
222:13	139:3,4 140:25	136:13 142:13	61:17	marking 105:23
little 23:11 31:21	141:3,7,11,19	146:2 151:19	major 22:12	marriage 247:16
32:9 49:17 52:8	143:3 146:22	159:18 170:9	majors 13:16	Martin 35:18,19,21
61:19 67:18 77:9	147:24 148:7,15	173:23 174:7	makeup 43:9	37:23 248:14
102:10 133:12	149:4,8,18 152:12	179:17 180:4	making 14:25 17:3	masters 13:10
134:25 137:22	153:7 155:3,4,19	209:8 216:2 217:7	18:13 21:15 69:3	match 182:25
207:13 227:5	157:2,13 158:11	229:10 231:2	92:24 208:13,18	matched 197:19
238:2	159:20,22 160:2,3	looked 178:3,7	211:12 213:8,21	math 146:19
LLP 2:6 3:13	160:8,12 161:3,7	199:16 205:12	217:13 220:11,19	mathematically
loaning 160:9	161:14,25 162:10	looking 143:24	244:22 245:17	144:20 145:9
lobby 77:10 116:7	164:21 165:11	150:21 180:11	manage 48:9	146:13,17
116:13 117:14,16	168:24 173:9,13	185:25 188:2	manager 23:24	matter 5:4 11:11
117:17 136:5	174:3,4 175:10	203:12 241:2	25:11 46:9 54:3	18:16 20:7,10

26:7 50:9 71:22	66:14,16 68:23	momborg 22:21 25	29:20 30:2 52:19	month 19:3 64:24
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	members 22:21,25 37:16 38:17 56:9	62:2	
83:18,19 128:4	74:2,5 80:25 83:9			65:4 66:15 198:19
142:12 158:16	88:8 93:14,19,20	57:8 58:13 67:7,9	mid 188:13	237:10,13 244:13
169:22 170:21	95:11 98:12,17,18	68:9,23 69:9,12	middle 37:3 44:20	monthly 33:2 51:13
189:24 238:20	99:21 100:7	69:22 70:3,10,14	mid-December	65:3 237:4
247:17	112:23 113:3,16	70:18,20 71:8	183:6,16 184:21	months 25:20 26:6
matters 11:2 12:24	113:16,18,23	75:8,12 77:10	185:3 189:10	26:7 54:12 88:13
16:13 47:10 56:12	114:17 115:2,7,9	90:25 91:2,8 92:3	mid-2009 74:10	166:15,24 167:8
70:19 128:22	115:12,17 116:11	99:21 100:11	169:2	175:9 237:14,15
208:3	116:13 123:2	105:9,17 109:22	Mike 33:22 34:3,7	244:13
Mayer 215:2,7	136:25 137:3,5,19	110:9 111:7,22	41:20 42:4,6,8,24	morning 187:10
MD 3:8	137:20 164:22	112:7,12 113:13	44:12 45:18,19	motivate 110:13
mean 26:16 47:8	169:8 178:18,20	113:17,23,24	47:3,14,22,23	motivated 109:21
63:4 68:21 69:6	178:23 180:5,15	114:2 119:15	Mike's 42:22 43:4	motivation 108:13
75:5 91:13 95:7	180:17,23 181:13	121:5,10,17	military 34:16	move 196:24 211:7
107:11 112:19,22	181:18 182:4,7,9	122:20,23,25	56:22 99:8,9	222:11
125:16 152:24	182:16 183:2,12	123:10,14,22	mind 41:13 111:25	moved 205:3
166:14 187:7	186:18 205:18	141:15 142:14	181:25	MPM 1:22 247:22
191:17 209:6	206:19 207:7,9,13	147:9 179:12	mine 59:13 77:21	multiple 82:13
210:24 211:22	207:16,21,22,25	210:2 236:23	148:24	
212:23 213:10,13	208:8 227:22	249:15	minors 13:15	
213:15	228:18 229:23	membership 57:5	minute 51:5 102:8	N 3:2 6:6 248:2
meaning 212:13	231:7,8,15 232:12	81:18,19 109:8	Miriam 214:13	Nahzi 53:22 54:17
means 30:16	248:22 249:4,6	225:25 236:21	mischarac 40:15	name 5:12,18 13:2
117:18 171:25	meetings 33:2	Member's 104:19	mischaracterizat	14:25 25:6 74:3
227:11	51:14,15 64:24	memo 73:5 155:11	170:13,22	87:25 120:2
meant 49:18	65:15,17,20 66:13	156:4,5,24 159:4	missed 241:13	143:16 153:17
mechanism 82:12	66:20,21 67:14	159:4,7,19 166:7	mission 34:12,19	169:5 227:18
125:9,12,15,17,18	71:24 111:21,24	166:24 167:8,9,21	35:3,4 36:2,4 50:2	252:3,4
126:6 135:16,21	112:6,10,16	170:11 171:14	111:13	named 214:13
136:11,23 137:8	113:13 114:2,9,10	250:7	mistaken 163:7	names 73:3,3
137:14 175:24	114:11,16 120:5	memorandum	misunderstand	119:15 121:2
mechanisms	133:23 135:22,24	194:21	64:21	226:20
202:16	136:5 179:11	memory 186:5	misunderstood	narily 158:5
media 239:3	181:2,6 182:21	202:18 233:5	38:10 64:11	narrow 185:19
241:25	187:4,12 205:16	memos 159:16	model 27:21 43:18	nation 208:14
medications 8:11	205:20,22,25	167:4 170:23	47:15	national 1:5 5:5
meet 9:10,19 10:8	249:11	mentioned 138:8	modified 201:20	142:10
11:10 71:7 100:25	meld 82:18	169:10 199:9	202:2	nationwide 109:7
113:17 114:21	member 71:4 86:20	met 9:21 60:3 82:2	modify 194:4	nature 10:16 21:3
115:5 164:20	87:22 90:2 92:12	90:17 124:12,19	203:18	92:6 128:5,12
178:21 211:2,7	92:19 101:2,11,15	209:18 227:17	Moghtader 24:5	130:22 135:2
228:4 231:4,20	104:19,20 113:18	metadata 107:18	216:18	147:17
meeting 11:7 30:11	114:2,21,22 115:5	120:3	moment 203:3	naval 233:9 234:14
30:13,18 37:6,25	115:5,6 124:7,17	Michelle 24:5 25:6	money 132:25	nearly 47:20
40:9,9,20 46:3,9	178:19,21,23	81:17 216:18	monitoring 132:4	112:16 143:4
47:15 65:2,7,8	236:19	Microsoft 29:15,16	132:10,18	201:8
		,		
	'	•	'	•

			Ì	I
necessarily 36:15	171:16 174:11	NGO 102:15	227:15,21 228:22	Nonpro 152:6
37:19 38:20,25	180:10,13,19	NGOs 22:22 33:2	228:25 229:5,6	nonprofits 153:7
39:20	184:4,22 186:11	NIAC 5:24 11:21	230:17 231:4	174:2,4,5
necessary 16:5	187:5,13,18,23	11:22 12:10 13:20	232:23 233:3,14	nonproliferation
64:7 66:23 134:14	189:25 196:4	13:22 14:6,9,11	234:5,10,22 235:3	33:11
need 7:15 8:3 39:8	197:3 201:22	14:17 20:8,18	235:18,25 236:7	Notary 2:8 4:13 6:7
50:24 87:17 88:20	203:15 207:4,11	21:8 22:6 23:13	236:14 238:15,23	247:7
89:18 90:17	207:18,23 210:20	24:21,25 32:20,21	241:15,20 242:13	note 52:21 61:12
121:14 123:18	212:9 215:25	33:5,25 34:8 35:4	243:24 249:17,19	64:19 78:3 80:4
136:14 146:2	217:5,10,18,21	40:4,5 42:7,18,19	250:7	122:9 134:4 161:5
148:21 166:11	218:10,13,21,25	42:20,21,25 43:13	NIACcounsel.org	240:2
173:7 181:4 189:9	219:7,17,25 220:7	43:17 45:2,18,19	227:16	noted 6:17 61:16
191:6 198:23	220:23 221:14,19	45:23 46:21,25	NIAC's 34:24	64:3
242:13	221:23 222:6	47:3,17,23 48:2	36:16 37:19,20,24	notes 83:9 93:14,19
needed 39:5 163:11	223:25 225:8	48:20 49:4,21,24	38:2,3,4,8,20,23	98:12,18 105:25
166:8,9 189:5	227:12 228:11	50:4,17 51:3,9,17	38:25 39:3,6,10	184:12 205:17,18
208:7	229:2 234:23	52:16 53:23 54:2	39:15,18 42:9	205:23,24 206:3,3
needs 86:2,13	235:5,13,20 238:2	54:19 55:3,19	47:14 60:7 81:19	206:5 230:5
88:19	239:22 240:6,10	60:6 61:4,6,21	107:2 111:12	248:23 249:5,7,11
negative 59:5,6	240:14 242:15	62:16 64:13 66:12	112:22 140:13,22	250:20
negotiate 99:8	245:23	70:23 71:7 72:15	210:5 211:25	notice 2:7 191:14
negotiation 120:21	Nemetz 214:13	72:20 73:17 74:7	215:2 233:12	192:14 193:3
negotiations 85:24	nervous 167:21	74:22 75:8,12	234:17 235:12,14	noticing 5:17
86:11 88:20	network 29:3	76:5 83:21 91:7	238:8	November 15:14
Nelson 3:9 5:23,23	109:21 200:19,22	91:10 93:2 105:6	niche 22:18	15:16 21:13 48:13
7:7 9:14,15,16	never 15:8,9,23	106:18 108:5,8	Nikki 53:22 54:5	129:9 177:8,11
10:5,10,14,19	18:7,8,15 19:14	109:6,19,22 110:7	136:17	241:6 247:19
11:4,14,20 17:23	19:19,20 31:8,12	110:22 112:11,15	NM 152:15,16,17	nuanced 118:5
28:20 40:15 43:23	50:7,8 55:3 57:6	113:17,17,24	nods 6:23	168:5,7 170:10
49:8 60:17 68:14	61:16 67:19 92:4	114:2,18,21 115:5	nonbiased 59:8	number 5:3 24:6,7
71:14 77:16 78:3	96:19 100:3	115:7 116:15	nonbinding 233:19	29:2 31:2,4 32:22
78:22 80:4 85:12	113:25 130:4	123:7,9 135:16,25	noncoalition 63:21	35:8 41:2 43:5
85:15 86:8,17	151:11 164:11	136:18 141:2	nondiscoverable	47:9 53:16,17
87:5 89:4,7 90:4	184:24 190:25	142:25 143:10	209:2,6	55:24 56:2 58:6
91:23 92:14 101:8	191:2 194:5 210:9	148:12 154:7,12	nonexpert 80:16	60:15 62:23 65:21
102:8 104:9 106:5	214:9 230:18	156:25 157:13	nongovernmental	72:15 73:7,10
106:8 107:14,22	236:20 237:14	158:12 164:19,22	22:22 32:16 34:21	75:16,21 82:25
108:21 109:4	new 1:15,15 2:7,7,9	168:4,20 176:3	noninvolvement	83:8,12 88:9
117:9 118:20	5:10,10 32:15	182:14 185:5	110:11	89:18 90:15,16
122:7 144:8,14	37:6 47:15 88:2	188:23 199:13	nonlegis 97:6	92:23 93:8,13
145:14,21 146:19	88:10 102:19	204:11 205:15,18	nonlegislative	98:9,11 102:2,6
151:13,15 157:11	132:13 228:4	205:25 211:23	16:13 62:24 71:19	102:21 103:2
159:10 161:5	247:3,5,8 248:20	216:11 218:3	80:9 81:22,23	105:23 106:16
162:15 163:14	news 102:18 181:11	221:5 222:4	134:7	115:21,22 116:16
165:18 166:2	181:15	223:13 224:9	nonlobbying	119:8,10 120:11
168:13 169:17	newsletter 153:5,9	225:18,23,24,25	130:25	139:11,24 140:7
170:12 171:3,11	newsletters 167:13	226:4,13,16 227:9	nonpartisan 59:7	142:7 143:25
			_	
			•	•

144.4 6 145.6 7	224.22 225.5 12	official 10.14 29.5	amaa 42.0 60.2	anganina 49.22
144:4,6 145:6,7 146:12 147:23	234:23 235:5,13	official 19:14 38:5 38:13 39:3,10	once 42:9 60:3 66:14 88:22 98:3	organiza 48:22 165:2
	235:20 239:23	,		
150:17 153:25	240:4,9,10,13	57:7 94:15 120:10	110:5 124:10	organization 11:24
158:24 159:15	objections 4:8	officially 16:21	205:8	14:8 19:7 33:18
168:3,20 171:24	17:18 43:6 59:21	194:22	ones 138:19	34:18,19 35:22
172:9,15,21	59:23,24	officials 143:10	one-on-one 20:11	36:24 40:3 43:20
176:10,12 181:3,7	objective 43:11	147:13	210:9	43:22,24 44:6
186:20 194:14	objectives 48:23	off-the-record 83:4	Ong 33:9 41:9 44:8	46:5 47:21 48:2
195:2 212:14	obligation 191:8	139:20 172:12	44:11 248:16	54:23,24 58:2,15
214:3 215:19,20	obtained 79:10	243:5 246:7	ongoing 78:13	58:17 60:16 66:25
215:24 216:10	obviously 163:7	oftentimes 79:12	158:13 167:5	71:8,13 75:19
220:13 229:10	206:23 224:3	105:9 135:23	Ong's 55:14	76:11 90:22
230:4 235:8	occasional 64:23	okay 7:14,23 10:12	online 75:22	132:13,20 137:25
237:16,23 239:19	77:20	11:9,13 18:21	open 30:22 33:23	138:10 142:9
243:9 246:5	occasionally 66:15	19:11,16 21:5	54:11,15,19 55:5	147:16 148:14
numbers 183:25	70:17 226:8	25:9,16 26:8	55:10,14,17 66:6	150:12 153:3,14
226:20	occasions 198:21	33:17 35:16 38:11	94:13 95:24	153:18 165:7,21
NWWG 249:14	198:24	39:13 40:23 45:17	143:15 166:21	168:23 189:5
N-E-M-E-T-Z	occur 48:10 49:6	53:14 55:9,22	208:4	191:6 229:19
214:14	49:16 74:5 130:10	59:14 61:2 62:5	opening 154:23	232:19,20 238:16
N.W 3:15	191:21 228:21	67:6 73:20 77:2	operated 27:5 61:6	241:16 244:24
0	occurred 99:18	81:14,21 82:11	operating 17:9	organizational
Obama 56:11 94:2	191:22 244:16,20	84:10 87:20 88:15	21:25	39:25 189:7
94:5 102:19	occurring 191:23	98:7,19 103:16	operations 48:5	organizations
	October 1:16 2:2	106:10 109:12	opinion 81:6 111:8	22:21,23 32:16
object 7:8 43:4,23	5:10 13:5 175:8	127:7 129:17	134:14 142:11	34:22 49:2,12
49:8 59:15 71:14	252:4	132:8 142:3 143:7	158:3 160:15,16	57:11,14,18 58:4
78:22 86:8 90:4	odd-numbered	143:18 150:4	161:9,10 238:20	58:8 75:21 96:25
101:5,8 170:12	145:15	152:2 153:13	238:21 241:19	102:16 142:5
207:11 210:20	offer 101:16	156:2 157:24	opinions 69:11,17	173:12 231:9
objected 59:18	offered 42:24,25	167:6,23 169:3	70:19 160:22	237:7
objecting 219:15	99:16 205:21	175:15 176:8	opportunity 50:3	organization's
objection 10:14	offering 33:23	177:18 179:7,20	152:23 208:9	35:25 138:14
17:23 28:20 40:15	91:14	179:24 180:8,13	220:3	organize 96:20,22
60:17 68:14 78:3	office 9:8 23:24	187:18 188:17	oppose 71:6	97:10 115:7
80:4 85:12,15	24:6 25:11,21,23	191:12 193:23	opposed 70:5 81:24	organizing 96:9
86:17 87:5 89:4	26:10 28:6,10	194:9 200:5,13	234:5,22 235:18	original 33:8 41:9
92:14 107:14,22	29:2 42:9 45:20	202:8 203:17	opposes 71:9	originated 37:23
118:20,21 122:9	46:9 47:14,24	204:10 209:5	opposition 58:7,11	116:4
144:8,14 151:13	54:2 72:8 74:2	214:10 215:5	58:14 116:8	OSI 66:4,5,7
159:10 161:6	76:17 94:14 95:24	217:2 220:5	option 102:23	outcome 247:17
162:15 163:14	99:14 114:4	222:19 223:2	103:7 243:24	outlined 157:2
165:18 169:17	154:23 192:5,8	225:13 229:20	options 216:23	161:23
180:19 184:4,22	226:10 236:6	234:4 236:10	op-eds 22:24	Outlook 29:14,15
186:11 201:22	officer 17:10 21:25	240:8,18 242:25	order 87:15 107:5	29:16,20 30:6
212:9 217:10	offices 2:6 25:18	245:21	ordered 83:24	51:22 52:11,19
219:8 227:12	119:17	old 238:18 241:17	organi 47:18 81:17	65:6 82:9,13

151.5 22 202.12	220.11	62.15 66.17 71.4	46.47.57.10	
151:5,23 202:12	238:11	62:15 66:17 71:4	46:4,7 57:19	petroleum 233:22
202:14,20 250:4	paragraphs 194:18	79:21 81:4 86:16	59:12 66:17 67:11	Phil 216:15,16
outreach 22:25	196:11 199:23	91:22 95:16,20	67:12 72:15 73:24	218:2 223:11,23
23:20 27:4 48:3	Parillo 101:19,20	99:20 100:25	74:11,15,20,24	239:15 241:6
62:20 64:5 80:8,8	101:21	109:2 141:10	91:10 112:9 115:8	phone 226:20
81:8,10,11,12	parliament 231:23	156:13,22 183:22	119:16 122:4	233:2 244:23
outside 22:17 74:2	232:3	186:18 189:24	166:12 188:23	phonetic 23:25
168:15 169:4	parliamentary	211:13 214:24	200:18 201:9	24:3 25:11 60:2
224:9 242:21	231:19	218:14 219:10	211:18,20 216:10	101:19
overall 43:6 138:9	Parsa 3:11 6:2,2	241:4	226:3 228:25	phrase 108:3,15
138:13	7:8 9:15,17 10:6	particularly 18:8	229:6,8 242:20,21	physically 202:19
overhead 133:15	10:10 11:14 241:8	47:11	peoples 30:17	213:13
138:9	Parsi 1:5 5:5,25	parties 4:4 58:14	people's 211:17	Physicians 101:22
overlap 61:11	17:5 27:9 54:5	196:6 231:24	percent 144:6,11	229:17
65:11 67:22	72:25 83:21	232:2 240:17,17	144:19 145:7	piece 81:4,7 82:4
Overtime 26:20	216:19 239:15	247:15	146:14 153:21	88:18,23 89:24
O-N-G 33:9	241:8 242:12	partisan 58:22	159:22 160:2	92:11,18 95:20,25
	248:17 250:14	partners 56:16	161:2,22,24	99:5,12,25 100:2
P 2 2 2 4 6 2 4 1 7	251:4 252:3	57:2	162:10,11,21	119:19,20 120:15
P 3:2,2 6:6 241:7	Parsi's 145:11	parts 57:14	169:25 176:4	193:21 233:14,17
packet 73:8,11	146:7,14	party 5:16 224:9	182:2	234:8,11,12
packets 73:23,25	part 20:4 23:5 33:3	pass 100:3 146:4	percentage 48:21	pieces 91:5,11,15
76:2	40:13 43:17 49:25	passed 99:25 100:5	61:10 138:9,12,13	91:18 192:23
Paddico 59:25 60:4	57:11 59:3 83:17	Patrick 1:14 2:5	138:16 177:3	pigeonhole 113:19
page 85:22 93:23	84:2,4 89:3 99:13	3:11 5:4 6:2 13:4	perform 79:5	Pishevar 3:4,10
96:3 97:13 98:22	108:24 142:2	83:2,13 132:25	performed 160:6	5:24 6:3 11:19,23
111:19 112:13	181:10 182:15	172:10,16 209:8	170:20	11:25 12:7,10,20
141:23,24 143:17	185:5 196:19	216:15,17 241:7	period 14:24 17:22	20:12 214:24
144:24 145:5,12	220:12 221:3	246:6,11 247:10	25:25 72:9 129:6	215:6,9,23 216:2
145:20,25 146:3,6	222:2 230:8	252:19	229:3	216:15,24 221:8
151:25 159:19,19	234:20	pay 127:16 143:21	permanently	222:12,17,22
164:17 173:25	partial 238:25	143:22	193:17	223:3,5,9,12,16
216:12 230:9	241:22	paying 55:18	Persian 215:11	223:21 224:16,23
238:9 240:25	participants 113:5	127:13	person 60:15 74:4	230:22 241:7
241:3 248:4 252:5	participate 57:15	payroll 139:12	76:16 130:6	place 12:11 15:11
pages 145:15,17	83:22 84:6 106:18	140:13 144:13	154:11 192:5,7	18:23,25 26:23
248:9	110:13	145:8 249:17	personal 27:6 78:4	44:25 45:10 48:8
paid 54:14,23	participated 77:12	PCs 27:17,19	122:13	56:11 94:15 96:19
127:3,9 137:24	91:3 98:5 101:23	peace 35:23 36:4,7	personally 12:12	100:7 102:17
pains 58:21	104:4 123:12	87:24	12:18,21 113:25	114:12,16 127:12
Pam 53:25	236:21	peaceful 32:18	116:10 147:9	128:15 132:23
paper 192:23	participating 86:20	pedal 182:15	185:4	135:21 136:24
193:18,21	94:25 111:8,23	penalties 165:11,11	perspective 70:8	137:9 171:20
paragraph 36:14	112:10 115:9,17	170:4	128:6	192:22 213:14
41:18,23 44:20	116:11	people 23:25 26:21	perspectives 58:24	240:11
54:9 56:14 102:22	particular 7:4	26:25 30:7 32:22	pertaining 194:19	placed 204:20,21
103:6 106:24	16:13,18 22:18	43:15 45:3,24	Peter 3:17 5:18	plaintiff 201:25
	10.10,10 22.10		- 3332 5.17 5.10	F-201120
	l	l	l .	l .

plaintiffs 1:6 3:5	222:20 225:10	187:20	170:4	199:14 201:19,25
6:3 107:19 151:22	233:12 234:17	preparations 11:6	privilege 208:20	202:19 203:3,7
plaintiff's 120:4	235:10,12,14	prepare 9:11 10:8	217:25 222:10	204:19 205:8
plan 43:3,4	positions 14:16	114:19 180:25	224:10,14 225:6	204.19 203.8
plan 43.3,4 play 245:14	70:23	prepared 8:4 113:6	privileged 10:15	210:17 213:3
play 243.14 played 23:3 245:19	positively 57:20,25	180:16 181:11	207:2 208:14,15	210.17 215.3
Plaza 3:6	positively 37.20,23 possible 30:16	203:14	209:7,12 210:6,7	217:15,24 218:5
please 5:15 6:5,25	51:12 58:24 64:9	preparing 10:17	210:16,23 211:13	217.13,24 218.3
13:18 117:12	82:23 113:16	84:7 178:23	210.10,23 211.13	221:15 222:24
218:24 220:5	114:7 126:20	181:17 182:3	212.3,11,13	223:7,10 250:5
224:20 227:7	132:16 155:20,24	186:8,17 187:4	218:16 219:23	produces 202:13
230:11 235:4	184:17 190:7	presence 225:5	220:25 221:9,16	producing 166:25
238:3 241:10,12	201:9 203:24	present 3:20 47:22	220:23 221.9,10	202:9,12,17
point 15:14 19:23	245:19	171:8 207:16,19	240:5,15	product 73:6
19:24 42:8 57:17	possibly 101:17	207:22 220:12	probably 23:23	106:19 156:23
64:16 65:12 75:17	103:11 185:23	presented 76:2	81:13 126:11	158:18,23 159:9
78:11,15,25 89:12	post 242:3	205:13	150:3 154:7 215:3	production 83:17
89:15 117:24	post 242.3 postdate 228:22	preservation	problem 62:12	84:8 147:4 194:11
130:12 136:12	postuate 228.22 postponed 117:4	191:14 192:14	108:16 109:5,15	199:5 203:14
159:13 160:24	postponeu 117.4 posts 22:23	193:3	128:8 169:20	205:5
161:16	potential 88:14	preserve 194:3	Procedure 7:25	productive 42:10
pointing 98:24	91:17 153:11	195:8	proceed 7:10	professionals
policies 75:7,9,10	231:16	preserved 195:13	proceeding 5:17	238:23 241:20
117:20 160:11	power 107:6,12,24	president 23:19	process 15:8 16:4,7	professor 228:5
235:3	108:6	227:19,25	31:22 50:25 51:2	professors 228:2
policy 15:6,7,13,15	practically 43:12	presume 163:16	51:6,7,21 52:17	proffering 222:25
15:21 19:10,25	practice 115:4	pretty 36:16	53:6,9 62:4 74:14	program 13:11
20:3 21:7,14,22	238:24 241:21	132:21 160:25	77:19 78:13 79:2	56:10 60:24 61:3
21:24 32:15 37:7	preceded 66:12	227:14 234:19	79:5 83:25 85:4,7	61:8,13 63:13
54:11,15 56:12	precise 130:22	previous 27:22	111:9 125:5,6	67:25 68:4,6,7,8
61:6 69:18 81:6	precisely 15:10	38:15 40:8 43:18	176:24 182:20	68:13,18 71:3,12
129:9,19,21,22,23	74:6 132:14	156:3	184:7,7 195:3	111:3 225:14,22
136:12 160:7	144:22 148:10	previously 8:19	196:20,21 197:13	programing 23:3
248:20	177:7 193:8	25:7 43:14 63:19	198:15 208:2,17	23:22 62:19 80:8
political 13:14,16	predated 16:2	64:22 99:19	208:23 210:10,13	97:4
58:25 107:3,5	32:20 33:4	principle 181:20	211:6,19 212:15	project 25:21 49:21
109:8 111:9	predates 154:7	182:3 184:24	213:2,9,18	49:23 114:14
poll 141:16	predicted 216:21	principles 131:3	processing 28:18	projects 106:18
polls 70:17	prefer 106:8	158:5 163:19	produce 39:9 157:5	231:10
poor 239:2 241:23	preliminarily	printed 193:19	157:6 158:21	promote 36:4
populate 30:13,20	160:16	prior 9:21 10:2	205:11	promoting 36:6
portion 16:16	preparation 9:5,22	13:19,22 33:20	produceable	prompted 148:8
posed 73:18	10:2 114:20	44:25 75:2 99:15	212:20	243:20
position 17:7 19:6	178:15 179:3,5,10	115:6 154:12	produced 107:19	proof 164:11,15
22:9 41:11 54:10	180:23 181:5,14	175:9 226:15	148:6 151:6,21	proper 112:24
55:11,11,15 81:3	181:22 182:6,9,16	priorities 88:12	155:10 158:2,6	proposal 42:22
81:6 221:8 222:18	182:21 187:6,9,11	prison 165:13	159:16 195:19,21	47:25 48:5 99:20
	, ,	_	<u> </u>	
		-	-	-

101.5		1.5.00 1.5.5	1 1160 24	100.11
propose 101:5	pursuit 231:25	165:23 167:5	realized 169:24	recalling 108:11
proposed 40:13	push 93:25 94:19	168:14 170:13	really 15:9 18:8,16	192:10
70:15 90:19	95:6	171:17 174:12	57:6 58:9 132:22	recalls 186:16
proposing 42:13	put 48:20 56:11	180:21 201:23	135:13 170:6	Recap 88:17
protocols 112:24	75:17 123:24	207:9 209:3	186:4 193:8 194:5	recapping 88:7
114:23	144:16 148:19	217:11,19 218:8	203:8 205:9	102:16
provide 85:25	152:22,25 153:4	218:11 219:13,16	228:19 233:5	receipt 134:4,21,22
86:12 88:19	153:10 192:25	219:18,21 220:2	245:7,20	137:17
105:14 205:5	193:21 197:11	228:14 238:18	reason 7:21 8:13	receipts 134:8
provided 27:7	200:4 203:5 205:2	242:15	127:16 175:11,13	137:23
73:12 99:17 120:3	206:7 212:25	questions 7:9 8:24	189:8 241:24	receive 42:22 58:14
135:23	237:2	73:11,13,17 76:6	reasons 239:2	received 97:5
providing 23:7	puts 75:22	76:6 102:23 103:7	recall 19:2,3,5	135:25 153:9
PSR 229:11,17	putting 65:2 126:6	103:14,18,22,25	25:24 26:2,20	198:11
PST 205:2	P.C 3:4	104:10,17,18,20	27:6,8,13,19	receiving 167:13
public 2:9 4:13 6:8	p.m 2:3 5:11	105:10,14 122:15	30:22 31:2,4 37:2	172:3 210:8
16:17 23:8 68:10		150:12 153:6	37:14,22 38:14	232:25 242:5
69:4,5 70:21	Q	171:4 240:7	42:10 45:14 46:2	reception 96:3,15
76:14 80:8 81:10	qualifications	quite 12:14 72:9	46:6 51:24 53:3	97:10
81:10 142:11,15	78:10 93:6 128:3	85:5 157:22	53:12 59:11,23,24	reclarify 7:2
147:10 168:24	160:17	182:19 236:17	61:20 66:13 74:3	recognize 35:14,15
223:12 247:7	qualified 68:15	quote 239:16	76:13 80:13 81:19	41:5 53:20 56:5
publications	166:11	241:11,11,13	82:17 84:14,17,22	85:19 93:16 98:14
142:24 143:2	quality 112:17,18	242:3,9,18,22	88:3,11 94:22,23	102:7,11 106:12
147:10	113:5		95:8 97:3 100:7	106:13 116:17
publicize 96:23	quarter 177:12	R	103:15,17,19,21	140:9 154:4 214:8
publicized 58:6	185:13	R 3:2 6:6 247:2	104:7,25 106:15	216:6,7 238:4
147:11	quarters 176:20	raised 157:16	106:21 107:15	240:19
publicly 59:2	question 4:9 6:13	range 91:14	121:17,19 125:19	recognized 29:4
published 142:24	6:19,25 7:10	Rashidi 24:2	125:22,24,25	110:8
143:2	38:12,19 41:16	rattle 90:14	126:2,3,6,15,20	recollec 62:18
pull 107:6,12,24	46:13,14 49:9	reached 238:11,13	126:24 127:5	recollection 19:13
108:5	51:8 57:7 71:15	reaching 22:22	129:16,18 130:13	23:23 31:21 48:12
pulled 73:9 169:10	71:17,21 72:17	reaction 17:11	130:19 139:2	112:20 121:24
purely 68:7	75:17 77:8 78:23	42:14 166:6,8	141:7,14 152:21	152:19 159:7
purpose 34:14,16	79:18 86:9 90:5	245:6,8	153:17 155:20,22	168:10 171:7
38:24 65:24 68:17	96:13 97:23 98:4	read 36:13,14	155:24 169:5	174:7,14,17
68:19 110:10,19	101:9 104:21	40:18 41:13 96:10	171:12 185:7	175:17,20 176:2
111:2 116:13	112:4 122:10,17	97:20 104:20	186:9,9 189:21,22	177:2 187:25
138:23	122:21 126:25	106:23 108:22,23	190:7,8,9 191:22	191:2 193:15
purposes 40:10	127:4,6 128:7	111:25 117:12	192:18,21 202:25	198:7 207:17,24
64:8 189:7	133:21 135:2	230:10 245:23	203:9,11,12,16	229:21 244:18,19
pursuant 2:7	136:7 144:9,9	reading 40:12,17	226:5 229:7,14	recommendation
pursue 17:19 44:17	147:18 148:12,17	54:9 111:19	231:15,18 232:7,9	245:6
231:17	148:19 149:22,23	181:11,15,15	233:4,6 234:3,16	recommendations
pursuing 49:22	155:12 156:7,18	reads 109:6,19	242:5,9 243:20	56:9
98:6 243:24	161:8 162:6	110:4	244:10,15 245:5,8	reconstruct 185:16
			,	

	Ī	1	l	I
186:3 189:23	reflected 31:10	relation 118:16	195:6 197:14	235:3
190:5	32:4	119:21 120:7	198:11 199:11	representative
reconvene 82:22	reform 135:10	121:22	201:3 202:15,16	75:18 99:14
record 61:17,24	refresh 152:18	relations 13:11	202:19,24 203:2,2	244:24
83:3 109:16 126:7	159:6 174:6	32:17 34:22 56:21	203:4,8 207:8	Representatives
139:19 140:4	175:16,19,25	223:12	210:4,8 211:14	75:24 99:6 109:24
172:11 224:2	refreshes 174:14	relationship 12:10	212:18,22 214:21	110:15 120:17
240:3 243:4,13	refreshments 7:18	46:12 60:12 110:5	232:25 244:12,23	150:10
246:2 247:13	regard 52:22 69:18	relationships	remembered 159:3	represented 12:8
recorded 61:15	70:24 119:24	111:20	207:9	12:13,23 215:10
131:13 190:23	235:15	relatively 131:18	remembering	222:4
recording 61:14	regarding 43:9	132:13	108:12,12 175:3	representing 12:5
64:12,13 128:25	45:6 56:8 81:3	release 117:3	180:18,22 204:13	12:20 111:4,15
130:3 131:8,10,15	87:11 102:17	relevance 22:13	207:20	represents 12:2
131:16 137:11	116:23 148:7	221:15 222:6	remove 57:23	87:23 122:3
175:2,9,18,22,24	149:8 239:16	relevant 23:9 29:3	rent 138:10	reproduction 38:22
records 64:10	240:22	46:5,8,10 48:18	repeat 97:22 112:4	reputation 57:20
79:11 176:25	Regardless 106:25	48:19 72:11,12	122:17 184:23	57:25 59:7
185:23 186:12,22	regards 75:13	76:7 105:7 133:4	201:23 241:11	request 32:24
187:25	regime 116:5	194:15 214:18	repetition 184:2	37:23 38:22
Recruitment	235:19	228:9,13 237:6	rephrase 18:23	197:20
115:15	register 163:12,22	remainder 21:16	46:13 55:13 70:25	requested 88:17
recurring 65:3	164:21 169:25	158:12	92:16 144:9	236:6
recycle 193:21	registered 162:24	remember 15:18	replace 146:5	requesting 40:11
redundant 66:22	164:2,4,6,14	22:15 23:15,17	replaced 24:5	requests 30:18
refer 150:14	170:3	26:24 27:9,11,25	replacement 27:15	83:23 197:4,21,24
reference 38:21	registering 162:22	28:2,17 31:22	replied 76:9	198:2,6,9,9
41:12,20 98:23	165:6,7	33:5 61:23 62:3	report 20:14,17,23	199:21,23 213:17
110:2,3 127:17	regular 135:3	65:2 66:19 73:23	130:5,6,8 136:17	220:16 248:7
155:5,18 173:10	regularity 104:7	73:24,25,25 76:10	reported 1:22	required 169:25
173:11	237:9	76:22 82:8 85:2	21:17,18 22:3	206:21
referenced 94:10	regularized 53:7,10	94:25 100:10	129:5 133:25	requirements
127:20 153:15,16	regulation 179:4	104:2 105:4 107:8	136:13 176:3	136:22 148:13
237:17	regulations 62:22	113:21 114:6	195:12	158:11 162:20
referencing 57:13	62:25 72:12 82:3	116:10 121:20,21	reporter 5:13 6:5	165:5,12 166:5
referendum 142:12	173:13 243:25	126:4 127:19	6:17 218:23	173:12
referred 19:9	rehearsal 115:10	128:14,18 130:23	224:19	requires 107:4
173:17	reimbursements	131:9 148:10,23	reporting 21:24	research 148:20,22
referring 9:14 42:3	133:6	149:13,21 152:19	64:9 129:18,22	149:7,15,15,22
42:16 43:16 44:23	reintroduce 96:6	153:3 158:18,20	130:10 133:9	158:3,22 163:18
44:24 83:19	related 69:2 82:4	167:20 168:20	reports 133:6	167:2,9 168:8
145:25 176:7	138:3 153:6	172:2 174:25	212:16	170:11,20 238:19
178:17 196:4,6	228:25 229:6	175:4 177:24	repre 223:25	researching 72:24
197:3 202:4,7	232:23 233:2	178:7 182:20	represent 11:15,17	73:2 148:18
refine 77:8	247:14	183:21 189:14	11:23 94:15	reserve 220:24
refined 233:21	relating 118:18	191:18,23 193:2,7	107:17 110:20	reserved 4:9
reflect 187:3	213:5,8,20	193:25 194:6,8	151:21 218:3	resolution 32:18
			l	l

99:2,15,22 120:11	208:22 214:21	55:2,5,18 133:15	206:18,25 216:20	212:18
120:13,20 123:4,6	reviews 199:8	143:20 144:7,11	231:3	seeking 47:22
123:7,11 233:19	Rice 103:11	144:24,25 145:7	schedule 141:21,24	240:23
resources 23:7 73:7	ridiculous 187:14	145:12 146:7,14	Scheduled 180:9	seeks 216:21
93:8 109:20 131:2	right 9:12,13 11:4	Sales 225:15,17,19	scheme 64:16 82:9	seemingly 245:2
158:24 169:11	23:10 24:10 31:14	226:6,7,9,11,14	96:16 97:2	seen 37:17 38:18
182:23 220:14,15	32:8 36:11 52:6	226:18	school 13:23	50:5 140:10,17
220:21	63:9 85:17 87:17	San 13:9	science 13:14,17	151:11 164:11
respect 158:14	89:13 108:17	sanctions 56:22	Scotten 154:10,11	176:15 214:6,9
respective 4:3	119:6 129:5 139:7	65:22 69:24 70:3	screening 214:16	segments 201:4
responded 59:17	139:17 147:19	70:5 71:5,6,9	sea 99:2,10 120:12	Seid 1:8 5:6
174:9	151:3 153:20,21	87:12 88:22	120:14,21 180:6	Senate 75:24
responding 59:20	153:22 156:10	235:12,15,19	sealing 4:4	135:12 162:23,25
83:22	157:19 161:17	Sara 23:21 24:2,15	search 130:21	163:13 236:4
response 117:6	162:5 163:9	24:16 25:23 26:10	196:5,7,14,22	Senator 216:21
responsi 46:11	174:19 175:3	26:11 27:2 154:19	197:16 198:4,8	233:23 234:2
responsibilities	177:19 179:16	satisfactorily	199:10,12,15,16	235:23 236:6
16:20	180:3 184:6	109:10	199:25 200:2,8,11	senators 94:4
responsibility	185:25 192:2	satisfied 124:2	201:6 220:17	109:24 110:16
46:23 67:3 101:23	193:11 201:17	141:8 149:17,25	searched 196:22,24	send 31:18,23
138:15 229:18	221:22 230:25	satisfy 40:10 124:5	200:24 206:10	50:15,17 153:5
responsible 130:2	rights 22:15 69:10	saw 55:3 167:20	Sebiday 25:10,11	210:12 211:10
189:17	rize 77:20	188:25 191:2	second 41:17 44:20	241:10
responsive 197:9	Rockville 3:8	230:18	56:13 57:17 96:2	sending 124:7
199:19 206:13	rode 134:2	saying 38:24 39:10	96:11 108:18	172:2
responsiveness	role 23:3 32:25	39:19 40:6 47:8	128:6 159:19	sense 7:12 8:22
206:11	33:21 50:6 99:11	54:8 59:18 111:11	230:9	99:7 120:19
rest 96:10 109:5	245:14,19	127:19 133:20	section 16:11 37:3	213:23 233:20
112:2 122:15	room 20:13	135:19 138:6	88:16 94:3,9,20	sent 30:11,18 32:4
restate 49:11 162:5	Ross 102:18 150:13	141:5 161:17 167:20 168:17	95:22 96:3 97:20	36:10 39:15,16
restroom 7:17 resubmit 94:5	round 199:2 router 29:4	173:17 184:6	108:17,18,23	40:6 76:8 124:16 138:22 139:3
result 244:5	router 29:4 rubric 67:14	188:7 203:23	109:3,4,15,17 112:14 114:8	158:22 159:5
retained 11:22	rules 6:12 7:24	210:21 211:16,22	see 17:15 30:23	178:9 209:9
retention 12:16	10:25 152:12	224:16 242:2	36:20 56:17 62:14	214:25 215:6
return 139:25	159:2 174:2,4,5	says 35:17 36:12,19	73:5 85:23 86:3	224:9 244:11
249:20	238:17 241:17	37:18 40:19 41:18	97:15 103:2,6,12	sentation 224:2
returns 140:23	run 43:20,24 44:6	41:23 44:21 56:14	121:6 140:7 142:2	sentence 38:20
reverse 110:10	running 46:17 47:4	85:23 88:12,17	142:17 143:17,19	40:19 41:17 44:20
reversed 15:14	200:8	89:15 93:25 94:18	143:21 145:13,18	102:22 103:5
review 9:4 102:9	rush 230:7,9	95:14 97:13 103:3	146:2,6,8,10	106:24 107:9
201:14,14,15		108:18 112:15	180:7 200:19	109:19 110:4
208:12 212:19	S	117:13 120:4,25	206:18 207:6	111:19 112:14
220:3,6	S 3:2 6:6	121:13 142:4,13	216:4,13 217:15	114:17 161:17
reviewed 62:13	safe 127:2	142:24 143:19	230:19	sentences 36:15
219:2,10	Sahandy 23:25	145:2 151:5 156:8	seeing 28:17 31:11	196:19
reviewing 106:11	salary 54:8,14,18	165:10 173:3	189:21 203:12	separate 66:13,16
	•	•	'	•

	l	l	i	l
196:13,18 213:7	shrugs 6:23	soon 82:22 157:25	specific 33:15 53:8	98:4
213:10,23	side 81:17 121:2,3	sorry 12:14 24:13	69:18 71:17 73:17	specifics 11:5 89:22
separated 51:17	121:4	25:5 34:15 86:7	75:4 76:6 92:6,8	108:14 123:3
September 227:24	sides 231:22	88:11 97:22 98:25	100:24 105:4	131:23 137:7
232:13	Sidley 2:6 3:13 5:9	162:4 192:6 223:4	106:15 113:11,15	167:12 203:21
seriously 170:22	5:20,22 195:22,24	233:25	114:6 115:3	specify 74:19 125:7
serve 94:14	196:2 230:14	sort 10:25 11:2	116:11 119:19	spectrum 58:25
served 15:12 60:6	sign 245:24	18:16 48:20 62:3	123:18 125:7,19	speculate 18:14
154:14	signed 4:12,14	67:4,14 68:10	126:20,24 127:6	33:19 36:8 61:9
server 28:12,15	significant 48:5	75:19 87:16 90:23	128:20 131:17	118:13 164:7
227:10,11,14	109:20 245:14	94:13 95:3 99:19	134:25 141:8	166:19 167:25
session 231:16	similar 122:5	104:5 115:10	149:21,21 155:21	180:24 198:10
sessions 106:17,19	124:16 127:24	126:6 131:12	166:20,23 167:9	245:18
set 31:4,11 56:8	128:10 130:19	133:16 178:12	168:18 169:14	speculating 92:22
192:22 227:23	188:24 205:18,20	185:11 204:22	170:18,19,25	speculation 17:24
228:18 247:11,19	242:10	226:21 231:12	171:9,19 174:16	92:15 107:15
setting 88:2,10	simple 182:10	sorts 33:24 69:25	180:22 181:12,16	spend 96:20 132:25
seven 7:25 8:3	simply 57:14	115:12 175:5	182:25 189:14	186:4
25:14 63:8 79:25	219:12	204:19	193:2,4,25 194:6	spending 128:25
86:14	simultaneously	sought 47:10 58:23	194:8,23 202:16	129:15
Shami 23:24 24:14	133:18	211:17 245:3	205:7 207:8,21	spent 48:15 50:20
share 19:17 28:7,11	single 197:10,18,19	sounding 231:14	211:14 229:7	50:21 61:7,13
28:16 200:14,17	198:14	sounds 67:2 118:4	231:15 234:20	62:9 67:17 96:9
200:25 201:4	sir 218:12	134:18 153:20,21	235:11,22	96:15 125:3,10
204:20,21 205:3	sitting 45:14	186:6 198:16	specifically 10:21	126:9,16,18,23
shared 31:7,16,16	183:16 184:20	sources 150:8	12:15 27:19 32:18	127:5 129:24
167:8	188:6	space 25:18 26:13	36:6 44:23,24	132:4,18 135:17
sheet 150:20 183:8	situations 128:10	speak 6:18,18,20	46:6 53:12 54:17	136:15 137:15
252:2	six 24:10,22,22	42:9 45:18,19	63:11,12,14 64:2	138:12 142:25
sheets 183:19 190:9	25:2,14 45:24	47:16 76:12 92:7	65:14 69:21 72:20	143:5,13 146:23
190:11,16,18,21	114:9 244:13	108:14 111:17	72:23 75:13 82:4	147:9 159:25
191:3	small 47:18 230:8	116:14 119:4	82:17 84:14 87:2	175:9 176:4 177:3
shift 32:9	social 96:22 101:23	137:7 167:12	88:9 119:18	177:13,21 178:4
shifting 60:25	229:18	171:19 181:8	121:20 127:20	178:13,22 179:9
Shokravi 23:21	Society 33:23 54:11	192:16 195:9	135:5 141:14	179:11 180:15
25:23 250:6	54:15,19 55:6,10	203:21 204:15	152:21 154:22	183:11,18,21
short 25:25 82:21	55:14,18 66:6	207:12	155:25 158:8	184:3,19 185:12
243:2	sole 46:11	speaking 19:20	166:13 167:15	185:17,19 186:8
shortly 236:7	solely 43:21	50:25 135:2 164:3	171:14 173:6	186:10,17,24
show 40:24 53:15	solicit 56:11	192:21 210:5	174:25,25 175:5	187:3 188:8 189:6
82:19 93:10 98:8	solid 93:5	211:5 244:23	185:9 186:16	189:24 190:24
102:5 105:22	solution 108:19	speaks 117:9	190:2 191:16	spoke 17:5 45:20
145:19 215:18	109:18	146:19 174:11	192:10 193:7	149:9 195:11
237:21 239:17	somebody 126:17	special 136:2 181:4	202:15 203:9	210:9 232:13
showing 85:18	135:12 137:20	specialist 5:13	231:18 232:6	237:15,16 241:9
213:24	237:11	specializes 75:19	244:15 245:7	spoken 212:6
shows 205:15	somewhat 17:14	specifi 99:14	specificity 23:11	spot 87:17
	l	l		<u> </u>

				İ
spreadsheet 119:11	109:5,11 111:10	243:18	supporting 23:3	89:19 164:24
119:14,21 176:13	188:8 221:21	submit 91:2 92:2	sure 24:9 80:20	181:21 205:17
249:15	statements 142:25	92:12,19 103:18	96:12 97:21 112:3	220:19
spreadsheets 203:6	143:3 147:11	103:24 137:17	112:21 114:13	takes 114:18
SRes 233:25 234:3	States 1:2 5:7 70:13	submitted 56:10	122:2 127:21	Talebi 23:19 173:2
ss 247:4	99:8 108:4 157:14	91:8 103:13	135:8 137:13	250:9
staff 23:13 31:23	stating 194:18	104:18 244:8	156:7 157:22	talented 107:2
45:23 75:8,12	stays 104:15	submitting 102:23	158:13 162:7	talk 14:5 17:3
96:7 99:15 100:11	step 175:21	103:7,21 104:10	170:6 182:13	32:10 47:3 50:23
101:2 104:19	steps 166:20,23	104:17	186:7 188:2,15	60:23 181:17
105:9,18 107:3	stick 71:5	subordinate 129:12	191:15 202:6	244:21
111:22 112:6,11	STIPULATED 4:2	subscribe 153:4	203:22,22 204:25	talked 45:23
113:4,17 114:18	4:7,11	subscribed 67:12	205:10 210:15	104:17 167:22
115:7 119:16	stipulates 179:4	246:13 252:20	214:20 215:14	168:8 179:2 227:5
122:25 124:18	stolen 27:13,23	subsequent 199:8	227:6,18 245:20	234:15 237:11
204:22 208:6,12	stop 8:20 197:22	substance 224:3,6	Susan 103:10	talking 41:20 64:12
210:2 211:23,25	stopped 127:16	224:7	suspect 122:24	83:14 104:23
staffer 181:9	store 28:8	subtleties 118:6	swear 6:5	109:3 125:18
staffers 112:15,17	story 238:14	succeed 88:20	switch 15:24	137:14 171:10
112:22	strategies 173:24	suffered 44:16	switched 15:19	192:18 195:7
staffs 23:2 68:24	strategy 103:3	sufficient 78:16	sworn 4:14 6:7	196:12 200:15
69:22 70:21 143:9	stray 114:24	183:25	246:13 247:12	tape 5:2 82:25
147:12	Street 3:7,15	suggest 189:15	252:20	83:12 172:9,15
stand 189:7	236:11,13,21,25	suggestions 42:24	system 65:23 83:15	246:5
standard 29:22	237:12,15	42:25 91:15 99:16	84:12,18,23 107:4	task 50:7 109:6
115:4	strengthen 111:13	Suite 3:6	117:25 118:4	183:24 186:7
standing 223:17,22	stress 89:17	summarize 23:6	124:23,24 127:12	tasking 158:20
stands 32:14	striving 108:5	summary 139:12	127:18	tax 139:25 140:22
229:17	strong 110:5	140:14 144:13	S-E-A 99:3	249:19
start 34:15 52:24	stronger 111:4	145:8 231:7	D L 11///.5	taxi 134:4,22 136:9
85:8 241:2	strove 108:9	249:17	T	136:10,10
started 6:11 9:3	structure 43:21	Summer 74:8	T 6:6 247:2,2	taxicab 134:11
19:7 20:15,18	231:13 236:21	76:19,21	take 7:16,25 17:20	taxis 135:4
33:16 61:4 74:9	struggle 181:24	summertime 26:5	17:21 18:23,25	teacher 13:23
74:21 85:3 127:8	struggled 181:23	sun 119:3	32:24 33:21 35:13	team 15:2,5,6
175:2,8,18	student 227:21	superiors 16:5	41:15 48:8 67:3	16:10 20:2 21:7,7
starting 15:12 75:3	232:14	supervise 114:14	70:17,24 82:21	21:12,15 27:4
226:16 238:11	studies 13:17 14:13	supervised 20:25	95:16 102:8,21	56:11 61:5,6
starts 106:25	44:18 228:3	supervisor 20:20	116:16 120:25	129:21,22,24
state 2:9 22:16	stumbled 150:11	20:22	135:4 136:9	130:4 206:19
84:15 142:10	subject 36:19 152:3	support 85:24	139:15 146:2	221:4 222:2
152:15 232:15,18	155:3 156:15,16	86:11 88:23	166:23 170:21	technical 202:17
232:19 247:3,8	160:21 168:3,22	120:20 123:11,23	172:7 180:4	203:11
stated 221:6	215:3,13 216:3,25	120:20 123:11,23	185:18,18 198:17	technique 85:5
stated 221:6 statement 34:12	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		201:13 203:5	_
37:12 52:12 78:20	218:5 221:12,17	234:7,8,10	205:4 220:5 243:2	125:6,20,21,22 131:24 175:22
	222:21,24 223:20 230:19 240:16	supported 46:24	taken 45:10 47:19	
95:18 108:10,22	230.19 240:10	47:8 123:7	CHINCH TO TI . 17	technological 28:22
			<u> </u>	

	Ī	İ	I	I
tell 16:6 32:13	230:10 231:23	three 13:16 25:13	132:10,17 133:17	timetables 188:19
35:13 60:24 62:7	235:11,21	54:12 85:22	136:9,12 138:12	timing 116:24
65:6 71:3 120:2,9	things 21:3 58:7	141:23,25 143:17	138:13 139:18	ting 103:25
151:9 157:23	62:19 69:25 90:14	145:5,17,20	140:3 148:11,18	tion 62:19 103:8
177:14 207:7	91:14 115:12	146:23,25 166:24	149:9 154:7,15,20	165:3
220:8 225:20	121:14 134:16	167:7 172:15	154:22 158:12,20	tions 42:2 48:23
227:22 236:16	138:8,11 162:5	173:25 208:11	159:22 160:2	128:15 225:5
242:2	175:6 181:7	240:25 246:5	161:3,10 162:10	title 14:21,23 16:8
telling 10:20	198:12 204:19	three-person 21:15	163:7,10,16,20,25	16:22,23 17:12
tenure 21:7 51:3	226:21	threshold 163:21	165:16 168:15,17	18:13,25 19:12,22
105:6	think 6:16 8:18	164:20,23 173:9	169:7,9 171:10	19:23 20:2,5
terizes 40:16	17:16 27:24 38:8	Thursday 238:12	172:8,14 175:2,9	35:23
term 43:23 77:18	38:15 43:16 49:3	238:13 241:6	175:18,22,24	titles 14:19 17:15
78:12,14,16 89:2	49:11 51:25 67:22	tied 137:18	176:4 177:3,13,20	18:9 23:22
92:13,20 94:21	77:6 79:16,18,25	tile 205:2	177:21 178:4,13	today 8:15 11:7,7
118:25 123:17	84:19 85:13	time 4:10 7:7,7,15	178:16,21,22	45:15 65:7 84:13
141:7,12 160:14	110:25 117:15	7:15,20 8:17	179:10 180:15,16	188:6
161:14,14,18	118:3 123:4 127:2	13:19 14:5 15:19	181:23 182:11,12	told 167:23 185:4,6
163:3 169:17	128:21 132:2,3	15:22 17:8,16,22	183:17,19,21	187:8 234:16
197:10 199:15	141:2 143:17	19:7,23,24 23:25	184:2,8,19 185:12	tool 227:2,3
210:22 227:11	145:21 149:20,24	24:8 25:25 30:13	185:17,19,24	tools 86:2,13 88:19
terminology 28:14	151:16 159:25	34:8 38:24 41:15	186:3,8,10,12,17	top 35:17 37:18
terms 118:8 191:16	167:24 169:9,13	45:8,13,21 48:15	186:24,25 187:3	90:15 101:13
196:5,6,7,9,12,18	170:6 173:3,11	50:10,11,19,20,21	187:25 188:8	124:14 141:24
196:23 197:7,7,17	174:9 175:17	50:24 51:2,4,5,7,8	189:6,23 190:9,11	142:4 150:8 173:3
198:5,8 199:10,12	179:23 181:24	51:14,16,17 52:11	190:16,17,21,24	topic 65:23 113:2
199:16,25 200:2,9	188:12,25 189:20	53:23 54:2 57:9	191:2,10 198:5,18	114:24 153:7
200:12 220:17	195:23 197:16	57:17 58:21 61:3	198:22 199:2	topics 105:5
222:7	200:16 202:11	61:7,10,12 62:9	205:9 211:20,21	total 23:14 63:6
test 233:5	211:16	63:24 64:2,12,13	218:23 220:6	143:21
testified 6:8 186:12	thinking 18:4 31:2	64:16,18,20,25	224:19 226:11	tough 114:25
187:23	91:17 128:5	65:4 67:16,20	228:4,22 229:2,4	town 72:18
testify 170:15	165:16 202:24	71:20 72:9 74:7	238:15 241:15	track 50:19 51:4,19
testifying 207:18	third 44:19 54:9	74:24 77:14,17	243:3,12 246:4	51:23 63:23 64:20
testimony 40:16	106:24 109:19	78:15 79:3,11	times 30:25 31:3,6	131:19,21,25
122:8 163:15	177:16 238:10	82:24 83:11 84:23	49:12,15 67:13	133:7,24 135:13
170:14,16 247:13	240:17	85:6 91:11,11	91:19 100:10	135:16 136:15
Texas 13:9,23,23	third-party 217:25	96:8,14,20 97:2	114:9 127:6 130:7	191:9
text 32:6 216:20	225:6	105:10 114:19,20	171:6 181:7	tracked 51:5,8
thanks 238:3	thorough 64:10	117:14,25 118:10	197:14,14,15	63:25 133:17
thing 11:3 53:5	thoroughly 178:6	118:15 125:2,3,7	200:11,12 210:11	tracking 50:24
62:3 65:3 70:6	thought 42:15	125:8,10,13 126:9	229:7 236:8	51:2,21 52:10
133:16 135:4	173:15 182:18	126:15,16,18,23	237:10,16,19	119:16 125:2,2
138:5 141:18	199:13 231:24	127:5 128:9,10,25	238:7 250:17,22	133:18 136:22
145:19 153:11	thoughts 42:14	129:6,14,19,23	timesheet 130:14	184:8 185:12
170:5 202:18	thread 172:25	130:3 131:8,10,14	130:16 176:19	trade 87:11
203:11 208:18	240:22	131:19,21 132:4	190:13 250:12	transcript 6:24

transition 48:9	190:4	unconcerned 17:14	31:5	varying 62:23
56:11	turn 23:12 79:15	undergrad 13:13	unsure 79:14	vast 61:11
translate 109:6	83:24 111:18	underneath 88:2	update 93:24	veer 113:2
travel 70:11 182:11	133:5 151:24	88:16 93:24	updated 31:9 32:3	verbal 157:8,12
182:12	204:17 211:3	understand 6:25	updating 199:4	158:17 159:16
traveling 22:17	240:25	7:3 12:19 71:20	urge 95:13	167:4
travels 27:12	turned 84:15,18,24	77:24 92:13 118:5	urged 100:10	verbalize 6:23
trial 4:10	199:2 204:13,14	124:6 125:16	urging 95:15	128:7
trick 119:25	209:18 212:10	135:9 137:13	101:11,15	versus 5:6 57:7
tricky 49:15	Turning 109:17	141:13 149:3,6	usage 117:18	63:21 64:13 71:18
tried 70:14 166:21	twice 60:3 216:16	157:22 181:5	use 7:18 19:12	71:18,19 83:21
185:22	two 11:11 25:13	182:2 184:24	29:14,17,19 30:2	130:24 134:12
Trinity 13:9	26:21,25 30:16	191:15 193:16	30:5 63:7 64:14	137:19
trips 27:14	36:14 48:22,25	200:7 209:17	117:15 142:13	video 5:12 83:2
Trister 150:13	50:8,8 51:18	212:12 225:23	156:22 157:4	videographer 3:21
Trita 1:5 5:4,25	56:15,19 57:13,18	227:10	200:21 225:24	5:2 6:4 82:24
17:5,13,13 19:11	57:21,22 58:25	understanding	226:7,22,23 242:3	83:11 139:18
19:15 20:7,11,19	60:15 71:11 82:10	11:22 12:3 78:12	useful 31:3 62:2	140:3 172:8,14
20:20 21:19,24	82:14 83:12	80:17 88:25 92:20	105:12 153:9	243:3,12 245:25
23:19 24:14 25:5	102:15 112:22	94:21 96:18	226:25	246:4
27:9 31:23 46:3	130:9 145:25	101:13 104:22	user 28:8	videotaped 5:3
47:16 54:5,16	165:9 166:24	107:23 108:2	usually 195:10	83:13 172:16
72:25 129:23	167:7 168:4,19	112:8 118:21,24	U.S 23:8 32:17,19	246:6
130:9,17 132:20	171:5 172:9	122:13,19 123:16	34:17,22 81:6	view 48:22 70:22
136:21 145:11	173:25 175:8	127:23 128:2	88:21 94:14 107:7	159:20 160:9
146:6,14 158:7	177:15 197:15	141:6 149:24	107:12 108:6	161:22 185:18,19
164:13 167:17	216:12 241:3	150:2 162:3	120:22 231:22,22	217:3
185:7 189:10	two-person 21:12	163:18 187:22	233:20	viewed 57:19
190:20 192:12	two-word 196:18	191:5 193:22		views 69:23
195:10 204:18,21	type 64:19 70:6	195:25 205:4,10	V	violating 161:24
205:4 209:25	109:8 118:25	225:21 227:13	v 3:9 252:3	165:11
211:11 212:6	131:4,5 141:18	235:2 245:2	vague 15:7 49:9	violation 165:17,25
214:13 216:14,18	182:4	understood 128:9	89:4 98:3	virtue 130:25
239:15 241:8,10	types 11:2 64:3	189:4	vaguely 229:16	133:14
241:12 243:18	87:14 106:17	undertake 123:9	243:22	visas 229:12
Trita's 27:21 31:6	123:12 128:22,22	unhelpful 75:20	valid 110:25	voice 111:4,7,13,16
166:6 245:5	134:15 194:20	76:12	varied 236:18	111:17
true 57:16 247:12	typically 180:25	uniform 202:25	varies 235:16	voluminous 151:8
try 6:18,20 7:5		unique 193:19	various 58:7 60:6	voluntary 67:15
34:16 100:4,18	<u>U</u>	unit 28:18	70:12 76:4 79:9	vote 101:16
184:5	ultimate 20:19	United 1:2 5:7	105:6 119:16	vouch 151:16
trying 49:14,15	46:23 59:12 85:6	70:13 99:8 108:4	123:10 128:19	vs 1:7
95:15 96:20 97:10	ultimately 205:13	157:14	156:18 157:14	
119:25 135:14,15	UN 227:25	University 13:9,12	171:24 173:13	
157:16 165:8	uncomfortable	unofficial 57:8	182:22,24 186:22	waived 4:6
166:18 185:15	44:3	unrelated 228:7	209:16,25 220:17	waiver 218:4 221:7
186:3 189:23	uncommon 211:21	unsuccessful 25:22	236:22 240:16	221:20,24 222:8

224.2.9.225.10	224.12.247.16	212.4	Worth 12.22	2.0 5.10 10 229.4
224:2,8 225:10	234:12 247:16	213:4	Worth 13:23	2:9 5:10,10 228:4
waives 225:6	ways 37:20 76:4	witness 6:5,7	wouldn't 8:14	247:3,5,8
wake 166:13,14	158:25 209:16	105:11 106:4	18:14 39:9 46:7	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$
wall 29:13	web 170:11 173:22	207:15,20 218:14	53:12 67:20 89:22	zation 47:19 76:8
want 6:11 11:4	174:5 250:10	219:2 225:12	92:8 95:4 108:7	79:23 81:18 93:4
18:14 24:9 53:12	website 57:9,10,10	247:10,13,18	113:10 124:14	234:14
92:7,8 106:7	57:23 59:2 75:23	248:4 252:4	132:11,14 156:19	254.14
119:24 145:18	150:10	woman 214:13	157:21 164:7	<u> </u>
162:4 167:25	websites 75:21,25	word 7:3,4 52:7	166:19 167:25	\$1,996 142:25
170:15 171:5,8	week 153:5 177:12	117:15,17,18	169:21 175:23	144:10
193:10 209:15	177:16,22 179:9,9	118:11 157:22	183:13 185:21	\$10,000 164:20,23
210:14 215:14	179:17,19 184:20	200:21 212:10,12	193:10 198:10	173:8
220:20 222:11	186:4	212:20,20,22	199:19 220:20	\$2,000 143:5
230:7,9,10 234:24	weekly 153:15	words 112:22 239:4	221:12 235:9	165:13
235:9 245:25	237:4	239:6,9,13	237:8	\$200,000 170:5
wanted 17:18	weeks 166:15	work 7:5 13:18	write 52:15 94:2,19	\$22,500 54:12
war 36:5,7 69:24	177:15 198:19	15:2 16:12,12	106:9 170:11	\$3,934 142:19,20
70:2	went 10:24 24:2	20:25 31:20 42:15	writes 241:9	145:6
warrant 199:18	43:3 62:6,13	48:3,4,18,19 50:4	writing 22:20,20,23	\$39,339.71 145:2
warranted 149:23	83:18 134:6	50:21,22 51:9,10	165:15	\$8,841 143:11,12
Washington 3:16	141:15 150:6	54:20 73:5 80:7,8	written 12:16	ψ0,041 145.11,12
26:13 44:17 107:2	167:21 176:25	81:12,16 97:4,17	wrong 81:13	0
236:8 237:19	177:11,20 178:6	97:25 113:12	157:20	00705 1:7
238:7 250:17,21	186:20 187:14	155:23 156:23	wrote 45:13 94:4	08 1:7 154:24
wasn't 17:21 18:11	194:13 196:20	158:18,22 159:9	123:25 164:25	09 74:8 175:18,20
104:4,6 133:19	197:18 198:13	179:5 227:4	238:17	175:21,23
135:3 137:20,21	199:24 200:6,7	234:25	X	
137:25 147:16	weren't 63:20	worked 27:3 33:9	$\frac{\mathbf{X}}{\mathbf{X}}$ 248:2	1
160:18 165:19,20	64:17 118:17	34:5 72:15 91:10	A 248.2	1 35:9,10 248:13
189:8 199:15	127:13,21 135:10	91:12 168:4	<u> </u>	1st 13:5 229:11
217:23 218:4	170:2 193:18	178:10 229:5	Y 6:6	244:17 247:19
Watch 60:24 63:11	West 25:22,23 27:2	working 13:22	Yale 13:11 14:14	1,996 144:4
63:13 67:24 68:4	154:23	22:16,19 34:22	yeah 106:21 222:15	1.5 179:18 180:14
68:6,13,18 71:3	We've 41:18	66:9 67:8,12,17	year 13:25 25:8	183:10
71:12	whatnot 137:24	67:24 68:3 74:22	36:23,25 37:8,11	1/13 103:10
way 19:16 28:4	whatsoever 228:23	88:18 90:21 96:20	37:25 38:18 39:4	1/14/09 248:19
30:8,17 39:21	WHEREOF	96:21 105:8	40:2 54:13 99:18	1/15 103:10
47:8 50:20 52:10	247:18	148:11 231:10,11	114:9,11 125:13	1/16 103:11
57:24 58:12 64:20	whistle 169:15,16	250:20	132:12 140:14,23	1/21/09 249:4
78:14 101:16	169:18	workload 47:12	142:8 155:5 170:8	1/23/09 248:13
113:20 117:19	white 62:10	works 49:24	175:8 176:19	1/28/09 250:19
124:25 126:10	wholly 75:20	workshop 152:11	173.8 176.19	1/29/09 249:12
131:14 134:10,21	wire 29:9,12	152:20,22 174:5	244:13,14	1/9/09 249:8
135:13 137:4,6	wireless 29:4	workshops 173:22	years 159:15	10 115:21,22
156:19 164:11,15	wires 29:5	250:11		116:16 144:6,11
175:14 183:13	withdrawing 240:9	worried 165:19	165:13 168:4,19 170:4	144:19 145:7
196:20 202:19,25	withhold 209:13	Worry 152:6	York 1:15,15 2:7,7	146:13 176:4
			1 UFK 1.13,13 2:7,7	

237:16 238:10 249:12	16 153:24,25 250:6 16th 152:9	21:10,11,13 36:10 36:23,25 37:8,21	202:3 203:19 27th 201:21 202:3	600 3:7 114:10,11 114:15
10/24/08 248:15	17 172:19,21 250:8	38:18 39:4 53:9	203:20	111.13
10/8/08 251:4	172 250:11	74:17,18 76:19,21	29th 1:16 2:2 5:11	7
10:00 8:7	176 250:11	84:20 85:14	117:23 252:4	7 94:4 98:10,11
100 114:8 153:21	18 176:11,12 183:9	117:23 126:17	117.23 232.4	229:9 249:6
182:2	186:20 250:12	127:14 128:16,19	3	7th 2:6 5:9
102 :249:9	19 213:25 214:3	129:2,8,9 131:16	3 53:16,17 248:17	7/23/08 249:21
105 249:11	250:14	131:22,25 132:3,7	3rd 179:8	7:31 243:4
11 119:9,10 249:15	19th 174:3 206:16	133:3 134:20	3,934 145:7	7:48 243:13
11/12/08 248:22	207:4,5	170:8 176:19	3/25/09 250:14	7:52 246:5
11/12/09 250:23	19,958 143:23	177:8,22 179:18	3:00 180:9 183:12	787 2:6 5:9
11/13/09 250:21	1985 13:5	179:23 180:5,12	3:56 82:25	
11/20/09 250:21		201:20 202:2	30(b)(6) 234:24	8
111th 36:18 97:14	2	203:20 206:17	235:6	8 101:25 102:2,6
115 249:14	2 40:25 41:2 248:15	207:5 231:17	316 3:6	249:8
119 249:16	2K9 36:19,22	241:7 244:17	35 248:14	8,841 146:16
12 139:10,11 140:5	2/18/09 249:6	250:12	362 233:6,13	8,851 146:13
140:7,15 143:16	2/6/08 250:6	2010 1:16 2:2 5:11		8/1/08 250:8
146:5 249:17	2:14 2:3 5:11	14:12 22:6 126:22	4	83 248:23
12th 241:6	20 159:22 160:2	127:2,4,8,11	4 55:25 56:2 238:9	88,416 145:12
12/26/08 248:17	161:2,22,24	151:6,22 158:12	238:9 248:19	146:9
13 139:23,24 140:6	162:10,11,11,21	191:24 246:14	4:04 83:12	
140:15 141:22,23	169:25 215:19,20	247:19 250:5	4:30 180:9 183:12	9
144:4 145:5	215:25 216:2	252:4,20	41 248:16	9 105:24,25 249:10
146:11,12 249:19	250:16	20852 3:8	4506 1:23	9:15 8:5
139 249:18,20	20005 3:16	21 230:3,4 250:19	5	9:30 8:5
14 147:22,23	2007 33:6	21st 132:7		90,000 54:13
150:23 194:18,23	2008 14:9 15:22	214 250:15	5 83:7,8 85:19	93 249:5
195:25 196:6,8,11	26:4 48:11,14	215 250:18	248:22	94 120:4,9,10
196:12,13,17,21	53:4,7 85:11,13	217 248:9	5th 180:4,12	121:10,18,23
196:25 197:11,20	124:21,23,24	218 248:9	5:17 139:19	122:23 123:2,23
197:24 198:3,6,9	125:2,8,13,18,21	22 237:22,23	5:22 140:4	124:9
199:20,22,23	125:23 126:8,15	250:21	501H 243:18,24	98 249:7
200:4 213:16	132:3,5,9,12,15	22-year 238:18	244:4,22 501 (a)(3) 142:6	
249:21	134:20 140:14,23	241:17	501(c)(3) 142:6	
14th 174:2	143:3,20 144:12	23 239:18,19	501(h) 142:7	
14-page 220:4	144:25 146:14,24	250:23	53 248:18	
14-paragraph	147:6,14 150:25	23rd 36:10 150:25	56 248:21 5768 142:6 244:7	
195:16,18	152:9 154:6 155:8	230 250:20	580 233:25 234:3	
147 249:23	155:14 157:15	237 250:22	300 233.23 234.3	
15 114:18 115:14	169:14,15 171:13	239 250:25	6	
150:15,17 151:3	176:5 249:18,19	24 243:8,9,15 251:4	6 93:11,13 248:5	
174:22,23 250:4	250:10	243 251:5	249:4	
150 250:5	2008-2009 26:3	25th 201:20 202:3	6th 154:6 179:18	
1501 3:15	2009 15:12,14,16	203:19	6:01 172:9	
153 250:7	15:24 16:2 19:10	26th 174:4 201:21	6:09 172:15	